

WELLPOSEDNESS OF A CAUCHY PROBLEM ASSOCIATED TO N-TH ORDER EQUATION

Data de submissão: 25/11/2024

Data de aceite: 02/01/2025

Yolanda Silvia Santiago Ayala

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San
Marcos, Fac. de Ciencias Matemáticas,
Lima-PERU
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2516-0871>

Sting Jose Abanto Poliongo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San
Marcos, Fac. de Ciencias Matemáticas,
Lima-PERU
<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7333-657X>

ABSTRACT: In this article we prove that the Cauchy problem associated to n -th order equation in periodic Sobolev spaces is globally well posed when n is an odd number such that $n - 1$ is an even number not multiple of four. We do this in an intuitive way using Fourier theory and in a fine version using groups theory. Also, we demonstrate the conservative property of the Cauchy problem using differential calculus in H_{per}^s . Finally, we study its generalization for the other cases of n .

KEYWORDS: Groups theory, n -th order equation, existence of solution, conservative property, Periodic Sobolev spaces, Fourier Theory.

MSC 2010: 35G10, 35Q53, 47D03, 35B40

INTRODUCTION

We study the problem:

$(P_1): \partial_t u + \partial_x^n u = 0$ in H_{per}^{s-n} , with $u(0) = \varphi \in H_{per}^s$,

considering s a real number, n is an odd number such that $n - 1$ is an even number not multiple of four and denoting by H_{per}^s to the periodic Sobolev space.

Also we can cite [6], where we find some works related to the model (P_1) coupled to Kuramoto-Sivashinski equation, and [1] where our model is stated among the proposed problems. In these additional references we have motivation to study the problem and inspiration with the ideas we find there.

We also cite some works about existence by semigroups [2], [3], [4] and take support in some results of [5].

Our article is organized as follows. In section 2, we indicate the methodology used and cite the references used. In section 3, we prove that problem (P_1) is well posed. Moreover, we introduce a family of operators that form a group of class C_0 to state the result Theorem 3.3 and prove it

in a fine version. In section 4, we study the conservative property of the homogeneous problem (P_1) and applications. In section 5, we analyzed (P_1) for the other cases of n . Finally, in section 6, we give the conclusions of our study.

METHODOLOGY

As a theoretical framework in this article we use [6]. Also, we use the references [1], [8], [6] and [7] for the Fourier theory in periodic Sobolev spaces, and differential and integral calculus in Banach spaces.

THE PROBLEM (P_1) IS WELL POSED

We prove that (P_1) is well posed. Also, we introduce a family of operators that form a unitary group of class C_0 , as we make it in Theorem 3.2.

Finally, we state the Theorem 3.3 whose content is a fine version of Theorem 3.1 based on the group $\{S(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 3.1 Let s a fixed real number, n an odd number such that $n - 1$ is an even number not multiple of four and the problem

$$(P_1) \begin{cases} u \in C(R, H_{per}^s) \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x^n u = 0 \in H_{per}^{s-n} \\ u(0) = \varphi \in H_{per}^s \end{cases}$$

then (P_1) is globally well posed, that is $\exists! u \in C(R, H_{per}^s) \cap C^1(R, H_{per}^{s-n})$ satisfying equation (P_1) so that the application: $\varphi \rightarrow u$, which to every initial data φ assigns the solution u of the IVP (P_1) , is continuous. That is, for φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ initial data close in H_{per}^s , their corresponding solutions u and \tilde{u} respectively, are also close in the solution space.

Also,

$$\|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s = \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s = \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s.$$

Moreover, the solution u satisfies

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall r \leq s$$

with $\|u(t)\|_s = \|\varphi\|_s$ and $\|u(t)\|_r \leq \|\varphi\|_s$, $\forall r < s$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The application: $\varphi \rightarrow \partial_t u$, wich for every initial data φ assigns the derivative of solution u of the IVP (P_1) is continuous. That is, for φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ initial data close in H_{per}^s , their corresponding $\partial_t u$ and $\partial_t \tilde{u}$, respectively, are also close in the solution space.

Also, the following inequalities are verified

$$\|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s, \forall t \in R,$$

$$\sup_{t \in R} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|.$$

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in R.$$

Moreover, $\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in R$.

Proof.- We prove it in the following way.

1. First, we obtain the candidate to the solution. In order to get it we apply the Fourier transformation to the equation

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x^n u$$

and using $(ik)^n = -ik^n, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{u} &= -(ik)^n \hat{u} \\ &= ik^n \hat{u} \end{aligned}$$

which for every k is an ODE with initial data $\hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{\varphi}(k)$.

Thus, solving the IVP's

$$(\Omega_k) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{u} \in C(R, l_s^2(\mathbb{Z})) \\ \partial_t \hat{u}(k, t) = ik^n \hat{u}(k, t) \\ \hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{\varphi}(k) \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\hat{u}(k, t) = e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k),$$

from which we get our candidate to the solution:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{u}(k, t) \varphi_k \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k) \varphi_k \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

here we are denoting $\varphi k(x) = e^{ikx}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. Second, we prove:

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^s \text{ and } \|u(t)\|_s = \|\varphi\|_s \quad (3.2)$$

In effect, let $t \in R - \{0\}$, $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t)\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\
&= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\
&= \|\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Obviously it holds (3.2) for $t = 0$.

3. We will prove that $u(\cdot)$ is continuous in R .

Let $t' \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u(t')\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |(e^{ik^n t} - e^{ik^n t'}) \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\
&= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 |H(t)|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $H(t) := e^{ik^n t} - e^{ik^n t'}$.

We see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} H(t) = 0$.

In order to interchange limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series. For this, we take the k -th term of the series and bound it by a convergent series, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{k,t} &:= 2\pi (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 |e^{ik^n t} - e^{ik^n t'}|^2 \\
&\leq 8\pi (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

there we have used the triangular inequality (property of the norm) and the equality $|e^{i\theta}| = 1$ for $\theta \in R$. Thus,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{k,t} \leq 4\|\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 < \infty,$$

and using the M-Test of Weierstrass Theorem, we have the series converges uniformly. Now, we can interchange limits, that is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \|u(t) - u(t')\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} I_{k,t}}_{=0} = 0$$

and then we conclude

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \|u(t) - u(t')\|_{H_{per}^s} = 0.$$

4. We will prove

$$\left\| \frac{u(t+h)-u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^n u(t) \right\|_{H_{per}^{s-n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } h \rightarrow 0.$$

In effect, let $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{u(t+h)-u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^n u(t) \right\|_{H_{per}^{s-n}}^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \left| \frac{e^{ik^n(t+h)} - e^{ik^nt}}{h} + (ik)^n e^{ik^nt} \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 |e^{ik^nt} \cdot M(h)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{where } M(h) := \left\{ \frac{e^{ik^nh} - 1}{h} - ik^n \right\}.$$

Using L'Hospital we have $M(h) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

Again, to interchange limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series. For this we will bound the k -th term of the series. Previously, for $h \neq 0$ we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{ik^nh} - 1}{h} &= \int_0^h \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \{e^{ik^ns}\} ds \\ &= \int_0^h \frac{1}{h} [ik^n] e^{ik^ns} ds \end{aligned}$$

and taking norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{e^{ik^nh} - 1}{h} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{h} |ik^n| \int_0^h |e^{ik^ns}| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} |k|^n \cdot h \\ &= |k|^n, \end{aligned}$$

for $h > 0$. That is,

$$\left| \frac{e^{ik^nh} - 1}{h} \right| \leq |k|^n \quad (3.6)$$

for $h > 0$. If $h < 0$, (3.6) also holds. Using inequality (3.6), we are going to bound $|M(h)|^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |M(h)|^2 &\leq \{2|k|^n\}^2 \\ &\leq 4\{|k|^2\}^n \\ &\leq 4\{1 + |k|^2\}^n \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let us bound the k -th term of the series. Here we will use the estimation (3.7)

$$(1+k^2)^{s-n}|\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2|M(h)|^2 \leq 4(1+k^2)^{s-n}|\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2\{1+|k|^2\}^n \\ = 4(1+k^2)^s|\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2$$

and, since $2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 = \|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty$ for $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ using the M-Test of Weierstrass we get the series (3.5) converges uniformly and then it is possible to interchange limits and obtain

$$\left\| \frac{u(t+h)-u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^n u(t) \right\|_{s-n}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

5. We will prove the continuous dependency of the solution with respect to the initial data, that is, let φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ be close data in H_{per}^s , then their corresponding solutions u and \tilde{u} , respectively, are also close in the solution space. Let $t \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |e^{ik^n t} (\hat{\varphi}(k) - \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}(k))|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k) - \hat{\tilde{\varphi}}(k)|^2 \\ &= \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Taking supremum over R we have

$$\sup_{t \in R} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{H_{per}^s}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, we have: if $\varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ then $u \rightarrow \tilde{u}$.

6. Uniqueness of Solution. Equality (3.10) or (3.9) will allow us to prove the solution is unique. In effect, let $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and suppose there are u and \tilde{u} two solutions, then using (3.10) we have,

$$\|u(r) - \tilde{u}(r)\|_{H_{per}^s} = \sup_{t \in R} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|\varphi - \varphi\|_{H_{per}^s} = 0, \quad \forall r \in R$$

from where we conclude that $u = \tilde{u}$

Thus, problem (P_1) is well posed and its unique solution, which depends continuously on the initial data, is

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k) \varphi_k.$$

7. Now, we consider the case $r < s$. Here we have $H_{per}^s \subset H_{per}^r$ and since the initial data $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$, then $\varphi \in H_{per}^r$ and satisfies

$$\|\varphi\|_r \leq \|\varphi\|_s. \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.3) for r and using (3.11) we get

$$\|u(t)\|_r^2 = \|\varphi\|_r^2 \leq \|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty.$$

That is,

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in (-\infty, s). \quad (3.12)$$

The case $r = s$ was already proven on the item 2.

Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.12), we conclude for $t \in R$

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in (-\infty, s].$$

8. We will prove that $\partial_t u(\cdot)$ is continuous in R . Let $t, t' \in R$, using the inequality $\|\partial_x^m u(t)\|_{s-m} \leq \|u(t)\|_s$ and continuity of $u(\cdot)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t u(t')\|_{s-n} &= \|-\partial_x^n u(t) + \partial_x^n u(t')\|_{s-n} \\ &= \|\partial_x^n (u(t) - u(t'))\|_{s-n} \\ &\leq \|u(t) - u(t')\|_s \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

when $t \rightarrow t'$. That is, $\partial_t u \in C(R, H_{per}^{s-n})$.

9. Let $\varphi \in H_{per}^r$, if define $W(t)\varphi := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (k^n)_i e^{k^n i t} \hat{\varphi}(k) \varphi_k$ then $W(t)\varphi$ and $\|W(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in R$. That is, $W(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{s-n})$ with $\|W(t)\| \leq 1$.

In effect, using $|k^n i|^2 \leq (|k|^n)^2 = (|k|^2)^n \leq (1 + |k|^2)^n, \forall k \in Z$ and $|e^{i\theta}| = 1, \forall \theta \in R$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(t)\varphi\|_{s-n}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{s-n} |(k^n)_i e^{k^n i t} \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{s-n} |(k^n)_i|^2 |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &= \|\varphi\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

10. From item 4 and 9, we have $\partial_t u(t) = W(t)\varphi$.

Next, we have the following result

Corollary 3.1 The unique solution of (P_1) is

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k) \varphi_k,$$

where $\varphi_k(x) := e^{ikx}$ for $x \in R$.

Corollary 3.2 With the hypothesis of preceding Theorem, we obtain

1. $u \in C(R, H_{per}^r) \cap C^1(R, H_{per}^{r-n}), \forall r < s$.
2. u satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_r \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in R, \forall r < s, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{r-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in R, \forall r < s. \quad (3.15)$$

3. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_r &\leq \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s, \quad \forall t \in R, \quad \forall r < s, \\ \sup_{t \in R} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_r &\leq \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s, \quad \forall r < s.\end{aligned}$$

4. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{r-n} &\leq \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s, \quad \forall t \in R, \quad \forall r \leq s, \\ \sup_{t \in R} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{r-n} &\leq \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_s, \quad \forall r < s.\end{aligned}$$

Proof.- The inequality (3.14) follows of the Sobolev continuous imbedding.

We will use the Sobolev continuous Imbedding and item 9 for prove that if $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $W(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^{r-n}$ and $\|W(t)\varphi\|_{r-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s$, $\forall t \in R$, $\forall r < s$. That is, $W(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{r-n})$ with $\|W(t)\| \leq 1$, $\forall r < s$.

In effect, using $|k^n i|^2 \leq (1 + |k|^2)^n$, $\forall k \in Z$ and $|e^{i\theta}| = 1$, $\forall \theta \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|W(t)\varphi\|_{r-n}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{r-n} |(k^n i) e^{k^n i t} \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{r-n} |(k^n i)|^2 |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^r |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &= \|\varphi\|_s^2\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Now, we will introduce a family of operators which verify the condition of being a unitary group of class C_0 .

Theorem 3.2 Let be $s \in R$ and n an odd number such that $n - 1$ is an even number not multiple of four. The application

$$\begin{aligned}S_n : R &\rightarrow L(H_{per}^s) \\ t &\rightarrow S(t)\end{aligned}$$

such that $S_n(t) = e^{-(\partial_x^n)t}$, that is, applies

$$S_n(t)\varphi = \left[(e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in Z} \right]^V, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s,$$

then $\{S_n(t)\}_{t \in R}$ is a unitary group of class C_0 on H_{per}^s . Thus $\{S_n\}_{n \in M}$ is a family of unitary groups on H_{per}^s , where

And for simplicity we will denote to S_n as S .

Moreover, the following assertions hold:

1. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $S(\cdot)\varphi \in C(R, H_{per}^s)$.
2. The application $\varphi \rightarrow S(\cdot)\varphi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|S(t)\psi_1 - S(t)\psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s}, \quad \forall t \in R$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|S(t)\psi_1 - S(t)\psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s}$$

with $\psi_i \in H_{per}^s$ for $i = 1, 2$.

3. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t S(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^{s-n}$ and $\|\partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\partial_t S(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{s-n}), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, where
4. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t S(\cdot)\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_{per}^{s-n})$.
5. The application: $\psi \rightarrow \partial_t S(\cdot)\psi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|\partial_t S(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t S(t)\psi_2\|_{H_{per}^{s-n}} \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\partial_t S(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t S(t)\psi_2\|_{H_{per}^{s-n}} \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s}$$

with $\psi_i \in H_{per}^s$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof.- We first observe $S(0)\varphi = [(\hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}]^V = [\hat{\varphi}]^V = \varphi, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s$, thus $S(0) = I$. From linearity of Fourier transformation and its inverse, we have that $S(t)$ is linear. In effect, let be $a \in \mathbb{C}, \varphi, \psi \in H_{per}^s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)(a\varphi + \psi) &= \left[(e^{ik^n t} [a\varphi + \psi]^\wedge(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \\ &= \left[(e^{ik^n t} [a\hat{\varphi}(k) + \hat{\psi}(k)])_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \\ &= \left[a(e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} + (e^{ik^n t} \hat{\psi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \\ &= a \left[(e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V + \left[(e^{ik^n t} \hat{\psi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \\ &= aS(t)(\varphi) + S(t)(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, we will prove that $S(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and $\|S(t)\varphi\|_s = \|\varphi\|_s$, that is $\|S(t)\| = 1$.

In effect, similar to (3.3), for $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $S(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and $\|S(t)\varphi\|_s = \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, that is $S(t) \in L(H_{per}^s)$ with $\|S(t)\| = 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$.

Therefore,

$$\|S(t)\varphi\|_s = \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s. \quad (3.17)$$

That is,

$$S(t) \in L(H_{per}^s) \text{ with } \|S(t)\| = 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.18)$$

Now we will prove that $S(t+r) = S(t) \circ S(r)$, $\forall t, r \in \mathbb{R}$. In effect, let be $f \in H_{per}^s$ and $t, r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(t+r)f &= \left[\left(e^{ik^n(t+r)} \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \\ &= \left[\left(e^{ik^n t} e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

We know that if $f \in H_{per}^s$ then $\hat{f} \in l_s^2$, that is

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{f}(k)|^2 < \infty. \quad (3.20)$$

We affirm that

$$\left(e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_s^2, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.21)$$

Indeed, when $r = 0$ it is evident that the statement is true. Thus, we will prove the case $r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. For this, it is enough to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \underbrace{|e^{i2k^n r}|}_{=1} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{f}(k)|^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since it worth (3.20).

Then, from (3.21) and taking the inverse Fourier transform, we have

$$\left[\left(e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \in H_{per}^s, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This motivates us to define

$$\left[g_r = \left(e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \in H_{per}^s.$$

That is,

$$g_r = S(r)f. \quad (3.22)$$

Also, taking the Fourier transform to g_r we obtain

$$\widehat{g_r} = \left(e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

that is

$$\widehat{g_r}(k) = e^{ik^n r} \hat{f}(k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.23)$$

Using (3.23) in (3.19) and from (3.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(t+r) &= \left[(e^{ik^nt} \widehat{g_r}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \\
&= S(t)g_r \\
&= S(t)[S(r)f] \\
&= [S(t) \circ S(r)]f, \quad \forall t, r \in R - \{0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$s(t+r) = S(t) \circ S(r), \quad \forall t, r \in R - \{0\}. \quad (3.24)$$

If $t = 0$ or $r = 0$, then the equality of (3.24) is also true, with this we conclude the proof of

$$S(t+r) = S(t) \circ S(r), \quad \forall t, r \in R. \quad (3.25)$$

Now, we will prove the continuity of $t \rightarrow S(t)\varphi$

$$\|S(t+h)\varphi - S(t)\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.26)$$

In effect, using item 3 of the proof of the preceding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|S(t+h)\varphi - S(t)\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}^2 \\
&= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |(e^{ik^n(t+h)} - e^{ik^nt})\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\
&= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 |H(t+h)|^2 \quad (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

where $H(t+h) := e^{ik^n(t+h)} - e^{ik^nt}$.

We observe that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} H(t+h) = 0$.

Now, we again need the uniform convergence of the series in order to interchange the limits. For this, we take the k -th term of the series and bound it with a convergent series, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{k,t,h} &:= 2\pi(1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 |e^{ik^n(t+h)} - e^{ik^nt}|^2 \\
&\leq 8\pi(1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the triangular inequality (property of the norm) and the equality $|e^{i\theta}| = 1$ for $\theta \in R$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{k,t,h} \leq 4\|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty, \quad (3.28)$$

and using the M-Test Weierstrass Theorem we get the series in (3.28) converges uniformly. Then, interchanging limits is allowed, that is

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|S(t+h)\varphi - S(t)\varphi\|_s^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_{k,t,h}}_{=0} = 0$$

and from here we conclude

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|S(t+h)\varphi - S(t)\varphi\|_s = 0.$$

Remark 3.1 It verifies

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|S(t)\varphi - \varphi\|_s = 0, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s.$$

Remark 3.2 With the remark 3.1 we would have that $\{S(t)\}_{t \in R}$ is a group of class C_0 .

Now, we will prove that the operator $S(t)$ is unitary $\forall t \in R$.

From identity (3.17) we have that $S(t)$ is isometric for all $t \in R$.

From (3.25) we get

$$S(t) \circ S(-t) = S(t-t) = S(0) = I, \quad \forall t \in R \quad (3.29)$$

$$S(-t) \circ S(t) = S(-t+t) = S(0) = I, \quad \forall t \in R. \quad (3.30)$$

Obviously, we see that (3.29) implies the surjectivity of $S(t)$ and that (3.30) implies the injectivity of $S(t)$. Therefore, $S(t)$ is bijective and

$$\exists S(t)^{-1} = S(-t), \quad \forall t \in R. \quad (3.31)$$

Since $S(t)$ is isometric and surjective, we obtain that $S(t)$ is unitary $\forall t \in R$ (that is, $S(t)^* \circ S(t) = S(t) \circ S(t)^* = I$). Furthermore, from (3.31) we have $S(t)^* = S(-t)$, $\forall t \in R$.

Therefore, $\{S(t)\}_{t \in R}$ is a unitary group of class C_0 on H_{per}^s .

Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 close data in H_{per}^s , then we will prove that their corresponding $S(\cdot)\psi_1$ and $S(\cdot)\psi_2$, respectively, are also close. Since $\{S(t)\}_{t \in R}$ is unitary for $t \in R$, we have

$$\|S(t)\psi_1 - S(t)\psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|S(t)(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s}.$$

Taking supremum over R we have

$$\sup_{t \in R} \|S(t)\psi_1 - S(t)\psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s} = \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H_{per}^s}. \quad (3.32)$$

From here we have that if $\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2$ then $S(\cdot)\psi_1 \rightarrow S(\cdot)\psi_2$.

We will prove: If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t S(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^{s-n}$ and $\|\partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s$.

In effect, using $|k^n \hat{\varphi}|^2 \leq (|k|^n)^2 = (|k|^2)^n \leq (1 + |k|^2)^n$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|e^{ik\theta}| = 1$, $\forall \theta \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |(k^n \hat{\varphi}) e^{iknt} \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |k^n \hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &= \|\varphi\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\|\partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s$. From this inequality we obtain

$$\|\partial_t S(t)\phi_1 - \partial_t S(t)\phi_2\|_{s-n} \leq \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s,$$

with $\phi_i \in H_{per}^s$ for $i = 1, 2$.

So, taking supremum over R we have

$$\sup_{t \in R} \|\partial_t S(t)\phi_1 - \partial_t S(t)\phi_2\|_{s-n} \leq \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s.$$

Finally, if $\varphi \in$ we will prove the continuity of $t \rightarrow \partial_t S(t)\varphi$. That is

$$\|\partial_t S(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0.$$

In effect, as item 3 of the proof of the preceding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t S(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n}^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |(e^{ik^n(t+h)} - e^{ik^nt}) \cdot (k^ni)\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |(e^{ik^nh} - 1)(e^{ik^nt}) \cdot (k^ni)\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |e^{ik^nh} - 1|^2 |e^{ik^nt}|^2 \cdot |k^ni|^2 |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |H(h)|^2 \cdot |k^ni|^2 |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \quad (3.33) \end{aligned}$$

where $H(h) := e^{ik^nh} - 1$.

We observe that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} H(h) = 0$.

Now, we again need the uniform convergence of the series in order to interchange the limits. For this, we take the k -th term of the series and bound it with a convergent series, that is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,t,h} &= 2\pi(1+k^2)^{s-n} |H(h)|^2 \cdot |k^ni|^2 |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq 8\pi(1+k^2)^s |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the triangular inequality (property of the norm), $|k^ni|^2 \leq (1 + |k|^2)^n$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the equality $|e^{i\theta}| = 1$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{k,t,h} \leq 4\|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty, \quad (3.34)$$

and using the M-Test Weierstrass Theorem we get the series in (3.34) converges uniformly. Then, interchanging limits is allowed, that is

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t S(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n}^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_{k,t,h}}_{=0} = 0$$

hence we conclude

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t S(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} = 0.$$

We will give some additional properties of $\{S(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Corollary 3.3 With the hypothesis of preceding Theorem, the following assertions hold

1. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $S(t)\phi \in H_{per}^s$ and $\|S(t)\phi\|_r \leq \|\phi\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r < s$. That is $S(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^r), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r < s$.
2. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $S(\cdot)\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_{per}^r), \forall r < s$.
3. The application: $\phi \rightarrow S(\cdot)\phi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)\phi_1 - S(t)\phi_2\|_r &\leq \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r < s, \\ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|S(t)\phi_1 - S(t)\phi_2\|_r &\leq \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \forall r < s \end{aligned}$$

with $\phi_i \in H_{per}^s$ for $i = 1, 2$.

4. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t S(t)\phi \in H_{per}^{s-n}$ and $\|\partial_t S(t)\phi\|_{r-n} \leq \|\phi\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r < s$.

That is $\partial_t S(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{r-n}), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r < s$ where

$$\partial_t S(t)\varphi = \left[\left((ik^n) e^{ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V \in H_{per}^{r-n}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s, \forall r < s.$$

5. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t S(\cdot)\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_{per}^{r-n}), \forall r < s$.
6. The application: $\phi \rightarrow \partial_t S(\cdot)\phi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t S(t)\phi_1 - \partial_t S(t)\phi_2\|_{r-n} &\leq \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r < s, \\ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\partial_t S(t)\phi_1 - \partial_t S(t)\phi_2\|_{r-n} &\leq \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \forall r < s \end{aligned}$$

with $\phi_i \in H_{per}^s$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof.- Its proof is analogous to the proof of the second Corollary of Theorem 3.1, where we use Sobolev imbedding.

Following, we state the Theorem 3.1 in function of the group $\{S(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 3.3 Let be $s \in \mathbb{R}$, n an odd number such that $n - 1$ is an even number not multiple of four and $\{S(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the group of class C_0 from Theorem 3.2, then $S(\cdot)\varphi$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_{per}^s) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H_{per}^{s-n}) \\ u_t = Au \text{ in } H_{per}^{s-n} \\ u(0) = \varphi \in H_{per}^s \end{cases}$$

in the sense that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{S(t+h)\varphi - S(t)\varphi}{h} - AS(t)\varphi \right\|_{H_{per}^{s-n}} = 0 \quad (3.35)$$

where $A := -\partial_x^n$, and if $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ then $S(\cdot)\varphi_1 \sim S(\cdot)\varphi_2$.

Moreover, the following regularity is satisfied: If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $S(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \leq s$,

$\forall t \in R$ and $\|S(t)\varphi\|_{H_{per}^r} \leq \|\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}, \forall t \in R, \forall r \leq s$.

Also, $\|\partial_t S(t)\varphi\|_{H_{per}^{s-n}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{H_{per}^s}, \forall t \in R, \forall r \leq s, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s$.

Proof.- The proof of (3.35) is analogous to the item 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. And the proof of the remaining statement is also similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and as a consequence of Theorem 3.2.

CONSERVATIVE PROPERTY OF THE PROBLEM (P_i)

Theorem 4.1 Let n is an odd number such that $n-1$ is an even number not multiple of four and w the solution of (P_i) with initial data $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then we obtain the following results:

1. $\partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = 0$.
2. $\|w(t)\|_{s-n} = \|\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, t \in R$.

Proof.- As $H_{per}^s \subset H_{per}^{s-n}$ then the following expressions: $\langle \partial_t w, w \rangle_{s-n}$ and $\langle w, \partial_t w \rangle_{s-n}$ are well defined.

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 &= \partial_t \langle w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n} \\ &= \langle \partial_t w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n} + \langle w(t), \partial_t w(t) \rangle_{s-n} \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_t w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_x^n w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n} &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} \widehat{\partial_x^n w}(k) \cdot \overline{\widehat{w}(k)} \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} (ik)^n \widehat{w}(k) \cdot \overline{\widehat{w}(k)} \\ &= \underbrace{-i 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} k^n |\widehat{w}(k)|^2}_{b:=} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

noting $(ik)^n = -ik^n$ when n is an odd number such that $n-1$ is an even number not multiple of four.

Now, we will prove that the series of the equality (4.2) is convergent. In effect, using the inequality: $|k|^n \leq |k|^{2n} = (|k|^2)^n \leq (1+|k|^2)^n$ and $w(t) \in H_{per}^s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} k^n |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 \right| &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} |k|^n |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} (1+|k|^2)^n |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|w(t)\|_s^2 < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the series (4.2) is convergent, that is,

$$\langle \partial_x^n w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n} = -ib, \quad \text{with } b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

From (4.1), using $\partial_t w = -\partial_x^n w$ and the equality (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_t w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n} \\
&= 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle -\partial_x^n w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n} \\
&= -2 \underbrace{\operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_x^n w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-n}}_{=0} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2$ is a constant. Then, $\|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = \|w(0)\|_{s-n}^2, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

As $(\|w(t)\|_{s-n} - \|w(0)\|_{s-n})(\|w(t)\|_{s-n} + \|w(0)\|_{s-n}) = 0$, we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{s-n} = \|w(0)\|_{s-n} \leq \|w(0)\|_s, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

That is, $\|w(t)\|_{s-n} = \|\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 4.1 [Continuous dependence of the solution of (P_γ)] Let u and v solutions of (P_γ) with initial data ψ_1 and ψ_2 in H_{per}^s , respectively. Then the following assertions hold

$$\partial_t \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = 0$$

and

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n} = \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-n} \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof.- Define $w := u - v$ then w satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \partial_x^n w = 0 \\ w(0) = \psi_1 - \psi_2. \end{cases}$$

We conclude using the Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2 [Uniqueness of solution of (P_γ)] The problem (P_γ) has a unique solution.

Proof.- In effect, let u and v solutions of (P_γ) with the same initial data, that is $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$.

From (4.4) we obtain $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n} \leq \|0\|_s = 0$. Then, $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n} = 0$.

So, $v(t) = u(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, that is $u = v$.

OTHER RESULTS TO N-TH ORDER EQUATION

Remark 5.1 Results analogous to theorem 3.1 are obtained when n is an odd number

such that $n-1$ is an even number multiple of four, where the solution would be

$$v(t) = \left[(e^{-ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V$$

for the initial data $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$.

Remark 5.2 Results analogous to theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.2, are also obtained when n is an odd number such that $n-1$ is an even number multiple of four, where the family of operators introduced is

$$T(t)\varphi = \left[(e^{-ik^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s.$$

Therefore, the version analogous to theorem 3.3 is valid.

Remark 5.3 Results analogous to theorem 4.1, Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2 are also obtained when n is an odd number such that $n-1$ is an even number multiple of four, in this case, note that $(ik)^n = i k^n, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5.4 When n is an even number multiple of four, the problem (P_1) has a solution and the associated family of operators

$$\Gamma(t)\varphi = \left[(e^{-k^n t} \hat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^V, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s$$

forms a contraction semigroup. Note that $(ik)^n = k^n \geq 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Furthermore, the dissipative property of problem (P_1) is obtained using the same technique explained in section 4. Finally,

Remark 5.5 When n is an even number not a multiple of four, the problem (P_1) has no solution.

CONCLUSIONS

By Fourier theory, we proved in detail the existence and uniqueness of solution to the model (P_1) when n is an odd number such that $n-1$ is an even number not multiple of four, as well as the continuous dependency of the solution respect to the initial data. Later on, we introduced the group theory to rewrite the solution of problem (P_1) by this theory, making it much more fine. We used unitary groups theory and get important results of existence and approximation.

We showed the conservative property of the homogeneous problem, which allowed us to deduce the continuous dependence (with respect to the initial data) and uniqueness solution of (P_1) .

Finally, we generalized the results for the n -th order equation when n is an odd number such that $n-1$ is an even number multiple of four. And we analyzed (P_1) for the other cases of n .

REFERENCES

- [1] Iorio, R. and Iorio, V. Fourier Analysis and partial Differential Equations. Cambridge University, 2002.
- [2] Liu Z. and Zheng S. Semigroups associated with dissipative system. Chapman Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [3] Pazy A. Semigroups of linear operator and applications to partial differential equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences. 44 Springer Verlag. Berlín, 1983.
- [4] Santiago Ayala, Yolanda (2012) Global existence and exponential stability for a coupled wave system Journal of Mathematical Sciences: Advances and Applications, 16(12)(2012), 29-46.
- [5] Santiago Ayala, Yolanda Tópicos de Análisis Funcional. Fundamentos y Aplicaciones. Alemania, Editorial Académica Española, 2014.
- [6] Santiago Ayala, Y. and Rojas, S. Regularity and wellposedness of a problem to one parameter and its behavior at the limit, Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society, 32(02)(2017), 207-230.
- [7] Santiago Ayala, Y. and Rojas, S. Existencia y regularidad de solución de la ecuación del calor en espacios de Sobolev periódico, Selecciones Matemáticas, 06(01)(2019), 49-65.
- [8] Santiago Ayala, Y. and Rojas, S. Espacios de Sobolev periódico y análisis de ecuaciones de evolución, Saarbrücken, Editorial Académica Española, 2021.