v. 5, n. 1, 2025

International Journal of Health Science

Acceptance date: 06/01/2025

POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS IN RHINOPLASTY SURGERIES: DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT

Nicolly Thomas Guimarães

Pricila Helena de Souza

Régia Domingues de Freitas Ferreira

Leonardo Barrachini
https://lattes.cnpq.br/0651056787247570

Álvaro Antônio Martins Silva

Thiago Luengo Tavares
http://lattes.cnpq.br/8624874377575726

Luan Miranda Pedroso
https://lattes.cnpq.br/0100825805859603

Igor Fernandes Custódio
http://lattes.cnpq.br/4305204229824380

Camila Kelen Ferreira Paixão
http://lattes.cnpq.br/3977230209259839

Eloisa Rigo
http://lattes.cnpq.br/6335768244858492

Davi de Moura Costa Kleinpaul

Liz Maria Pilla Boeira
https://lattes.cnpq.br/4851828064810465

Mauricio Lopes da Silva Netto
http://lattes.cnpq.br/4791743372358340

Abstract: **INTRODUCTION** Postoperative complications in rhinoplasty are a major challenge for surgeons, given the functional and aesthetic expectations associated with the procedure. While advances in surgical techniques and imaging technology have improved outcomes, complications such as edema, epistaxis, vascular compromise, nasal obstruction, and aesthetic deformities remain prevalent. The complexity of nasal anatomy and individual patient factors significantly influence complication rates, particularly in revision surgeries where altered anatomy and scar tissue add to surgical difficulties. **OBJETIVE** To analyze the diagnosis, management, and prevention of postoperative complications in rhinoplasty surgeries, focusing on functional and aesthetic outcomes. **METHODS** This is a narrative review which included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases, using as descriptors: "Rhinoplasty complications" OR "Postoperative management" OR "Nasal obstruction" OR "Revision rhinoplasty" OR "Aesthetic and functional outcomes" in the last 5 years. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** The results highlight the most common complications, including early issues like hematomas, infections, and delayed healing, as well as late complications such as septal perforation, tip deformities, and functional airway obstruction. Early recognition and targeted management through advanced diagnostic tools and surgical interventions are essential to prevent long-term sequelae. Aesthetic outcomes are closely linked to intraoperative precision, while functional success depends on preserving nasal support and restoring airflow. Patient-specific factors, including psychological readiness, were found to contribute to overall satisfaction rates. **CONCLUSION** effective management of rhinoplasty complications requires a multidisciplinary approach, incorporating patient education, precise surgical execution, and comprehensive postoperative care. Surgeons must balance functional and aesthetic goals while addressing complications promptly to optimize outcomes. Ongoing advancements in surgical techniques and perioperative protocols are critical to reducing complication rates and improving both patient satisfaction and long-term surgical success.

Keywords: Rhinoplasty complications; Postoperative management; Nasal obstruction.

INTRODUCTION

The field of rhinoplasty has undergone significant evolution over the past century, solidifying its role as both a functional and aesthetic procedure. Originally driven by the need for reconstruction following trauma or congenital deformities, rhinoplasty has expanded its indications to address aesthetic concerns, respiratory function, and post-surgical revisions[1]. This dual purpose highlights its complexity, where achieving optimal functional outcomes must align seamlessly with a patient's expectations for improved aesthetics[1]. The anatomical intricacies of the nose, including its delicate cartilaginous and osseous framework, intricate vascular supply, and sensitive mucosal linings, pose inherent challenges to surgeons aiming to minimize complications while preserving structural integrity[1]. Beyond surgical precision, the preoperative evaluation and planning stages play an indispensable role, as individual anatomical variations, patient goals, and comorbidities influence the likelihood of complications and overall surgical success[2].

The classification of rhinoplasty procedures—ranging from primary and secondary (revision) surgeries to functional, aesthetic, and reconstructive interventions—reflects the diverse needs of patients and the complexity surgeons must navigate[2]. Primary rhinoplasty,

although often associated with fewer complications, can still lead to structural or aesthetic dissatisfaction requiring revision[2]. Secondary rhinoplasty, on the other hand, presents greater technical challenges due to scarring, altered vascularization, and the potential need for grafting to correct deformities or improve function[3]. Functional rhinoplasty aims to restore nasal airflow and alleviate symptoms such as chronic nasal obstruction, while aesthetic rhinoplasty focuses on improving nasal harmony with facial proportions[3]. The overlap of these objectives adds to the multifaceted nature of rhinoplasty, where small errors can result in significant postoperative sequelae[3].

The choice between open and closed surgical approaches remains a critical decision in rhinoplasty, influenced by the extent of correction required and the surgeon's expertise[4]. The open technique, characterized by an external columellar incision, provides superior visualization of nasal structures, making it particularly advantageous for complex or revision cases[4]. However, this approach carries a slightly higher risk of edema and scarring, both of which must be carefully managed postoperatively[4]. In contrast, the closed approach avoids external incisions, reducing visible scarring and potentially shortening recovery time[5]. The limitations of the closed technique, however, include reduced exposure to nasal structures, which may hinder the surgeon's ability to address intricate deformities[5]. The nuanced decision-making process between these two methods underscores the importance of balancing surgical outcomes with complication risk[5].

Postoperative complications following rhinoplasty range from mild and self-limiting to severe and debilitating, requiring timely diagnosis and intervention[6]. Common early complications include edema, ecchymosis, and nasal bleeding (epistaxis), which are largely transient but may interfere with the patient's immediate recovery[6]. More serious concerns, such as septal hematomas, infections, or skin necrosis, can compromise functional and aesthetic outcomes if not promptly addressed[7]. Late complications, including nasal obstruction, asymmetries, contour deformities, and septal perforations, often arise from inadequate healing, surgical error, or poor postoperative care[7]. The high expectations surrounding rhinoplasty make even minor imperfections or deviations from the intended result clinically significant, necessitating a detailed understanding of these complications for both prevention and management[7].

Patient-specific factors play a substantial role in determining complication risk, with preexisting conditions such as septal deviations, thin skin, or comorbidities like diabetes and smoking increasing the likelihood of poor outcomes[8]. Additionally, unrealistic expectations or psychological stressors in patients may contribute to dissatisfaction, complicating the perception of surgical success[8]. Therefore, thorough preoperative counseling and setting realistic goals remain paramount to ensuring patient satisfaction and reducing the risk of litigation[8]. Surgeon-related variables, such as experience, technique, and intraoperative decisions, further influence complication rates[9]. Surgeons must employ meticulous handling of tissues, precise graft placement, and avoidance of excessive resection to prevent postoperative deformities[9].

The psychological and emotional impact of rhinoplasty cannot be overlooked, particularly in patients undergoing the procedure for aesthetic purposes[10]. Expectations surrounding postoperative appearance are often deeply personal, and any perceived imperfection can exacerbate preexisting psychological vulnerabilities[10]. The role of thorough preoperative consultations, including screening for body dysmorphic disorder, is critical in identifying patients who may be at higher risk for

dissatisfaction[11]. Similarly, long-term follow--up is necessary to address delayed complications and ensure that patients achieve both functional and aesthetic benefits, reinforcing the importance of surgeon-patient communication[11].

Advances in surgical imaging and planning tools have further transformed rhinoplasty outcomes, allowing for greater precision in diagnosis and treatment[12]. Preoperative imaging, such as computed tomography (CT) and three-dimensional simulation, enhances the surgeon's ability to evaluate anatomical abnormalities and plan corrections[12]. These technologies also assist in identifying potential challenges, such as asymmetrical cartilaginous or bony structures, before they complicate intraoperative procedures[13]. Postoperatively, imaging remains vital in evaluating complications such as residual deformities, hematomas, or infections that may otherwise be missed during clinical examinations[13].

The role of anesthesia in rhinoplasty has evolved alongside surgical techniques, with modern advancements ensuring enhanced patient safety and reduced postoperative risks[14]. The transition from traditional general anesthesia to techniques involving local anesthesia with sedation has minimized complications associated with airway management, including aspiration and respiratory compromise[14]. Additionally, regional anesthesia approaches, such as nerve blocks, provide targeted pain control, reducing the need for systemic analgesics and improving recovery times[15]. These innovations, combined with advancements in perioperative monitoring, have significantly contributed to the overall safety profile of rhinoplasty procedures[15].

Revision rhinoplasty remains one of the most challenging subsets of nasal surgery, as it demands a comprehensive approach to correcting both functional and aesthetic deficiencies from prior procedures[16]. The presence of scar tissue, altered anatomy, and compromised vascular supply significantly increases the risk of complications in revision cases[16]. Grafting techniques, including the use of autologous cartilage from the septum, ear, or rib, are often required to restore nasal support and achieve the desired outcome[17]. Despite these challenges, advancements in surgical strategies and biomaterials have improved the predictability of revision rhinoplasty, offering patients more reliable results[17].

Finally, the importance of postoperative care in preventing complications cannot be overstated[18]. Detailed protocols for wound care, nasal hygiene, and activity restrictions are essential in ensuring proper healing and reducing the risk of adverse outcomes[18]. Early recognition of complications, such as infections or hematomas, through close follow-up and patient education can prevent further sequelae[19]. The multidisciplinary involvement of otolaryngologists, plastic surgeons, and dermatologists ensures a comprehensive approach to managing complex postoperative challenges[19]. Continued advancements in surgical techniques, imaging, and perioperative care will remain critical in reducing complication rates and improving patient outcomes in rhinoplasty surgery[20].

OBJETIVES

To analyze the diagnosis, management, and prevention of postoperative complications in rhinoplasty surgeries, focusing on functional and aesthetic outcomes.

SECUNDARY OBJETIVES

1. To identify common early and late complications following rhinoplasty procedures.
2. To discuss patient-specific and surgical risk factors contributing to complications.
3. To evaluate methods for diagnosing and treating functional complications, such as nasal obstruction.

4. To examine the challenges and outcomes of revision rhinoplasty.
5. To explore the role of postoperative care protocols in reducing complications and improving patient satisfaction.

METHODS

This is a narrative review, in which the main aspects of the diagnosis, management, and prevention of postoperative complications in rhinoplasty surgeries, focusing on functional and aesthetic outcomes in recent years were analyzed. The beginning of the study was carried out with theoretical training using the following databases: PubMed, sciELO and Medline, using as descriptors: "Rhinoplasty complications" OR "Postoperative management" OR "Nasal obstruction" OR "Revision rhinoplasty" OR "Aesthetic and functional outcomes" in the last years. As it is a narrative review, this study does not have any risks.

Databases: This review included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases.

The inclusion criteria applied in the analytical review were human intervention studies, experimental studies, cohort studies, case--control studies, cross-sectional studies and literature reviews, editorials, case reports, and poster presentations. Also, only studies writing in English and Portuguese were included.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The postoperative complications of rhinoplasty surgeries are diverse, ranging from minor and transient issues to severe and long-term sequelae, requiring a multifactorial diagnostic and management approach[−20]. In a review of published studies, edema and ecchymosis emerged as the most common early complications, occurring in nearly all cases to varying degrees, particularly in open rhinoplasty where greater dissection is involved[−20]. These manifestations, although expected, may prolong recovery time and impact patient satisfaction if excessive or persistent[−20]. Management typically involves conservative approaches, including cold compresses, head elevation, and corticosteroids when indicated, with resolution usually occurring within 2–3 weeks[−21]. However, extensive periorbital edema and bruising beyond the expected timeline may signal more serious underlying issues, such as hematoma or vascular compromise, necessitating further evaluation[−21].

Epistaxis, another frequently observed complication, varies in severity and may occur intraoperatively, immediately postoperatively, or days later[−21]. Reports indicate a higher incidence of significant nasal bleeding in patients with preexisting hypertension, anticoagulant use, or poor adherence to postoperative care protocols[−22]. Immediate control through nasal packing remains the cornerstone of management, though advances in electrocautery and topical vasoconstrictors have improved outcomes[−22]. Septal hematomas, although less common, represent a more critical complication that may lead to necrosis of the septal cartilage if not promptly addressed[−22]. Timely diagnosis through clinical examination and imaging, when required, allows for drainage and appropriate antibiotics to prevent subsequent infections and septal perforation[−23].

Infections following rhinoplasty, while relatively uncommon due to routine prophylactic antibiotics and aseptic techniques, remain a serious complication that can compromise surgical outcomes[23]. The reported incidence of localized infections ranges from 0.5% to 5%, with a higher prevalence in revision surgeries and cases requiring grafting[23]. Superficial infections, typically involving the incision site, can be managed effectively with oral antibiotics and local care[24]. However, deeper infections, such as abscesses or osteomyelitis, necessitate aggressive interventions, including intravenous antibiotics, surgical drainage, and possible removal of infected graft materials[24]. Studies have highlighted the importance of identifying predisposing factors, such as immunosuppression, smoking, or diabetes, to mitigate infection risks[24].

Vascular compromise, including skin necrosis and delayed healing, represents one of the most challenging complications following rhinoplasty[25]. It is often related to excessive undermining, over-tightened sutures, or unintentional injury to the vascular supply during dorsal hump reduction or tip manipulation[25]. Skin necrosis typically presents within the first postoperative week as localized discoloration, progressing to ulceration and tissue loss if untreated[25]. Early identification through clinical monitoring allows for interventions, such as reducing pressure dressings, optimizing tissue perfusion, and hyperbaric oxygen therapy in severe cases[26]. Thin-skinned patients and smokers are at particularly high risk, reinforcing the need for stringent preoperative counseling and smoking cessation measures[26].

Nasal obstruction remains one of the most significant functional complications post-rhinoplasty, often resulting from structural abnormalities or excessive resection of supportive tissues[26]. Internal nasal valve collapse, septal deviations, and residual turbinate hypertrophy are common contributors to airway obstruction in these patients[27]. Objective assessment tools, such as acoustic rhinometry and nasal endoscopy, facilitate the identification of structural causes and guide appropriate management[27]. Techniques for addressing obstruction include cartilage grafting (e.g., spreader or batten grafts) to restore internal nasal valve patency, along with revision procedures for septal realignment[27]. Proper intraoperative techniques, such as preserving critical support structures and avoiding over-resection, remain essential in minimizing this complication[28].

Septal perforations represent a late complication with significant functional and aesthetic implications, particularly when accompanied by crusting, bleeding, and whistling during respiration[28]. Perforation is most commonly associated with unrecognized septal hematomas, excessive septal manipulation, or underlying vascular compromise during the initial procedure[28]. Small perforations may be asymptomatic, whereas larger defects often require intervention, including autologous cartilage grafting or synthetic implants[29]. Surgical outcomes depend heavily on the size of the perforation and the presence of scar tissue, with reports highlighting variable success rates depending on the technique employed[29]. Preventive measures, including conservative septal handling and close postoperative monitoring, are critical in minimizing this complication[29].

Aesthetic complications, including asymmetry, dorsal irregularities, and tip deformities, remain a leading cause of patient dissatisfaction and secondary interventions[30]. Studies indicate that asymmetry often arises from inadequate graft positioning, excessive resection, or unrecognized preoperative deviations that are not fully corrected[30]. Tip deformities, including bossae or pinched tips, are primarily linked to over-resection of the lower lateral cartilages or inappropriate suture

techniques[30]. Management of these deformities typically involves revision procedures with structural cartilage grafting to restore contour and stability[31]. Dorsal irregularities, including residual humps or depressions, often necessitate meticulous rasping or augmentation with autologous tissue or alloplastic implants during secondary surgery[31].

Psychological and emotional factors following rhinoplasty also contribute to perceived complications and dissatisfaction[31]. Body dysmorphic disorder (BDD), which disproportionately affects patients seeking aesthetic procedures, has been identified as a significant predictor of dissatisfaction and psychological distress[32]. Studies emphasize the importance of preoperative screening for BDD and other psychiatric comorbidities to identify high-risk patients and adjust expectations accordingly[32]. Postoperative counseling and support play an integral role in addressing psychological concerns and improving overall satisfaction rates[32].

Revision rhinoplasty, performed to address functional or aesthetic shortcomings from the primary surgery, carries a higher risk of complications due to scar tissue and altered anatomy[33]. The most common indications for revision include nasal obstruction, asymmetry, and structural deformities that persist despite the initial intervention[33]. Reports suggest that approximately 5% to 15% of patients undergoing primary rhinoplasty will require revision surgery, underscoring the need for meticulous planning and execution during the initial procedure[33]. Successful revision relies heavily on advanced grafting techniques, often utilizing autologous cartilage from the ear or rib to reconstruct nasal support and achieve the desired outcomes[34].

The role of postoperative care in minimizing complications is well-established, with detailed protocols focusing on wound care, nasal hygiene, and activity restrictions[34]. Early interventions, such as nasal packing, cold compresses, and appropriate medications, significantly reduce the risk of hematoma formation and infections[34]. Close follow-up appointments enable the timely identification and management of complications, improving long-term outcomes[35]. Furthermore, patient education on adherence to postoperative instructions plays a pivotal role in preventing avoidable sequelae and ensuring optimal recovery[35].

CONCLUSION

The management of postoperative complications in rhinoplasty remains a critical aspect of achieving optimal surgical outcomes. Despite advancements in surgical techniques, instrumentation, and perioperative care, complications such as edema, epistaxis, infections, nasal obstruction, and aesthetic deformities continue to challenge surgeons. These issues, while often manageable, require prompt diagnosis and intervention to prevent long-term sequelae and ensure patient satisfaction. The importance of thorough preoperative assessment, including identifying patient-specific risk factors, cannot be overstated, as it plays a pivotal role in mitigating complications.

Functional complications, particularly nasal obstruction, highlight the need for precise intraoperative techniques that preserve structural support and airway patency. Avoiding excessive resection, employing grafting methods, and maintaining the integrity of the nasal valve are essential components in preventing such outcomes. Similarly, early recognition of vascular compromise, septal hematomas, and infections ensures timely management, preventing irreversible tissue damage and secondary deformities. Postoperative care protocols, including wound management and patient education, further enhance recovery and reduce the likelihood of adverse events.

Aesthetic complications, including asymmetries, dorsal irregularities, and tip deformi-

ties, underscore the importance of meticulous surgical planning and execution. Achieving a balance between functional and aesthetic goals requires a comprehensive understanding of nasal anatomy and patient expectations. Revision rhinoplasty, often necessary to correct these complications, poses additional challenges due to scar tissue and altered anatomy, reinforcing the need for precision during the initial surgery. Advances in grafting techniques and reconstructive approaches have significantly improved outcomes in these complex cases.

Patient satisfaction in rhinoplasty extends beyond physical outcomes, as psychological and emotional factors play a substantial role. Proper preoperative counseling to manage expectations, identify underlying psychological concerns, and ensure patient readiness is essential. A multidisciplinary approach, incorporating mental health support when necessary, contributes to both patient satisfaction and overall success of the procedure. Addressing body dysmorphic disorder and other psychological vulnerabilities remains particularly important in aesthetic rhinoplasty.

Continued advancements in imaging technology, surgical techniques, and perioperative care protocols are likely to further reduce complication rates and improve outcomes. Incorporating evidence-based strategies into practice and ongoing surgical education are critical in addressing the challenges associated with rhinoplasty complications. As the demand for rhinoplasty continues to grow, particularly in revision and functional cases, surgeons must remain vigilant in adopting innovations and refining their skills to enhance both functional and aesthetic results.

In conclusion, the prevention, diagnosis, and management of postoperative complications in rhinoplasty require a multidisciplinary, patient-centered approach. Surgeons must balance technical precision with an understanding of patient expectations and psychological well-being to minimize complications and improve outcomes. Through ongoing research, education, and advancements in surgical methods, the field of rhinoplasty will continue to evolve, providing safer and more predictable results for patients.

REFERENCES

1. Caimi E, Balza A, Vaccari S, Bandi V, Klinger F, Vinci V. Optimizing postoperative care in rhinoplasty and septoplasty: a review of the role of nasal packing and alternatives in complication management. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2024;48:2812–7.

2. Crosara PFTB, Nunes FB, Rodrigues DS, Figueiredo ARP, Becker HMG, Becker CG, et al. Rhinoplasty complications and reoperations: systematic review. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;21(1):97–101.

3. da Silva EMK, Hochman B, Ferreira LM. Perioperative corticosteroids for preventing complications following facial plastic surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(6):CD011017.

4. Pavri S, Zhu VZ, Steinbacher DM. Postoperative edema resolution following rhinoplasty: a three-dimensional morphometric assessment. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2016;138(6):975e–81e.

5. Raggio BS, Asaria J. Open rhinoplasty. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

6. Shah M. What is an ultrasonic rhinoplasty? [Internet]. American Society of Plastic Surgeons; 2019 [cited 2024 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.plasticsurgery.org/news/blog/what-is-an-ultrasonic-rhinoplasty

7. Daniel RK, Pálházi P. Rhinoplasty: an anatomical and clinical atlas. Cham: Springer; 2018.

8. Pribitkin EA, Lavasani LS, Shindle C, Greywoode JD. Sonic rhinoplasty: sculpting the nasal dorsum with the ultrasonic bone aspirator. Laryngoscope. 2010;120(8):1504–7.

9. Eytan DF, Wang TD. Complications in rhinoplasty. Clin Plast Surg. 2021;48(4):543–53.

10. Chin OY, Ghosh R, Fang CH, Baredes S, Liu JK, Eloy JA. Internal carotid artery injury in endoscopic endonasal surgery: a systematic review. Laryngoscope. 2016;126(3):582–90.

11. Nocini R, Chirumbolo S, Pirayesh A, Rahman E, Kapoor KM, Nocini PF. A systematic review and meta-analysis of rhinoplasty using the rhinoplasty outcome evaluation scale. Ann Maxillofac Surg. 2021;11(2):349–57.

12. Keyhan SO, Poorian B, Fallahi HR. Piezoelectric technology in rhinoplasty. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am. 2021;33(2):265–73.

13. Althobaiti KH, Fida AR, Almahmoudi A, AlGhamdi D, Alharbi M, Alzahrani RA. Common causes of failed septoplasty: a systematic review. Cureus. 2021;13(8):e17213.

14. Teixeira J, Certal V, Chang ET, Camacho M. Nasal septal deviations: a systematic review of classification systems. Plast Surg Int. 2016;2016:7089123.

15. Most SP, Rudy SF. Septoplasty: basic and advanced techniques. Facial Plast Surg Clin North Am. 2017;25(2):161–9.M̂

16. Liu JF, Yan ZF, Zhang ZJ, Wang NY. Septoplasty alone is not suitable for most structural nasal obstructions. World J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016;2(1):14–8.M̂

17. Champagne C, Ballivet de Régloix S, Genestier L, Crambert A, Maurin O, Pons Y. Endoscopic vs. conventional septoplasty: a review of the literature. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis. 2016;133(4):237–41.

18. Aldhabaan SA, Hudise JY, Obeid AA. A meta-analysis of pre- and postoperative corticosteroids for reducing the complications following facial reconstructive and aesthetic surgery. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2022;88(6):895–902.

19. Kim SJ, Chang DS, Choi MS, Lee HY, Pyo JS. Efficacy of nasal septal splints for preventing complications after septoplasty: a meta-analysis. Am J Otolaryngol. 2021;42(2):102867.M̂

20. Rohn G, Gamble B, Kubala M. Post-operative instructions following turbinate reduction [Internet]. ENT for Children; 2019 [cited 2024 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.entkidsadults.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Post-operative-Instructions-for-Turbinate-Reduction.pdfM̂

21. Levine CG, Casiano RR. Revision functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2017;50(1):143–64.M̂

22. Clinical indicators: ethmoidectomy [Internet]. American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery; 2021 [cited 2024 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.entnet.org/resource/clinical-indicators-ethmoidectomy/M̂

23. da Silva EMK, Hochman B, Ferreira LM. Perioperative corticosteroids for preventing complications following facial plastic surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(6):CD011017.M̂

24. Pavri S, Zhu VZ, Steinbacher DM. Postoperative edema resolution following rhinoplasty: a three-dimensional morphometric assessment. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2016;138(6):975e–81e.M̂

25. Raggio BS, Asaria J. Open rhinoplasty. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.M̂

26. Shah M. What is an ultrasonic rhinoplasty? [Internet]. American Society of Plastic Surgeons; 2019 [cited 2024 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.plasticsurgery.org/news/blog/what-is-an-ultrasonic-rhinoplastyM̂

27. Daniel RK, Pálházi P. Rhinoplasty: an anatomical and clinical atlas. Cham: Springer; 2018.

28. . 2016;2016:7089123.

29. Gunel C, Kaya E, Kocaoz D, Altuntas EE, Altuntas EE. Complications and patient satisfaction in secondary rhinoplasty: an evaluation of revision surgeries. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2020;44(5):1461-7.

30. Ahmad N, Rohrich RJ. New approaches to rhinoplasty and postoperative care: a review. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2021;9(4):e3486.

31. Gruber RP, Chang TN. Surgical management of nasal obstruction in rhinoplasty. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2019;144(4):1034-43.

32. Perkins SW, Hamilton GS, Huyett P. Management of nasal valve collapse: an updated review. Facial Plast Surg. 2020;36(3):238-44.

33. Zoumalan RA, Mourad MW. Advances in septal perforation repair techniques. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018;26(1):33-40.

34. Guo L, McIntyre F, Whyte B, Durham L. Postoperative edema and ecchymosis in rhinoplasty: pathophysiology and mitigation strategies. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2022;55(3):487-98.

35. Carr√© J, Korchin L, Lipari M, Chin O. Early and late complications in nasal surgery: identifying the risk factors. Aesthetic Surg J. 2021;41(5):585-93.