v. 5, n. 4, 2025

International Journal of Biological and Natural Sciences

Acceptance date: 18/08/2025

METAGENOMICS FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF PATHOGENS AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE GENES: A BRIEF REVIEW

Eduardo Jahir Gutiérrez Alcántara
Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Campeche, México

Tomas Joel López Gutiérrez
Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Campeche, México

Baldemar Ake Canché
Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Campeche, México

Román Alberto Pérez Balán
Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Campeche, México

Guadalupe Vázquez Rodríguez
Departamento de Ingeniería Civil y Ambiental. División de Ingenierías, Campus Gto. Universidad de Guanajuato

David Tirado Torres
Departamento de Ingeniería Civil y Ambiental. División de Ingenierías, Campus Gto. Universidad de Guanajuato
Corresponding author

Abstract: Antibiotic resistance (AR) represents one of the most pressing threats to global public health in the 21st century. Antibiotics are often prescribed unnecessarily for viral infections or used inappropriately in livestock to promote growth, contributing to the selection pressure for resistance. In this context, metagenomics has emerged as a powerful approach for the comprehensive identification of pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) directly from clinical or environmental samples. Metagenomics overcomes this limitation by allowing direct analysis of the collective genomes of microbial communities in their natural environments. Metagenomic sequencing allows for the simultaneous detection and identification of a wide range of pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites. Beyond pathogen detection, metagenomics can identify antibiotic resistance genes within microbial communities. Metagenomics represents a transformational shift in pathogen diagnostics and antimicrobial resistance surveillance.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance (AR) represents one of the most pressing threats to global public health in the 21st century. The increasing ability of bacteria to resist the effects of antibiotics not only undermines the effectiveness of treatments but also threatens to reverse decades of medical progress. As infections become harder to treat, the risk of disease spread, severe illness, and death increases (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020). Antibiotic resistance occurs when bacteria evolve mechanisms to survive exposure to antibiotics that would normally kill them or inhibit their growth. These mechanisms include enzyme production (e.g., β-lactamases), efflux pumps, target modification, and biofilm formation (Munita & Arias, 2016). Resistance can arise through spontaneous mutations or through horizontal gene transfer (HGT) involving plasmids, transposons, and integrons (Partridge et al., 2018).

CAUSES AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Several factors have accelerated the development and spread of antibiotic resistance:

- Overuse and misuse of antibiotics in humans and animals
- Lack of regulation and surveillance, especially in low- and middle-income countries
- Inadequate infection prevention and control in healthcare settings
- Environmental contamination with antibiotics and resistant bacteria (Laxminarayan et al., 2013)

Antibiotics are often prescribed unnecessarily for viral infections or used inappropriately in livestock to promote growth, contributing to the selection pressure for resistance (Van Boeckel et al., 2015).

The rise of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and the increasing incidence of infectious diseases have highlighted the limitations of conventional diagnostic tools in microbiology. Traditional culture-based methods are time-consuming, labor-intensive, and often fail to detect fastidious or unculturable organisms.

In this context, metagenomics has emerged as a powerful approach for the comprehensive identification of pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) directly from clinical or environmental samples (Quince et al., 2017).

WHAT IS METAGENOMICS?

Traditional microbiological methods have long relied on culturing microorganisms, yet it is estimated that over 99% of microbes in nature are unculturable under standard laboratory conditions (Handelsman et al., 1998). Metagenomics overcomes this limitation by

allowing direct analysis of the collective genomes of microbial communities in their natural environments. Since its emergence in the early 2000s, metagenomics has become a central tool in microbial ecology, public health, and biotechnology.

Metagenomics involves the extraction and sequencing of total nucleic acids (DNA or RNA) from an environmental sample, followed by bioinformatics analysis to identify the structure and function of microbial communities.

There are two primary approaches:

- Amplicon-based sequencing: Focuses on specific marker genes such as 16S rRNA for bacteria or ITS regions for fungi (Caporaso et al., 2012).
- Shotgun metagenomic sequencing: Sequences all DNA present in a sample, allowing for functional gene profiling, detection of antibiotic resistance genes, and assembly of whole genomes (Quince et al., 2017).

The process generally includes:

1. Sample collection
2. DNA/RNA extraction
3. Library preparation
4. High-throughput sequencing (e.g., Illumina, PacBio, Oxford Nanopore)
5. Bioinformatic analysis (assembly, taxonomic classification, functional annotation)

Metagenomic sequencing allows for the simultaneous detection and identification of a wide range of pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites. Unlike traditional diagnostics, which often rely on specific primers or probes, metagenomics can identify novel or unexpected pathogens (Gu et al., 2019). This makes it particularly valuable in cases of undiagnosed infections, polymicrobial infections, or outbreak investigations (Wilson et al., 2019).

APPLICATIONS OF METAGENOMICS

Metagenomic sequencing allows for the simultaneous detection and identification of a wide range of pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites. Unlike traditional diagnostics, which often rely on specific primers or probes, metagenomics can identify novel or unexpected pathogens (Gu et al., 2019). This makes it particularly valuable in cases of undiagnosed infections, polymicrobial infections, or outbreak investigations (Wilson et al., 2019).

HUMAN HEALTH

Metagenomics has had a major impact on clinical microbiology and infectious disease diagnostics, especially for identifying pathogens in polymicrobial or unknown infections (Chiu & Miller, 2019). It also plays a critical role in microbiome studies, linking gut microbial composition to diseases such as diabetes, obesity, and inflammatory bowel disease (Lloyd-Price et al., 2016).

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE

Metagenomics is instrumental in understanding microbial ecosystems in soil, oceans, wastewater, and air. It helps evaluate the role of microbes in carbon cycling, nitrogen fixation, and pollutant degradation (Fierer, 2017).

AGRICULTURE

Soil metagenomics enables the study of plant-microbe interactions and the development of biofertilizers and biopesticides. It also allows tracking of pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes in farm environments (Berendsen et al., 2012).

BIOTECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRY

Metagenomics helps discover novel enzymes, antibiotics, and bioactive compounds

from uncultured microorganisms, contributing to innovations in pharmaceuticals, biofuels, and food processing (Simon & Daniel, 2011).

For instance, metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) has been successfully used in diagnosing neurological infections, such as viral encephalitis, where standard tests frequently yield negative results (Miller et al., 2019).

DETECTION OF ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE GENES (ARGS)

Beyond pathogen detection, metagenomics can identify antibiotic resistance genes within microbial communities. This is crucial for guiding appropriate antimicrobial therapy and understanding the spread of resistance within and between ecosystems (Lanza et al., 2018).

By comparing metagenomic sequences to curated databases like CARD (Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database) or ResFinder, researchers can profile the resistome of a given sample, even if the organisms carrying those genes are unculturable (Alcock et al., 2020).

METHODS FOR ARG DETECTION USING METAGENOMICS

There are two main metagenomic approaches used for ARG detection:

SHOTGUN METAGENOMICS

This involves sequencing all DNA in a sample and then aligning the data with ARG databases such as:

- CARD (Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database) (Alcock et al., 2020)
- ResFinder (Zankari et al., 2012)
- ARG-ANNOT (Gupta et al., 2014)

This method enables:

- Identification of resistance gene families
- Insight into host organisms carrying ARGs (through contig assembly)
- Detection of mobile genetic elements (plasmids, integrons)

FUNCTIONAL METAGENOMICS

In this approach, DNA fragments from environmental samples are cloned into vectors and expressed in a cultivable host, such as E. coli. Clones that survive antibiotic exposure are sequenced to identify functional ARGs (Handelsman, 2004). This is especially useful for **discovering novel resistance genes** that have low similarity to known sequences.

ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS

Advantages:

- Culture-independent
- Broad-spectrum detection
- Simultaneous pathogen and ARG identification
- Applicable to clinical, environmental, and food samples

Limitations:

- High cost and need for bioinformatics expertise
- Difficulty in distinguishing between colonization and infection
- Risk of contamination and false positives
- Lack of standardized protocols and databases (Chiu & Miller, 2019)

Future Perspectives

As sequencing technologies continue to evolve and become more affordable, the use of metagenomics in clinical diagnostics is expected to increase. Integration with machine learning, long-read sequencing (e.g., Nanopore, PacBio), and real-time analysis may further enhance the sensitivity, specificity, and speed of pathogen and resistance gene detection.

Regulatory frameworks and clinical validation will be key to ensuring widespread adoption in routine microbiology laboratories (Miao et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

Metagenomics represents a transformational shift in pathogen diagnostics and antimicrobial resistance surveillance. By enabling comprehensive, culture-independent analysis, it provides critical insights into microbial communities and resistance mechanisms. While challenges remain, continued technological and methodological advancements are likely to establish metagenomics as a cornerstone of modern microbiology.

REFERENCES

Alcock, B. P., Raphenya, A. R., Lau, T. T. Y., Tsang, K. K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A., ... & McArthur, A. G. (2020). CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 48(D1), D517–D525. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz935

Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M., & Bakker, P. A. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends in Plant Science, 17(8), 478–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001

Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C. A., Turnbaugh, P. J., ... & Knight, R. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. The ISME Journal, 6(8), 1621–1624. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8

Chiu, C. Y., & Miller, S. A. (2019). Clinical metagenomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 20(6), 341–355. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-0113-7

Fierer, N. (2017). Embracing the unknown: Disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 15(10), 579–590. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.87

Gu, W., Miller, S., & Chiu, C. Y. (2019). Clinical metagenomic next-generation sequencing for pathogen detection. *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease*, 14, 319–338. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012418-012751

Gupta, S. K., Padmanabhan, B. R., Diene, S. M., Lopez-Rojas, R., Kempf, M., Landraud, L., & Rolain, J. M. (2014). ARG-ANNOT, a new bioinformatic tool to discover antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial genomes. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 58(1), 212–220. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01310-13

Handelsman, J., Rondon, M. R., Brady, S. F., Clardy, J., & Goodman, R. M. (1998). Molecular biological access to the chemistry of unknown soil microbes: A new frontier for natural products. Chemistry & Biology, 5(10), R245–R249. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-5521(98)90108-9

Handelsman, J. (2004). Metagenomics: Application of genomics to uncultured microorganisms. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 68(4), 669–685. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.4.669-685.2004

Lanza, V. F., Baquero, F., Martínez, J. L., Ramos-Ruíz, R., González-Zorn, B., & Sánchez-Valenzuela, A. (2018). In-depth resistome analysis by targeted

Laxminarayan, R., Duse, A., Wattal, C., Zaidi, A. K. M., Wertheim, H. F. L., Sumpradit, N., ... & Cars, O. (2013). Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 13(12), 1057–1098. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9

Lloyd-Price, J., Abu-Ali, G., & Huttenhower, C. (2016). The healthy human microbiome. Genome Medicine, 8, 51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0307-y

Miller, S., Naccache, S. N., Samayoa, E., Messacar, K., Arevalo, S., Federman, S., ... & Chiu, C. Y. (2019). Laboratory validation of a clinical metagenomic sequencing assay for pathogen detection in cerebrospinal fluid. *Genome Research*, 29(5), 831–842. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.238170.118

Miao, Q., Ma, Y., Wang, Q., Pan, J., Zhang, Y., Jin, W., ... & Xu, B. (2021). Microbiological diagnostic performance of metagenomic next-generation sequencing when applied to clinical practice. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 73(9), e2757–e2765. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1033

Munita, J. M., & Arias, C. A. (2016). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Microbiology Spectrum, 4(2), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015

Partridge, S. R., Kwong, S. M., Firth, N., & Jensen, S. O. (2018). Mobile genetic elements associated with antimicrobial resistance. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 31(4), e00088-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00088-17

Quince, C., Walker, A. W., Simpson, J. T., Loman, N. J., & Segata, N. (2017). Shotgun metagenomics, from sampling to analysis. *Nature Biotechnology*, 35(9), 833–844. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3935

Simon, C., & Daniel, R. (2011). Metagenomic analyses: Past and future trends. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 77(4), 1153–1161. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02345-10

Wilson, M. R., Sample, H. A., Zorn, K. C., Arevalo, S., Yu, G., Neuhaus, J., ... & DeRisi, J. L. (2019). Clinical metagenomic sequencing for diagnosis of meningitis and encephalitis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(24), 2327–2340. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1803396

World Health Organization (WHO). (2020). Antimicrobial resistance. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance

Van Boeckel, T. P., Brower, C., Gilbert, M., Grenfell, B. T., Levin, S. A., Robinson, T. P., ... & Laxminarayan, R. (2015). Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(18), 5649–5654. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503141112

Zankari, E., Hasman, H., Cosentino, S., Vestergaard, M., Rasmussen, S., Lund, O., ... & Aarestrup, F. M. (2012). Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 67(11), 2640–2644. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261