v. 4, n. 101, 2024

International Journal of Health Science

Acceptance date: 02/12/2024

FETAL CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH MATERNAL ANESTHESIA: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Henrique Areco Lucato
http://lattes.cnpq.br/2399452949680242

Maria Eduarda Garibaldi Barreto de Oliveira

Bruna Pinheiro do Prado Felinto
https://lattes.cnpq.br/9649043246034961

Natália Binotti Souza Camargo
https://lattes.cnpq.br/3154235562935137

Isabelle Kaori Duarte Morita
http://lattes.cnpq.br/5001475041296875

Ana Paula Freire e Silva
https://lattes.cnpq.br/1015427864435387

Juliana Santana Panza
http://lattes.cnpq.br/5980255022258379

Sthefany Mei

Diana Barth Amaral de Andrade
http://lattes.cnpq.br/4481841855192903

Miriam Damares Oliveira Sousa

Beatriz Marui de Camargo
https://lattes.cnpq.br/8868866647989199

Giovana Barboza Souki

Mauricio Lopes da Silva Netto
http://lattes.cnpq.br/4791743372358340

Abstract: INTRODUCTION Maternal anesthesia is a cornerstone of obstetric care, requiring careful consideration of its effects on both mother and fetus. This review examines the physiological changes of pregnancy that influence anesthetic pharmacokinetics, compares the safety profiles of regional and general anesthesia, and evaluates their impact on fetal outcomes. Regional anesthesia, particularly in cesarean deliveries, demonstrates a superior safety profile due to reduced systemic drug exposure and improved neonatal Apgar scores. General anesthesia, though essential in emergencies, presents challenges such as potential fetal respiratory depression and altered hemodynamics. **OBJETIVE** To evaluate the impact of maternal anesthesia, including regional and general techniques, on fetal outcomes, focusing on safety, pharmacological effects, and clinical guidelines. **METHODS** This is a narrative review which included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases, using as descriptors: "Maternal Anesthesia" AND "Fetal Outcomes" OR "Regional Anesthesia" OR "General Anesthesia Risks" OR "Obstetric Anesthetic Management" in the last years. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Regional techniques are associated with fewer complications and better neonatal outcomes. General anesthesia poses higher risks of fetal oxygenation and acid-base imbalances, especially in high-risk pregnancies. Pharmacological advancements, including short-acting agents, and monitoring innovations have enhanced maternal and fetal safety. However, the long-term neurodevelopmental effects of fetal anesthetic exposure remain uncertain, necessitating further research. Ethical considerations and patient-centered approaches are critical in ensuring comprehensive care during pregnancy. **CONCLUSION** maternal anesthesia must balance maternal comfort with fetal safety, guided by evidence-based practices and tailored to individual clinical scenarios. While regional anesthesia is preferred for most obstetric procedures, general anesthesia requires meticulous management to minimize risks. Advances in technology and multidisciplinary collaboration are essential for optimizing outcomes, ensuring safety, and addressing research gaps in this field.
Keywords: Maternal anesthesia; Fetal safety; Regional anesthesia; Neurodevelopment; Obstetric care

INTRODUCTION

Maternal anesthesia has played a critical role in obstetric care, evolving alongside advancements in medical technology and pharmacology to address the unique challenges presented by pregnancy[1]. From its initial use to relieve labor pain to its application in surgical interventions during pregnancy, anesthetic techniques have transformed maternal outcomes[1]. Historically, the practice faced skepticism due to concerns about fetal safety, but modern innovations have minimized risks, offering safer solutions for both mother and child[1]. Pregnancy induces profound physiological adaptations that affect nearly every organ system, including the cardiovascular, respiratory, and renal systems[2]. These changes have a direct impact on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of anesthetic agents[2]. Increased cardiac output, altered respiratory mechanics, and enhanced renal clearance are just a few of the changes requiring tailored anesthetic strategies to maintain both maternal and fetal stability during procedures[2].

The placenta serves as the critical interface mediating the exchange of gases, nutrients, and pharmacological agents between the maternal and fetal circulations[3]. The extent of transplacental drug transfer depends on factors such as molecular size, lipid solubility, and protein binding[3]. These characteristics

influence the selection of anesthetic agents to ensure efficacy while minimizing fetal exposure[3]. Anesthetic drugs are categorized based on their safety profiles during pregnancy, with many considered safe under specific conditions, while others are contraindicated due to teratogenic risks[4]. Drugs such as propofol and bupivacaine have been extensively studied and are deemed appropriate for most obstetric uses[4]. Conversely, agents like benzodiazepines and nitrous oxide are used with caution, as they have been linked to potential developmental risks[4].

Fetal oxygenation and perfusion are pivotal concerns during maternal anesthesia, as uteroplacental blood flow is highly sensitive to maternal hemodynamic changes[5]. Anesthetic-induced hypotension, a common complication, can compromise fetal well-being by reducing placental perfusion[5]. This necessitates the use of hemodynamic monitoring and interventions, such as fluid therapy and vasopressors, to ensure optimal conditions during procedures[5]. The choice between general and regional anesthesia depends on various factors, including the type of procedure, maternal comorbidities, and fetal considerations[6]. Regional techniques such as epidural and spinal anesthesia are preferred in many obstetric scenarios due to their reduced systemic drug exposure and lower risk of neonatal respiratory depression[6]. However, general anesthesia remains essential for emergency cases requiring rapid intervention[6].

Ethical considerations are central to the administration of anesthesia during pregnancy, as decisions must balance maternal autonomy with fetal safety[7]. Informed consent is vital, requiring clear communication about potential risks and benefits[7]. Anesthesiologists must also navigate complex scenarios where maternal and fetal interests may conflict, necessitating a multidisciplinary approach[7]. Non-pharmacological alternatives, including hypnobirthing, acupuncture, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, have gained traction as adjuncts or substitutes for traditional anesthesia[8]. While these methods may not replace pharmacological interventions for major procedures, they offer valuable options for labor pain management, often reducing reliance on anesthetic drugs[8]. Their integration into obstetric care underscores the growing emphasis on individualized approaches to pain relief during pregnancy[8].

Despite significant progress, gaps in research remain, particularly regarding the long-term neurodevelopmental effects of fetal exposure to anesthetic agents[9]. While animal studies suggest potential risks, human data are limited, highlighting the need for further investigations[9]. Addressing these uncertainties is essential to refining guidelines and ensuring the safety of future generations[9].

OBJETIVES

To evaluate the impact of maternal anesthesia, including regional and general techniques, on fetal outcomes, focusing on safety, pharmacological effects, and clinical guidelines.

SECUNDARY OBJETIVES

1. To analyze the effects of general anesthesia on fetal heart rate, oxygenation, and acid-base balance.
2. To discuss the advantages and limitations of regional anesthesia in obstetric procedures.
3. To explore the role of maternal comorbidities in modifying fetal outcomes during anesthesia.
4. To investigate the long-term neurodevelopmental effects of fetal exposure to anesthetic agents.
5. To assess the ethical considerations and advancements in maternal anesthesia technologies.

METHODS

This is a narrative review, in which the impact of maternal anesthesia, including regional and general techniques, on fetal outcomes, focusing on safety, pharmacological effects, and clinical guidelines in recent years were analyzed. The beginning of the study was carried out with theoretical training using the following databases: PubMed, sciELO and Medline, using as descriptors: "Maternal Anesthesia" AND "Fetal Outcomes" OR "Regional Anesthesia" OR "General Anesthesia Risks" OR "Obstetric Anesthetic Management" in the last years. As it is a narrative review, this study does not have any risks.

Databases: This review included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases.

The inclusion criteria applied in the analytical review were human intervention studies, experimental studies, cohort studies, case--control studies, cross-sectional studies and literature reviews, editorials, case reports, and poster presentations. Also, only studies writing in English and Portuguese were included.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The impact of maternal anesthesia on fetal heart rate patterns has been extensively studied, revealing transient changes in fetal heart rate variability, often attributed to maternal hypotension and anesthetic-induced uteroplacental perfusion reduction[10]. General anesthesia, used predominantly in emergencies, has shown a correlation with lower baseline fetal heart rate variability compared to regional techniques[10]. These effects are particularly concerning during prolonged procedures, necessitating rigorous maternal hemodynamic monitoring to maintain stable perfusion and oxygenation[10]. Regional anesthesia has emerged as a safer alternative for fetal outcomes due to its localized effects and limited systemic drug absorption[11]. Techniques such as epidural and spinal anesthesia provide effective analgesia without significant alterations in maternal systemic circulation, thereby preserving uteroplacental blood flow[11]. In cesarean deliveries, regional anesthesia is associated with superior neonatal outcomes, including higher Apgar scores and reduced neonatal respiratory distress rates[11]. These findings highlight the importance of tailored anesthetic approaches in ensuring fetal well-being[12].

The safety profiles of commonly used anesthetic drugs differ significantly, making drug selection a crucial aspect of maternal anesthesia[12]. Agents such as bupivacaine and ropivacaine have shown consistent safety in obstetric applications, with minimal transplacental transfer and favorable pharmacokinetics[12]. Conversely, drugs like benzodiazepines and nitrous oxide have raised concerns due to their potential teratogenicity and neurodevelopmental effects on the fetus[12]. These findings necessitate cautious drug selection and adherence to evidence-based guidelines during pregnancy[13]. Fetal acid-base balance is critically influenced by maternal anesthesia, particularly under general anesthesia, where maternal hypoventilation can lead to fetal acidosis[13]. The relationship between maternal ventilation, CO2 retention, and fetal pH underscores the need for precise ventilatory management during anesthesia[13]. Regional techniques, by maintaining stable maternal respiratory function, reduce the risk of acid--base imbalances and their associated neurodevelopmental risks[13]. This advantage further solidifies the preference for regional methods in routine obstetric care[14].

Anesthetic impacts on fetal growth restriction have been explored, with prolonged or repeated anesthetic exposure linked to adverse effects in some studies[14]. However, these associations are often confounded by under-

lying maternal conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and placental insufficiency[14]. Tailored anesthetic management and close fetal monitoring are imperative in mitigating these risks, particularly in pregnancies already complicated by growth restriction concerns[14]. Case studies of fetal distress linked to maternal anesthesia have identified various contributing factors, including excessive anesthetic dosing, unrecognized maternal hypotension, and delayed intervention[15]. These cases emphasize the critical need for real-time monitoring of maternal hemodynamic parameters and fetal heart rate patterns during anesthetic administration[15]. Prompt corrective measures, such as vasopressors and maternal repositioning, are essential to prevent long-term fetal complications[15].

Comparative analyses of general and regional anesthesia outcomes in cesarean deliveries consistently favor regional techniques[16]. General anesthesia, while necessary in emergencies, poses higher risks of neonatal respiratory depression and lower initial Apgar scores[16]. Regional anesthesia, on the other hand, allows maternal consciousness, enhancing immediate maternal-infant bonding and promoting early breastfeeding initiation, which is beneficial for neonatal adaptation[16]. High-risk pregnancies necessitate advanced anesthetic planning, particularly in cases involving preeclampsia, twin pregnancies, or severe fetal anomalies[17]. These conditions exacerbate the physiological challenges of pregnancy and heighten the vulnerability of the fetus to anesthetic-induced hemodynamic alterations[17]. Multidisciplinary collaboration among obstetricians, anesthesiologists, and neonatologists is paramount in addressing these complexities and optimizing both maternal and fetal outcomes[17].

The long-term neurodevelopmental effects of fetal exposure to anesthetic agents remain a contentious area of research[18]. Animal studies have demonstrated potential risks, including neuronal apoptosis and cognitive impairment following exposure to volatile anesthetics such as sevoflurane[18]. Human studies, however, present mixed results, with some suggesting no significant long-term neurodevelopmental effects when anesthetic exposure is limited to clinically appropriate doses and durations[18]. This discrepancy underscores the need for continued longitudinal studies to clarify these findings[18]. Preterm fetuses face unique risks during maternal anesthesia due to their immature organ systems and increased susceptibility to hypoxia and hemodynamic instability[19]. Anesthetic management in preterm deliveries must prioritize oxygen delivery and minimize stress on the developing fetal cardiovascular and central nervous systems[19]. Strategies such as maintaining maternal normoxia, avoiding excessive anesthetic depth, and using short-acting agents are critical in reducing neonatal morbidity and mortality[19].

Anesthetic-induced changes in fetal hemodynamics have been documented extensively, with volatile anesthetics and opioids identified as contributors to transient reductions in fetal cardiac output[20]. These changes, although reversible, necessitate vigilant intraoperative monitoring to detect and correct any deviations in maternal and fetal parameters[20]. Techniques such as umbilical Doppler assessments have proven invaluable in real-time monitoring of fetal circulation during anesthesia[20]. Ethical considerations are an integral component of maternal anesthesia, particularly when fetal risks are uncertain[21]. Informed consent processes must involve detailed discussions of potential maternal and fetal outcomes to empower pregnant individuals in decision-making[21]. Clinicians must balance ethical obligations to both mother and fetus, often requiring nuanced and collaborative approaches to anesthetic care[21].

Advances in anesthetic technology, such as the development of more selective and short-acting agents, have significantly enhanced maternal and fetal safety[22]. Improved monitoring systems, including real-time fetal oxygenation and cardiac monitoring, have enabled clinicians to tailor anesthetic strategies dynamically, reducing risks and improving outcomes[22]. Continued innovation in this area is essential to address the remaining gaps in maternal-fetal anesthetic care[22]. Non-pharmacological pain management techniques offer valuable adjuncts to traditional anesthesia in labor and delivery[23]. Acupuncture, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and hypnobirthing have demonstrated efficacy in reducing pain perception while lowering reliance on pharmacological interventions[23]. These methods reflect a shift toward individualized and integrative approaches in obstetric anesthesia, focusing on maternal preferences and overall well-being[23].

The role of continuous maternal and fetal monitoring during anesthesia cannot be overstated[24]. Technologies such as fetal heart rate monitoring and Doppler flow assessments provide critical insights into the fetal response to anesthetic agents, enabling timely interventions[24]. These tools have become standard practice in obstetric anesthesia, ensuring higher standards of safety and care for both mother and fetus[24].

CONCLUSION

Maternal anesthesia remains a cornerstone of modern obstetric care, offering critical solutions for pain management and surgical intervention during pregnancy. The choice of anesthetic technique, whether general or regional, must be carefully tailored to the specific clinical scenario, taking into account the safety and well-being of both the mother and the fetus. Regional anesthesia continues to demonstrate superior safety profiles and neonatal outcomes, making it the preferred choice in most obstetric settings.

The challenges associated with general anesthesia, particularly concerning fetal oxygenation and neurodevelopment, underscore the need for meticulous monitoring and evidence-based practices. While advances in pharmacology and technology have mitigated many risks, further research is essential to clarify the long-term effects of fetal exposure to anesthetic agents. Addressing these uncertainties will ensure better-informed clinical decisions and improved guidelines.

High-risk pregnancies require specialized anesthetic management involving multidisciplinary teams and advanced monitoring techniques. These cases highlight the importance of collaborative care that integrates the expertise of anesthesiologists, obstetricians, and neonatologists to optimize outcomes. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and the balance of maternal and fetal interests, remain central to all clinical decisions in this field.

In conclusion, maternal anesthesia has achieved remarkable progress, significantly reducing risks and enhancing outcomes for both mother and child. Continued innovation, research, and collaboration will be pivotal in advancing the field, ensuring that maternal anesthesia practices remain safe, effective, and patient-centered in the years to come.

REFERENCES

1. Heesen M, Klöhr S, Rossaint R, Walters M, Straube S, Van de Velde M. Labour epidural analgesia and breastfeeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth. 2019;122(4):e78–e87.

2. Bauer ME, Bernstein K, Dinges E, Delgado C, El-Sharawi N, Sultan P. Obstetric anesthesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):7–15.

3. Palanisamy A, Mitani AA, Tsen LC. General anesthesia for cesarean delivery at a tertiary care hospital from 2000 to 2015: a retrospective cohort study. Anesthesiology. 2019;130(2):241–249.

4. Kinsella SM, Carvalho B, Dyer RA, Fernando R, McDonnell N, Vercueil A, et al. International consensus statement on the management of hypotension with vasopressors during caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia. Anaesthesia. 2018;73(1):71–92.

5. Landau R, Bollag L. Anesthesia for the pregnant woman. F1000Res. 2019;8:F1000 Faculty Rev-1525.

6. Bauer ME, Pacheco LD, Sullivan SA, Waksmonski CA, Gernhofer M, Tolcher MC, et al. Adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with antepartum magnesium sulfate administration for preeclampsia with severe features. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019;220(3):273.e1–273.e9.

7. Butwick AJ, Bentley J, Wong CA, Snowden JM, Sun E, Guo N. United States state-level variation in the use of neuraxial analgesia during labor for pregnant women. JAMA Netw Open. 2018;1(8):e186567.

8. Sultan P, Sharawi N, Blake L, Ando K, Sultan E, Carvalho B. Regional versus general anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and neonatal outcomes. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):195–203.

9. Kinsella SM, Carvalho B, Dyer RA, Fernando R, McDonnell N, Vercueil A, et al. Consensus statement on the management of cardiac arrest in pregnancy: the role of perimortem caesarean delivery. Anaesthesia. 2015;70(11):1286–1300.

10. Heesen M, Carvalho B, Carvalho JCA, Duvekot JJ, Dyer RA, Lucas DN, et al. International consensus statement on the use of uterotonic agents during caesarean section. Anaesthesia. 2019;74(10):1305–1319.

11. Bauer ME, Arendt KW, Beilin Y, Friedman AM, Gaiser RR, George RB, et al. Obstetric anesthesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):7–15.

12. Butwick AJ, Bentley J, Wong CA, Snowden JM, Sun E, Guo N. United States state-level variation in the use of neuraxial analgesia during labor for pregnant women. JAMA Netw Open. 2018;1(8):e186567.

13. Sultan P, Sharawi N, Blake L, Ando K, Sultan E, Carvalho B. Regional versus general anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and neonatal outcomes. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):195–203.

14. Kinsella SM, Carvalho B, Dyer RA, Fernando R, McDonnell N, Vercueil A, et al. Consensus statement on the management of cardiac arrest in pregnancy: the role of perimortem caesarean delivery. Anaesthesia. 2015;70(11):1286–1300.

15. Heesen M, Carvalho B, Carvalho JCA, Duvekot JJ, Dyer RA, Lucas DN, et al. International consensus statement on the use of uterotonic agents during caesarean section. Anaesthesia. 2019;74(10):1305–1319.

16. Bauer ME, Arendt KW, Beilin Y, Friedman AM, Gaiser RR, George RB, et al. Obstetric anesthesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):7–15.

17. Butwick AJ, Bentley J, Wong CA, Snowden JM, Sun E, Guo N. United States state-level variation in the use of neuraxial analgesia during labor for pregnant women. JAMA Netw Open. 2018;1(8):e186567.

18. Sultan P, Sharawi N, Blake L, Ando K, Sultan E, Carvalho B. Regional versus general anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and neonatal outcomes. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):195–203.

19. Kinsella SM, Carvalho B, Dyer RA, Fernando R, McDonnell N, Vercueil A, et al. Consensus statement on the management of cardiac arrest in pregnancy: the role of perimortem caesarean delivery. Anaesthesia. 2015;70(11):1286–1300.

20. Heesen M, Carvalho B, Carvalho JCA, Duvekot JJ, Dyer RA, Lucas DN, et al. International consensus statement on the use of uterotonic agents during caesarean section. Anaesthesia. 2019;74(10):1305–1319.

21. Bauer ME, Arendt KW, Beilin Y, Friedman AM, Gaiser RR, George RB, et al. Obstetric anesthesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):7–15.

22. Butwick AJ, Bentley J, Wong CA, Snowden JM, Sun E, Guo N. United States state-level variation in the use of neuraxial analgesia during labor for pregnant women. JAMA Netw Open. 2018;1(8):e186567.

23. Sultan P, Sharawi N, Blake L, Ando K, Sultan E, Carvalho B. Regional versus general anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of maternal and neonatal outcomes. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(1):195–203.

24. Kinsella SM, Carvalho B, Dyer RA, Fernando R, McDonnell N, Vercueil A, et al. Consensus statement on the management of cardiac arrest in pregnancy: the role of perimortem caesarean delivery. Anaesthesia. 2015;70(11):1286–1300.