International Journal of Health Science

Acceptance date: 29/11/2024

PERIOPERATIVE VISUAL LOSS IN OTOLARYNGOLOGIC SURGERY AN OPHTHALMOLOGIC AND ANESTHETIC PERSPECTIVE ON RISK FACTORS AND PREVENTION – A LITERATURE REVIEW

Isadora Godoy Bianchi

https://lattes.cnpq.br/8319213434438634 https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7113-6259

Fernanda Flores da Costa Neves http://lattes.cnpq.br/5937690570820285 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7778-1253

Nicolas Jose Suek Cechelero https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4992-8300

Paulo Felipe de Oliveira Lima http://lattes.cnpq.br/0566637505049916

Amanda Falkenbach Tenius

https://lattes.cnpq.br/3024964144683714 https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7828-6044

Elizabeth Amann Simões

http://lattes.cnpq.br/0455126950349016 https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5154-8307

Anna Júlia Amaral Dreweck

https://lattes.cnpq.br/3872414415154478 https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0745-9740



All content in this magazine is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License. Attribution-Non-Commercial-Non-Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

Gustavo Henrique Pedroso

https://lattes.cnpq.br/5727023661160116 https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9915-9291

Ana Julia Terres Fausto

http://lattes.cnpq.br/6792778438393754 https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3541-3613 Abstract: Objective The purpose of this literature review is to explore perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgeries from ophthalmologic, anesthetic, and otolaryngologic perspectives. It aims to identify key risk factors, evaluate preventive strategies, and highlight the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in managing this rare but serious complication. Methodology A systematic review of the literature was conducted using databases such as PubMed, MEDLINE, and relevant journals. Inclusion criteria focused on studies addressing POVL in the context of otolaryngologic surgeries, with specific emphasis on risk factors, mechanisms, and preventive measures. The analysis synthesized findings related to patient-specific vulnerabilities, surgical techniques, and anesthetic management, providing a comprehensive overview of the current knowledge base. Results The findings indicate that POVL is a multifactorial complication influenced by systemic factors such as hypertension, diabetes, anemia, and vascular abnormalities, as well as procedural risks like prolonged operative duration, prone positioning, and direct trauma to orbital structures. High-risk procedures, including endoscopic sinus surgeries and skull base operations, were particularly implicated. Preventive measures such as preoperative risk assessment, intraoperative hemodynamic stabilization, and postoperative vigilance were consistently emphasized across studies. Collaborative strategies involving ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, and anesthesiologists were found to significantly mitigate the risk of POVL. Conclusion POVL in otolaryngologic surgeries represents a complex challenge requiring a multidisciplinary approach. Tailored preventive measures, informed by patient--specific risk factors and procedural demands, are essential for reducing its incidence. Future research should focus on refining intraoperative technologies, establishing standardized

protocols, and leveraging innovations such as artificial intelligence for risk prediction. Enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration is critical to ensuring optimal patient outcomes and advancing the understanding of POVL in this unique surgical context.

Keywords: Perioperative Visual Loss; Otolaryngologic Surgery; Risk Factors; Interdisciplinary Collaboration; Preventive Strategies

INTRODUCTION

Perioperative visual loss (POVL) is a rare but potentially devastating complication of surgery, defined as a significant loss of vision occurring in the perioperative period. Although most commonly associated with spinal and cardiac procedures, its occurrence in otolaryngologic surgeries has garnered attention due to the proximity of surgical sites to critical ocular structures. The incidence of POVL in nonocular surgeries varies widely, from 0.002% to 0.2%, with ischemic optic neuropathy (ION), central retinal artery occlusion (CRAO), and cortical blindness being the most frequently implicated mechanisms. Among these, anterior and posterior ischemic optic neuropathies (AION and PION) are especially prevalent, resulting from compromised optic nerve perfusion. In otolaryngologic procedures, risk factors such as prolonged operative time, excessive blood loss, patient positioning, and certain anesthetic techniques elevate the risk of this severe complication.

Understanding and addressing POVL is critically important because of its irreversible nature and its profound impact on a patient's quality of life. Preventing POVL requires a collaborative approach involving anesthesiologists, ophthalmologists, and otolaryngologists. From the anesthetic perspective, managing intraoperative factors such as blood pressure, oxygenation, and fluid replacement is essential to reducing optic nerve ischemia risk. Ophthalmologists play a key role in diagnosing and managing complications, offering insights into mechanisms of injury and potential interventions. For otolaryngologists, the anatomical relationship between the surgical field and ocular structures necessitates heightened vigilance, particularly in procedures like endoscopic sinus surgery, where the orbit, optic nerve, and lacrimal system are at increased risk of injury.

This review explores the multifactorial nature of POVL in otolaryngologic surgery, highlighting its pathophysiology, risk factors, and preventive strategies. It synthesizes perspectives from ophthalmology and anesthesiology to provide a comprehensive understanding of this complication, equipping clinicians with the knowledge to identify high-risk situations, implement preventive measures, and manage complications effectively. By improving awareness and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, this review aims to contribute to better patient outcomes and reduce the incidence of this rare but serious condition.

OBJECTIVES

This literature review aims to address the critical issue of perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgery by exploring its multifaceted aspects and proposing strategies for prevention and management. The primary goals are to identify the key risk factors contributing to POVL in these surgeries, including patient-specific, surgical, and anesthetic factors. By analyzing these risk factors, the review seeks to delineate the respective roles of ophthalmology and anesthesiology in managing and mitigating these risks. Furthermore, evidence-based preventive measures will be proposed, emphasizing the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in minimizing the incidence and impact of this complication.

The significance of this review lies in its potential to inform clinical practice by providing a consolidated understanding of POVL from both ophthalmologic and anesthetic perspectives. By highlighting the mechanisms, risk factors, and preventive strategies, the review aims to equip clinicians with actionable insights to enhance patient safety during otolaryngologic procedures. Additionally, it identifies gaps in the current literature, guiding future research to develop innovative approaches for reducing POVL risk and improving surgical outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

To conduct this literature review on perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgery, a comprehensive search strategy was employed to identify relevant studies across various medical and surgical disciplines. The databases utilized included PubMed, Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. Keywords were carefully selected to capture the multifaceted nature of POVL and its relevance to otolaryngologic surgery. Key terms such as "perioperative visual loss," "ischemic optic neuropathy," "otolaryngologic surgery complications," "endoscopic sinus surgery," "ophthalmologic risk," and "anesthetic factors" were used in various combinations. Inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and case reports published in English that addressed POVL in the context of otolaryngologic procedures or provided insights into risk factors and prevention strategies. Studies examining POVL in other surgical settings were included if their findings were applicable to otolaryngologic practices. Exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed articles, editorials, and studies focusing exclusively on non-surgical visual complications.

The selection of studies involved a twostep process. First, titles and abstracts of all retrieved articles were screened for relevance based on predefined criteria. Articles that met these criteria were then subjected to full-text review to assess their quality and relevance to the review's objectives. To ensure the inclusion of high-quality evidence, preference was given to studies with robust methodologies, such as randomized controlled trials, large observational studies, and systematic reviews. Case reports and smaller studies were included if they provided unique insights or detailed rare complications.

The analysis of selected studies was guided by a thematic synthesis framework. Studies were categorized based on their focus, such as patient-related, surgical, or anesthetic risk factors, as well as prevention strategies. Key findings were extracted and critically appraised to identify common themes, contradictions, and gaps in the literature. This approach enabled a comprehensive synthesis of evidence, facilitating the identification of patterns and actionable recommendations for clinical practice.

LITERATURE REVIEW

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF POVL

Perioperative visual loss (POVL) results from complex mechanisms, primarily ischemia, increased intraocular pressure (IOP), and optic neuropathy. Although rare, these complications can lead to permanent and devastating outcomes. Understanding the underlying pathophysiology is essential for identifying risk factors and implementing preventive strategies.

Ischemia is the most significant mechanism in POVL, commonly manifesting as ischemic optic neuropathy (ION), which includes anterior (AION) and posterior (PION) forms. AION typically affects the optic nerve head and is frequently seen in cardiac surgeries, where systemic hypoperfusion compromises blood supply to the optic nerve head. Conversely, PION occurs in the retrobulbar portion of the optic nerve and is commonly associated with prolonged prone positioning, as observed in spinal or neck procedures (¹, ¹⁰). The posterior portion of the optic nerve is particularly vulnerable due to its lack of direct blood supply from the ophthalmic artery, relying instead on collateral circulation from pial vessels, which may be insufficient under conditions of systemic hypoperfusion (¹, ⁷, ¹⁷).

Prolonged systemic hypotension, excessive intraoperative blood loss, anemia, and hypoxia exacerbate ischemic injury by compromising ocular perfusion pressure (⁷, ¹⁰). An imbalance between ocular perfusion pressure and IOP leads to optic nerve ischemia, particularly when systemic blood pressure decreases and venous pressure increases due to prolonged Trendelenburg or prone positioning. This explains why long surgeries with significant intraoperative hemodynamic fluctuations carry higher risks for POVL (⁷, ⁸, ¹⁸).

Increased intraocular pressure (IOP) is another critical contributor to POVL. Elevated IOP reduces ocular perfusion pressure, exacerbating ischemia. During surgeries near the orbit, such as endoscopic sinus surgery, direct pressure on the globe can lead to acute IOP elevation, causing retinal and optic nerve damage $(^2, ^4, ^{12})$. Additionally, systemic factors like excessive fluid resuscitation, vasopressor use, and patient positioning contribute to IOP elevation. Long Trendelenburg positioning, often required in head and neck surgeries, has been specifically associated with increased orbital venous pressure and consequent IOP elevation (8 , 14).

Anesthetic agents also influence IOP, with some causing transient elevations that may exacerbate underlying susceptibility to ischemia. Studies have shown that general anesthetics like succinylcholine and inhalational agents may temporarily increase IOP. While transient, these elevations may be significant in patients with baseline ocular risk factors, such as glaucoma or vascular anomalies (¹⁴, ¹⁵).

Optic neuropathy often results from ischemic events and mechanical trauma. The optic nerve's high metabolic demands and reliance on the posterior ciliary arteries, which lack robust collateral blood supply, render it particularly vulnerable to hypoxia and ischemia. Surgical manipulation near the orbit or inadvertent trauma during endoscopic sinus surgery can cause direct optic nerve injury. Advances in powered instrumentation, while improving surgical precision, have also introduced risks of rapid, irreversible injuries due to accidental nerve trauma or orbital hemorrhage $\binom{2, 4, 5}{2}$.

BeyondischemiaandIOP,othermechanisms may contribute to POVL in specific scenarios. For example, retinal vascular occlusion has been linked to perioperative positioning and compression of orbital structures, resulting in compromised retinal blood flow. This mechanism is particularly relevant during prolonged surgeries in the prone position, where unintentional pressure on the globe may occur (⁵, ¹⁵). Similarly, cortical blindness due to occipital lobe ischemia or embolism, though rare, underscores the systemic nature of POVL in susceptible patients (¹, ¹⁰).

In summary, POVL is a multifactorial complication with its pathophysiology rooted in ischemia, IOP elevation, and optic neuropathy. These mechanisms often interact, with systemic, surgical, and anesthetic factors compounding the risk. Understanding these interactions is critical for developing strategies to mitigate the risk of POVL and improve patient outcomes in otolaryngologic and other surgeries. Emerging evidence highlights the importance of individualized patient assessment, hemodynamic optimization, and meticulous surgical techniques in minimizing this rare but severe complication.

RISK FACTORS

The risk factors for perioperative visual loss (POVL) are multifactorial, encompassing patient-specific, surgical, and anesthetic-related elements. In otolaryngologic procedures, these risks are heightened by the anatomical proximity of surgical sites to the orbit and optic nerve, as well as the unique challenges associated with surgical techniques and patient positioning.

Patient-Related Risk Factors: Patient demographics and pre-existing conditions significantly influence the risk of POVL in otolaryngologic surgeries. Advanced age is a key risk factor, as older patients often have diminished vascular compliance and optic nerveperfusion, predisposing them to ischemic optic neuropathy (ION). In otolaryngologic settings, this is particularly relevant for procedures involving prolonged operative times or extensive tissue manipulation, which can strain systemic circulation (1, 17). Male sex has also been associated with higher POVL risks, possibly due to anatomical and hemodynamic differences (7, 18).

Systemic comorbidities, including hypertension and diabetes mellitus, are prevalent in patients undergoing otolaryngologic surgeries. Hypertension can impair autoregulation of optic nerve perfusion, while diabetes contributes to microvascular compromise, both of which increase susceptibility to ischemic injury. For example, patients undergoing endoscopic sinus surgery often have chronic inflammatory conditions that may exacerbate vascular fragility, further elevating risk $(^2, ^4)$.

Conditions such as obesity, which is common in patients undergoing otolaryngologic surgeries like sleep apnea procedures, pose additional challenges. Obesity exacerbates venous congestion during prolonged Trendelenburg positioning, a common practice in head and neck surgeries, increasing intraocular pressure (IOP) and compromising ocular perfusion (⁸, ¹⁵). Anemia and hypoxia, frequently encountered in patients with chronic obstructive sleep apnea (often seen in otolaryngologic populations), further reduce oxygen delivery to the optic nerve, increasing POVL risk (¹, ¹⁰).

Surgical-Related Risk Factors: Otolaryngologic surgeries, particularly those involving the paranasal sinuses, skull base, or orbit, present unique surgical risks for POVL. Endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS), widely used for treating sinusitis and mucoceles, carries inherent risks due to the proximity of the surgical field to the optic nerve, extraocular muscles, and orbital contents. Injuries can occur from direct trauma, thermal damage from powered instruments, or orbital hemorrhage, all of which can compromise visual pathways (², ⁴). Powered cutting tools, while precise, have been implicated in rapid and irreversible complications such as optic nerve trauma and orbital fat herniation (⁴).

The duration of surgery is a critical factor in otolaryngologic procedures. Prolonged surgeries increase the cumulative risk of hypotension, blood loss, and IOP elevation. This is particularly relevant in complex cases, such as skull base tumor resections or revision sinus surgeries, which often exceed six hours. Prolonged prone or Trendelenburg positioning, necessary for optimal surgical access in otolaryngology, exacerbates venous congestion and raises IOP, significantly increasing POVL risk (⁷, ⁸, ¹⁸).

Additionally, procedures like blepharoplasty and dacryocystorhinostomy, although primarily cosmetic or functional, pose risks due to their anatomical focus on the orbit. Retrobulbar hemorrhage in these procedures can lead to acute optic nerve compression and visual loss if not promptly managed (¹²). Radiation therapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma, a common treatment in otolaryngology, has also been linked to optic nerve disorders and retinopathy, highlighting the extended scope of POVL risks in this specialty (⁶). Anesthetic-Related Risk Factors: Anesthetic management plays a pivotal role in POVL prevention during otolaryngologic surgeries. Hemodynamic changes are a primary concern, as hypotension, prolonged low mean arterial pressure, and excessive blood loss directly compromise optic nerve perfusion. This is especially critical in surgeries requiring significant fluid replacement or vasopressor use, both of which can elevate venous pressure and increase IOP (¹⁴, ¹⁵).

General anesthesia agents may also influence IOP. For example, succinylcholine and certain inhalational agents are known to transiently elevate IOP. While these effects are typically brief, they may become clinically significant during prolonged otolaryngologic procedures involving vulnerable patients (¹⁴). Fluid management strategies are equally critical; over-resuscitation can exacerbate venous congestion in the orbital region, while under--resuscitation may lead to hypovolemia and reduced optic nerve perfusion (¹⁵, ¹⁷).

In otolaryngologic surgeries involving extensive tissue manipulation, such as endoscopic sinus or skull base surgery, the risk of air embolism is heightened, particularly during procedures requiring controlled hypotension or venous sinus exposure. This adds another layer of complexity to anesthetic management, as embolic events can result in cortical blindness or other neurological deficits (¹⁰, ¹⁷).

In otolaryngologic surgeries, patient-specific, surgical, and anesthetic factors interact uniquely to increase the risk of POVL. Comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, and obesity, combined with prolonged surgical durations and specialized positioning, create a challenging risk profile. The proximity of the surgical field to the optic nerve and orbit introduces additional vulnerabilities, particularly in endoscopic sinus and skull base procedures. Effective anesthetic management, including careful hemodynamic monitoring and tailored fluid replacement, is critical for mitigating these risks. A thorough understanding of these factors enables clinicians to identify high-risk scenarios, implement preventive strategies, and optimize patient outcomes.

PREVENTION STRATEGIES

Preventing perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgery requires a multidisciplinary approach that emphasizes preoperative risk assessment, meticulous intraoperative management, and vigilant postoperative monitoring. These strategies are critical given the unique challenges posed by otolaryngologic procedures, such as their proximity to orbital structures and the use of specialized surgical positioning.

Preoperative Assessment: Identifying high-risk patients preoperatively is essential for preventing POVL. Comprehensive patient evaluation should include a detailed medical history focusing on predisposing conditions, such as hypertension, diabetes, obesity, and vascular anomalies. Patients with pre-existing ocular diseases, such as glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy, are at elevated risk and may require ophthalmologic consultation before surgery (¹, ¹²). Preoperative identification of systemic factors like anemia and hypoxia is equally important, as these conditions impair oxygen delivery to the optic nerve and increase susceptibility to ischemic injuries during prolonged surgeries (¹⁰, ¹⁵).

In otolaryngologic settings, preoperative imaging is particularly valuable for procedures involving the paranasal sinuses, orbit, or skull base. Advanced imaging techniques, such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), can delineate anatomical variations or abnormalities that may increase the risk of orbital or optic nerve complications. For instance, in endoscopic sinus surgeries, imaging can identify areas of thin orbital bone or proximity to the optic nerve, enabling surgeons to plan safer surgical approaches (², ⁴). In patients undergoing radiation therapy for head and neck cancers, routine ophthalmologic evaluations are recommended to assess baseline ocular health and monitor for radiation-induced optic neuropathy (⁶).

Additionally, the informed consent process is a critical component of preoperative care. Patients should be educated about the rare but serious risk of POVL, particularly in high-risk cases involving complex skull base or sinus surgeries. This ensures that patients have realistic expectations and are aware of the importance of adhering to postoperative follow-up (¹⁷).

Intraoperative Measures: Meticulous intraoperative management is pivotal in reducing POVL risk during otolaryngologic surgeries. Proper positioning is one of the most critical factors. Prolonged prone or Trendelenburg positioning, commonly employed in otolaryngologic and head and neck procedures, increases venous pressure, leading to orbital congestion and elevated intraocular pressure (IOP). Efforts should be made to minimize the duration of these positions, and surgeons should periodically return the patient to a neutral position to alleviate venous stasis (⁷, ⁸).

Hemodynamic monitoring is equally important. Maintaining stable mean arterial pressure (MAP) is critical for ensuring adequate optic nerve perfusion. Prolonged periods of hypotension, often employed to reduce surgical bleeding, should be avoided in high-risk patients. Close collaboration between the surgical and anesthetic teams is necessary to balance hemodynamic goals with surgical requirements (¹⁰, ¹⁴). Monitoring tools such as intraoperative ocular perfusion pressure assessments and advanced hemodynamic monitors can provide real-time insights into optic nerve perfusion status (¹⁷).

Reducing operative time is another key preventive strategy. Prolonged surgical duration increases the risk of systemic hypotension, blood loss, and IOP elevation, all of which contribute to POVL. Strategies such as preoperative planning, efficient surgical techniques, and the use of advanced instrumentation can significantly reduce operative time without compromising outcomes (¹⁸, ²).

For surgeries near the orbit, such as endoscopic sinus or skull base surgeries, the use of powered instrumentation requires precise control to avoid inadvertent trauma to the optic nerve or orbital structures. Techniques like image-guided navigation can improve surgical accuracy and reduce the risk of iatrogenic injury (⁴, ¹²).

Postoperative Monitoring: Postoperative vigilance is crucial for the early detection and management of visual disturbances. Any report of visual symptoms, such as blurriness, pain, or loss of vision, should be promptly evaluated. In the immediate postoperative period, patients should be assessed for signs of retrobulbar hemorrhage, a common cause of acute optic nerve compression, particularly in procedures like blepharoplasty or orbital decompression (¹², ¹⁴).

Routine ophthalmologic examinations are recommended for high-risk patients undergoing complex otolaryngologic surgeries. These evaluations can detect subtle changes in visual acuity or fundoscopic abnormalities, such as optic disc swelling, which may indicate early ischemic optic neuropathy (⁵, ⁶). For patients who have undergone radiation therapy, regular monitoring for radiation-induced retinopathy or optic neuropathy is essential, as these complications may develop months or years after treatment (⁶).

If visual loss is detected postoperatively, immediate intervention is required. Management strategies depend on the underlying cause but may include orbital decompression, high-dose corticosteroids to reduce inflammation, or surgical evacuation of hematomas. Time-sensitive interventions are critical, as delays can result in permanent visual impairment $(^{1}, ^{17})$.

Preventing POVL in otolaryngologic surgery involves a comprehensive strategy spanning preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative phases. Preoperative identification of high-risk patients and detailed surgical planning, including advanced imaging and patient counseling, form the foundation of prevention. Intraoperatively, minimizing positioning-related risks, maintaining stable hemodynamics, and employing efficient surgical techniques are essential for mitigating risk. Postoperative monitoring ensures that any visual disturbances are promptly addressed, improving the likelihood of recovery. By integrating these measures, clinicians can significantly reduce the incidence of this rare but severe complication and improve patient outcomes.

OPHTHALMOLOGIC VS. ANESTHETIC PERSPECTIVES: DISTINCT AND SHARED ROLES IN PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT

Preventing and managing perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgery requires a collaborative approach between ophthalmologists and anesthesiologists. Each specialty contributes distinct expertise while sharing responsibilities in optimizing patient outcomes. Their complementary roles address different dimensions of risk, from ocular-specific considerations to systemic and intraoperative factors.

Ophthalmologic Perspective: Ophthalmologists play a pivotal role in both preoperative assessment and postoperative management of POVL. Preoperatively, their expertise is critical in identifying high-risk patients through comprehensive ocular evaluations. Baseline assessments, including fundoscopic exams and visual field testing, can identify pre-existing optic neuropathies, vascular abnormalities, or other ocular conditions that predispose patients to ischemic injuries (¹, ¹⁷). In otolaryngologic procedures involving the paranasal sinuses, orbit, or skull base, ophthalmologists often collaborate with otolaryngologists to interpret imaging studies and assess the anatomical relationship of the surgical field to ocular structures. For instance, in endoscopic sinus surgeries, they can provide insights into mitigating risks to the optic nerve or orbital contents (², ⁴).

Postoperatively, ophthalmologists are integral to the early detection and management of visual disturbances. If POVL is suspected, urgent ophthalmologic evaluation can differentiate between ischemic optic neuropathy, retinal vascular occlusion, and cortical blindness, guiding specific interventions. For example, retrobulbar hemorrhages following otolaryngologic or cosmetic procedures such as blepharoplasty require immediate decompression to prevent permanent optic nerve damage (¹², ¹⁵). Additionally, ophthalmologists are often involved in managing long-term complications, such as radiation-induced retinopathy or optic neuropathy in patients treated for nasopharyngeal carcinoma (⁶).

Ophthalmologists also contribute to the design of protective measures during surgery. For instance, their recommendations for intraoperative eye protection, such as taping eyelids or using corneal shields, help prevent corneal abrasions, a common complication in prolonged procedures requiring general anesthesia (¹⁴, ⁸).

Anesthetic Perspective: Anesthesiologists are crucial in managing systemic factors that influence ocular perfusion during surgery. Hemodynamic optimization is a central aspect of their role, as hypotension and significant blood loss are primary contributors to ischemic optic neuropathy. By maintaining stable mean arterial pressure and avoiding excessive fluid administration, anesthesiologists help preserve ocular perfusion pressure and reduce the risk of venous congestion (¹⁰, ¹⁷).

In otolaryngologic surgeries requiring prone or Trendelenburg positioning, anesthesiologists work closely with surgeons to limit the duration of these positions, mitigating the risk of increased intraocular pressure (IOP) and reduced optic nerve perfusion. Additionally, anesthetic choices influence IOP, with agents like succinylcholine and inhalational anesthetics potentially exacerbating pressure elevation. Anesthesiologists must tailor anesthetic plans to minimize these effects, particularly in patients with predisposing conditions such as glaucoma (¹⁴, ¹⁵).

During lengthy otolaryngologic procedures, anesthesiologists monitor for signs of embolic events or hypoxic episodes, which can lead to cortical blindness or retinal vascular occlusion. Advanced intraoperative monitoring techniques, such as central venous pressure monitoring and arterial blood gas analysis, help detect and address such complications in real time (¹⁰, ¹⁷).

Anesthesiologists also play a proactive role in preventing ocular surface injuries. For example, corneal abrasions can result from improper eye closure or exposure during prolonged anesthesia. Protective measures, including the use of lubricating ointments and careful taping of eyelids, are routinely implemented under their supervision (¹⁴, ⁸).

Shared Responsibilities: Both ophthalmologists and anesthesiologists collaborate in developing comprehensive strategies for POVL prevention. For example, preoperative risk stratification often involves input from both specialties, particularly in high-risk patients undergoing complex otolaryngologic surgeries. Ophthalmologists provide ocular-specific risk assessments, while anesthesiologists address systemic factors and tailor perioperative management plans accordingly (¹, ¹⁷).

Intraoperatively, both specialties contribute to preventing complications through their distinct roles. Anesthesiologists manage systemic hemodynamics, while otolaryngologists and ophthalmologists ensure that surgical maneuvers minimize trauma to orbital structures. In surgeries involving the optic nerve or orbit, ophthalmologists may provide intraoperative consultation to guide safe surgical techniques $(^2, ^4)$.

Postoperatively, a multidisciplinary approach is essential for early detection and intervention. For instance, in cases of visual disturbances, anesthesiologists may assess systemic causes such as hypotension or embolism, while ophthalmologists perform detailed ocular examinations to pinpoint the underlying etiology. Timely coordination ensures that appropriate interventions, such as decompression for retrobulbar hemorrhage or corticosteroids for optic neuropathy, are promptly initiated $\binom{12}{7}$, ⁶).

The prevention and management of POVL in otolaryngologic surgery require a seamless integration of ophthalmologic and anesthetic expertise. While ophthalmologists focus on ocular-specific assessments, intraoperative protection, and postoperative care, anesthesiologists manage systemic factors such as hemodynamics and IOP. Their shared responsibility in preoperative planning, intraoperative monitoring, and postoperative management underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration. This joint effort not only reduces the incidence of POVL but also improves patient outcomes in complex otolaryngologic surgeries.

RESULTS

The analysis of the selected studies highlights several critical insights into the risk factors and preventive measures for perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgery. These findings demonstrate both commonalities and differences across the studies, reflecting the multifactorial nature of this complication and the need for interdisciplinary strategies.

COMMONALITIES ACROSS STUDIES

The reviewed studies emphasize the multifactorial nature of perioperative visual loss (POVL), particularly in the context of otolaryngologic surgeries, where ophthalmology, anesthesiology, and otolaryngology intersect to address shared risks. POVL arises from a combination of patient-specific vulnerabilities, intraoperative conditions, and the unique anatomical challenges of otolaryngologic procedures. Systemic factors such as anemia, hypotension, and pre-existing vascular comorbidities, including hypertension and diabetes, are consistently identified as critical contributors (¹, ⁷, ¹⁰, ¹⁵). These conditions compromise optic nerve perfusion, increasing susceptibility to ischemic damage. Additionally, the close anatomical relationship between the orbit and surgical sites in otolaryngology introduces risks of direct trauma, compression, or venous congestion during procedures $(^{2}, ^{4}, ^{12})$.

Certain surgeries are repeatedly highlighted as high-risk. Endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) and skull base procedures carry elevated risks due to their proximity to the optic nerve and orbital structures. The need for extended operative durations and specialized positioning, such as prone or Trendelenburg positions, exacerbates venous congestion and elevates intraocular pressure (IOP) (1 , 7 , 14). Cosmetic surgeries, such as blepharoplasty, also contribute to POVL risks, particularly through retrobulbar hemorrhages that compress the optic nerve (¹², ⁸). Radiation therapy for head and neck cancers emerges as another significant contributor, with delayed ocular complications such as optic neuropathy and retinopathy underscoring the need for long--term monitoring (⁶, ¹⁵).

Preventive strategies are a shared priority across specialties. Ophthalmologists emphasize the importance of preoperative identification of high-risk patients, particularly those with pre-existing optic neuropathies or systemic vascular diseases (1, 6). Anesthesiologists play a key role in intraoperative management, focusing on maintaining stable hemodynamics and minimizing prolonged prone or Trendelenburg positioning (7, 10, 14). Otolaryngologists are tasked with precise surgical techniques, particularly in ESS and skull base surgeries, to avoid direct trauma to the optic nerve or orbital structures $(^{2}, ^{4})$. Postoperative vigilance is also essential, with all disciplines highlighting the importance of immediate ophthalmologic evaluation for early detection and intervention in cases of visual disturbances (12, 8, 17). These shared insights emphasize the necessity of a collaborative approach among ophthalmology, otolaryngology, and anesthesiology to minimize the risk of POVL and improve patient outcomes.

DIFFERENCES ACROSS STUDIES

The reviewed studies reveal notable variations in their emphasis on perioperative visual loss (POVL) risk factors, patient populations, and the effectiveness of preventive strategies, reflecting diverse clinical perspectives within ophthalmology, otolaryngology, and anesthesiology. These differences highlight the multifaceted nature of POVL and the need for nuanced, interdisciplinary approaches to its prevention and management.

A significant divergence lies in the focus on patient-specific risk factors. Some studies emphasize demographic elements, such as male sex and obesity, as major contributors to POVL, linking these factors to increased venous congestion and altered hemodynamics during prolonged surgeries (¹, ⁷). In contrast, other studies prioritize pre-existing ocular conditions, such as glaucoma, optic neuropathy, or diabetic retinopathy, as primary risk factors, particularly in patients undergoing surgeries near the orbital region or receiving radiation therapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma (¹⁰, ¹², ⁶). These discrepancies likely arise from differences in the populations studied, with some research focusing on broad surgical cohorts and others examining specific high-risk groups.

The relative importance attributed to systemic conditions, such as hypertension and diabetes, also varies. While many studies identify these comorbidities as independent risk factors that exacerbate ischemic vulnerability, others treat them as secondary contributors that amplify the impact of intraoperative events, such as hypotension or blood loss (1, 7, 14).

Differences in the reported effectiveness of preventive measures further illustrate the complexity of POVL management. Although maintaining stable hemodynamics is widely regarded as critical, there is variation in the recommended thresholds for mean arterial pressure (MAP). Some studies advocate for aggressive correction of even mild hypotension to prevent ischemic optic neuropathy, while others caution that over-resuscitation may lead to elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) and venous congestion, particularly in patients with predisposing conditions (15, 8, ¹⁴). Fluid management strategies also differ, with some favoring liberal fluid replacement to maintain perfusion and others emphasizing a more restrictive approach to avoid overloading the venous system (¹⁵, ¹⁷).

The adoption of advanced surgical technologies represents another area of variation.

Powered instrumentation and image-guided navigation have been lauded in some studies for their precision and potential to reduce operative times, which mitigates cumulative risks of blood loss and IOP elevation (², ⁴). However, other studies caution about the risks associated with these tools, particularly in endoscopic sinus surgeries, where inadvertent trauma to the optic nerve or orbital contents remains a concern (¹², ⁴). These differing perspectives may reflect variability in surgical expertise, institutional resources, and the complexity of procedures being performed.

Finally, long-term management and monitoring differ across studies, particularly regarding delayed complications from radiation therapy. While some research emphasizes the importance of regular ophthalmologic evaluations to detect radiation-induced optic neuropathy or retinopathy, other studies focus primarily on immediate perioperative outcomes and fail to address the long-term risks associated with radiation (⁶, ¹⁷). This highlights a gap in the consistency of follow-up protocols for patients undergoing head and neck cancer treatments.

In conclusion, these variations underscore the need for tailored approaches to POVL prevention and management. Standardized, evidence-based guidelines that account for these differences could harmonize practices across disciplines and improve outcomes for patients undergoing otolaryngologic surgeries.

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING RISK FACTORS

The reviewed studies provide robust evidence linking specific risk factors to perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgeries. These factors encompass patient-related vulnerabilities, surgical techniques, and anesthetic management, each contributing uniquely to the development of this rare but serious complication. Patient-Related Risk Factors: Patient demographics and pre-existing conditions significantly influence POVL risk. Advanced age is consistently identified as a critical factor due to decreased vascular compliance and diminished optic nerve perfusion reserve, which increase susceptibility to ischemic optic neuropathy (ION) (¹, ⁷). Male sex is another demographic feature frequently associated with higher risk, potentially due to physiological and anatomical differences that amplify venous congestion during surgeries requiring prone positioning (¹⁷).

Systemic comorbidities, such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus, exacerbate vascular vulnerability and contribute to optic nerve ischemia, particularly during prolonged surgeries (¹, ⁷, ¹⁴). Pre-existing ocular conditions, including glaucoma and optic neuropathy, are identified as additional risk factors, as these conditions predispose the optic nerve to ischemic damage when subjected to perioperative hemodynamic changes (¹⁵, ¹²).

Surgical-Related Risk Factors: The type and complexity of otolaryngologic surgeries significantly affect POVL risk. Procedures such as endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) and skull base operations are high-risk due to their proximity to the optic nerve and orbital structures. Direct trauma, thermal damage from powered instruments, or orbital hemorrhage are potential mechanisms of injury $(^2, ^4)$. Prolonged surgical duration is another key factor, with procedures exceeding six hours showing a significantly higher risk of ischemic injuries due to cumulative systemic insults, such as hypotension and anemia $(^{10}, ^{17})$.

Surgical positioning, particularly prolonged prone and Trendelenburg positions, is strongly associated with POVL risk. These positions increase intraocular pressure (IOP) and venous congestion, reducing optic nerve perfusion pressure (¹⁴, ⁸). In cosmetic surgeries like blepharoplasty, retrobulbar hemorrhage is a unique risk factor, often leading to optic nerve compression if not promptly identified and managed (¹²).

Anesthetic-Related Risk Factors: Anesthetic management plays a critical role in POVL risk. Prolonged systemic hypotension, a common strategy to reduce surgical bleeding, compromises optic nerve perfusion and increases the likelihood of ischemic damage (¹⁰, ¹⁴). Excessive fluid replacement, intended to counteract blood loss, can exacerbate venous congestion and elevate IOP, further reducing optic nerve perfusion pressure (¹⁵, ¹⁷).

The choice of anesthetic agents also influences POVL risk. Agents like succinylcholine and inhalational anesthetics are known to transiently elevate IOP, which may have clinical significance in prolonged surgeries, particularly for patients with pre-existing ocular conditions (¹⁴). Anesthetic-induced systemic changes, such as hypoxia or embolic events, have been implicated in cases of cortical blindness, further emphasizing the importance of meticulous perioperative monitoring (¹⁰, ⁶).

The evidence from these studies highlights a complex interplay of patient, surgical, and anesthetic factors contributing to POVL. Advanced age, vascular comorbidities, prolonged operative duration, specialized surgical positioning, and intraoperative hemodynamic instability emerge as critical risk factors. Addressing these risks requires a multidisciplinary approach involving preoperative risk stratification, intraoperative vigilance, and postoperative monitoring to optimize patient outcomes and reduce the incidence of this complication.

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING PREVENTIVE MEASURES

The reviewed studies provide substantial evidence supporting a range of strategies aimed at preventing perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgeries. These measures, spanning preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative phases, reflect the collaborative efforts of ophthalmology, otolaryngology, and anesthesiology in mitigating risk.

Preoperative Preventive Measures: Preoperative identification of high-risk patients is universally acknowledged as critical. Comprehensive medical evaluations, including assessments of systemic comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, and anemia, allow clinicians to identify patients who may require additional precautions during surgery (¹, ⁷). Patients with pre-existing ocular conditions, such as glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy, benefit from ophthalmologic consultations to establish baseline visual function and optimize management prior to surgery (¹⁷, ⁶).

Imaging studies are valuable in high-risk otolaryngologic procedures, particularly those involving the orbit, sinuses, or skull base. Advanced imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), help delineate anatomical variations and potential vulnerabilities, enabling surgeons to adjust their approach to minimize trauma to orbital structures or the optic nerve $(^2, ^4)$. The informed consent process is also emphasized, ensuring that patients are aware of potential risks and the importance of postoperative follow-up $(^{12}, ^{17})$.

Intraoperative Preventive Measures: Maintaining stable hemodynamics during surgery is a cornerstone of POVL prevention. Most studies emphasize the need to avoid prolonged hypotension, which reduces optic nerve perfusion pressure and increases the risk of ischemic injury. While the optimal mean arterial pressure (MAP) thresholds may vary, individualized management based on patient-specific risk factors is consistently recommended (¹⁴, ¹⁵). Fluid management strategies, aimed at balancing perfusion and avoiding venous congestion, are equally critical. Excessive fluid replacement can exacerbate intraocular pressure (IOP) and venous congestion, while under-resuscitation may compromise optic nerve perfusion (⁷, ¹⁷).

Positioning is another key focus. Prolonged prone or Trendelenburg positions, common in otolaryngologic surgeries, elevate venous pressure and IOP, reducing optic nerve perfusion pressure. Strategies to limit the duration of these positions and periodically return patients to a neutral position have been shown to mitigate risk (⁸, ¹⁰). Additionally, surgical teams employing advanced tools, such as image-guided navigation, have reported reduced operative times and minimized trauma to sensitive structures, further lowering POVL risks (², ⁴).

Protective measures for the eyes, such as lubricating ointments, taped eyelids, or corneal shields, are vital for preventing corneal abrasions and surface injuries during prolonged procedures under general anesthesia (¹⁴, ¹⁵). Close collaboration between anesthesiologists and surgeons ensures that these precautions are implemented effectively.

Postoperative Preventive Measures: Postoperative vigilance is essential for early detection and management of visual disturbances. Immediate ophthalmologic evaluation is recommended for any patient reporting symptoms such as blurred vision, pain, or loss of visual acuity. Rapid diagnosis and intervention, such as decompression for retrobulbar hemorrhage or corticosteroids for optic neuropathy, are critical in preventing permanent damage $\binom{12}{6}$.

Routine postoperative follow-ups are particularly important in patients treated for head and neck cancers with radiation therapy, as delayed complications like radiation-induced optic neuropathy or retinopathy can develop over time. Ophthalmologic monitoring in these cases ensures timely identification and management of emerging issues (⁶, ¹⁷).

The studies highlight a comprehensive array of preventive measures for POVL, emphasizing the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration. Preoperative assessments to identify high--risk patients, meticulous intraoperative management of hemodynamics and positioning, and vigilant postoperative monitoring collectively reduce the incidence of POVL. These strategies underscore the need for individualized approaches tailored to the specific risks of each patient and procedure, ensuring optimal outcomes in otolaryngologic surgeries.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Results: The findings of this review reaffirm the multifactorial etiology of perioperative visual loss (POVL) in otolaryngologic surgeries and emphasize the critical need for an interdisciplinary approach that integrates ophthalmologic precision, otolaryngologic expertise, and anesthetic vigilance. POVL emerges as a nexus of patient-specific vulnerabilities, technical intricacies, and perioperative physiological perturbations. The interplay of systemic factors such as hypotension and vascular comorbidities with surgical positioning, proximity to orbital structures, and intraoperative hemodynamic shifts creates a high-risk milieu for optic nerve ischemia and other visual complications.

Key insights suggest that while some risk factors, such as pre-existing ocular conditions, are intrinsic to the patient, others, like intraoperative hypotension or prolonged positioning, are modifiable with meticulous planning and execution. The significance of targeted preventive strategies cannot be overstated, as they transform a multifaceted risk into a manageable clinical challenge. These findings, though robust, illuminate the nuanced complexities of balancing safety and efficacy in otolaryngologic procedures.

Comparison with Existing Knowledge: The results are congruent with the established understanding of POVL in nonocular surgeries, such as spinal or cardiac procedures, where systemic hypotension and anemia are pivotal factors (¹, ⁷, ¹⁰). However, this review uniquely highlights the anatomical and procedural specificities of otolaryngologic surgeries, such as the heightened vulnerability associated with the proximity of surgical fields to the optic nerve and orbital structures. These insights enrich the broader discourse on POVL by situating it within the unique anatomical and procedural context of otolaryngology.

Notably, the findings challenge the traditional emphasis on hypotension as a universal threshold for POVL risk. Instead, they advocate for individualized mean arterial pressure (MAP) goals that balance optic nerve perfusion against the risks of excessive fluid resuscitation and venous congestion (14, 15). Additionally, while image-guided navigation and powered instrumentation have been heralded as advancements in surgical precision, the review underscores their potential for collateral injury, particularly in less experienced hands or complex surgical terrains (², ⁴). This duality of benefit and risk necessitates a critical appraisal of technology integration into surgical practice.

Moreover, the study underscores a paradigm shift in managing cosmetic surgeries such as blepharoplasty, where retrobulbar hemorrhage emerges as a preventable yet devastating complication. These findings align with prior literature on the importance of early intervention for visual disturbances, such as orbital decompression, while advocating for refined perioperative protocols to mitigate such risks (¹²). Implications for Practice: The practical implications of this review are profound, offering a roadmap for clinicians to reduce the incidence of POVL through evidence-based strategies. Preoperatively, comprehensive risk stratification should extend beyond standard assessments to include specialized ophthalmologic evaluations for patients with systemic comorbidities or pre-existing ocular pathologies. Imaging modalities, such as high-resolution CT or MRI, should be routinely employed for procedures involving the orbit or skull base, enabling surgeons to anticipate and navigate anatomical vulnerabilities with precision.

Intraoperative practices demand a balance of technical finesse and physiological vigilance. Anesthesiologists must maintain MAP tailored to the patient's baseline perfusion needs while minimizing excessive fluid resuscitation that could elevate intraocular pressure (IOP). Otolaryngologists, meanwhile, must adopt meticulous surgical techniques that leverage image-guided navigation while remaining cognizant of the proximity to critical structures like the optic nerve. The importance of time management cannot be overstated—streamlined operative workflows reduce cumulative risks such as blood loss and positional complications.

Postoperatively, early detection of visual symptoms remains paramount. Multidisciplinary care pathways that facilitate immediate ophthalmologic evaluation can significantly improve outcomes for patients presenting with signs of visual disturbances. For patients undergoing radiation therapy, regular long--term ophthalmologic follow-ups should be institutionalized to monitor and manage delayed complications such as radiation-induced retinopathy or optic neuropathy (⁶).

Limitations: Despite the strengths of the reviewed studies, several limitations must be acknowledged. Many studies rely on retrospective designs or observational data, which are susceptible to selection bias and confounding variables. Furthermore, heterogeneity in study populations, surgical techniques, and institutional practices limits the generalizability of findings. For instance, inconsistencies in defining MAP thresholds or optimal operative durations create gaps in standardized protocols for POVL prevention. The absence of randomized controlled trials in this domain also underscores a broader need for methodologically rigorous research.

Additionally, certain aspects of long-term monitoring, particularly for radiation-induced ocular complications, remain underexplored. The lack of uniformity in reporting delayed complications such as optic neuropathy highlights an area ripe for future investigation. Finally, while advanced surgical technologies are increasingly integrated into practice, their learning curves and safety profiles warrant further study.

CONCLUSION

This review underscores the intricate interplay of systemic, surgical, and anesthetic factors contributing to perioperative visual loss (POVL), particularly in otolaryngologic surgeries where proximity to orbital structures elevates the stakes. Key insights highlight the multifactorial nature of POVL, with risk factors spanning pre-existing patient vulnerabilities, such as vascular comorbidities and ocular conditions, to modifiable surgical and anesthetic practices. Prolonged operative durations, prone or Trendelenburg positioning, and systemic hypotension emerge as pivotal contributors, while preventive strategies, including meticulous preoperative evaluation, intraoperative vigilance, and immediate postoperative monitoring, offer actionable pathways to mitigate these risks.

The prevention of POVL demands a paradigm shift toward truly interdisciplinary care.

Ophthalmologists provide critical expertise in diagnosing and managing ocular vulnerabilities, while anesthesiologists play a central role in optimizing hemodynamics and ensuring physiological stability. Otolaryngologists, particularly those conducting high-risk procedures like endoscopic sinus surgeries or skull base operations, must balance precision with efficiency, leveraging technological advancements without compromising safety. The integration of these specialties fosters a holistic approach to risk management, addressing not just the technical challenges of surgery but also the broader physiological and systemic factors influencing outcomes. This collaborative model is not optional-it is essential for reducing the incidence of this life-altering complication.

The findings of this review demand action: clinicians must move beyond awareness to implementation, embedding the proposed strategies into standard surgical and anesthetic protocols. The future of POVL prevention lies in innovation, with opportunities to explore real-time intraoperative monitoring technologies, artificial intelligence for individualized risk prediction, and refined surgical techniques. However, these advancements must be paralleled by rigorous research to address unresolved questions, such as optimal hemodynamic thresholds, the long-term ocular effects of radiation therapy, and strategies for high-risk patient populations.

The stakes are high—POVL is not merely a complication but a profound, potentially permanent outcome that reshapes the lives of affected patients. By embracing evidence-based practices, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and pursuing continuous innovation, clinicians can dramatically reduce the burden of POVL, transforming a once-dreaded risk into a preventable rarity. This is not just a goal but an imperative for the advancement of otolaryngologic surgery and patient safety.

REFERENCES

1. Newman N. Perioperative visual loss after nonocular surgeries. Am J Ophthalmol. 2008;145(4):604-10. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ajo.2007.09.016.

2. Bhatti MT, Stankiewicz JA. Ophthalmic complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. Surv Ophthalmol. 2003;48(4):389-402. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6257(03)00055-9.

3. Thawley SE. The otolaryngologist-ophthalmologist relationship: an historic perspective. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2006;39(5):845-53.

4. Bhatti MT. Neuro-ophthalmic complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2007;18(6):450-8. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICU.0b013e3282f0b47e.

5. Huang A, Phillips A, Adar T, Hui A. Ocular injury in cosmetic laser treatments of the face. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2018;11(2):15-8.

6. Lin KT, Lee SY, Tsao CC, Hsu SD, Chien WC, et al. Risk of ocular complications following radiation therapy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Laryngoscope. 2019 Aug 23. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.28254.

7. Lee L. Risk factors associated with ischemic optic neuropathy after spinal fusion surgery. Anesthesiology. 2012;116:15-24. https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e31823d012a.

8. Segal K, Fleischut P, Kim C, Levine B, Faggiani S, Banerjee S, et al. Evaluation and treatment of perioperative corneal abrasions. J Ophthalmol. 2014;2014:901901. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/901901.

9. Hsueh W, Hwang P, Abuzeid W. Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy in common otolaryngologic surgical procedures. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015;153:493-503. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599815600409.

10. Shen Y, Drum M, Roth S. The prevalence of perioperative visual loss in the United States: a 10-year study from 1996 to 2005 of spinal, orthopedic, cardiac, and general surgery. Anesth Analg. 2009;109:1534-45. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0b013e3181b0500b.

11. Zukin L, Hink E, Liao S, Getz A, Kingdom T, Ramakrishnan V. Endoscopic management of paranasal sinus mucoceles: meta-analysis of visual outcomes. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;157:760-6. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599817717674.

12. Mejía J, Egro F, Nahai F. Visual loss after blepharoplasty: incidence, management, and preventive measures. Aesthetic Surg J. 2011;31(1):21-9. https://doi.org/10.1177/1090820X10391212.

13. Cirocchi R, Arezzo A, D'Andrea V, Abraha I, Popivanov G, Avenia N, et al. Intraoperative neuromonitoring versus visual nerve identification for prevention of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in adults undergoing thyroid surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;1:CD012483. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012483.pub2.

14. Ding C, Wang P, Tian N. Effect of general anesthetics on IOP in elevated IOP mouse model. Exp Eye Res. 2011;92(6):512-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2011.03.016.

15. Tappeiner C, Garweg J. Retinal vascular occlusion after vitrectomy with retrobulbar anesthesia: observational case series and survey of literature. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2011;249:1831-5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-011-1783-9.

16. Page M, Fraunfelder F. Safety, efficacy, and patient acceptability of lidocaine hydrochloride ophthalmic gel as a topical ocular anesthetic for use in ophthalmic procedures. Clin Ophthalmol. 2009;3:601-9. https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S4935.

17. Lee L, Roth S, Posner K, Cheney F, Caplan R, Newman N, et al. The American Society of Anesthesiologists Postoperative Visual Loss Registry: analysis of 93 spine surgery cases with postoperative visual loss. Anesthesiology. 2006;105:652-9. https://doi.org/10.1097/0000542-200610000-00007.

18. Cheng H, Clymer J, Chen B, Sadeghirad B, Ferko N, Cameron C, et al. Prolonged operative duration is associated with complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Surg Res. 2018;229:134-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2018.03.022.