v. 4, n. 98, 2024

International Journal of Health Science

Acceptance date: 12/11/2024

PREVENTIVE STRATEGIES FOR SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS IN ABDOMINAL SURGERY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTIONS

Gustavo Henrique Pedroso
Universidade Positivo
https://lattes.cnpq.br/5727023661160116
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9915-9291

Ana Julia Terres Fausto
Universidade Positivo
http://lattes.cnpq.br/6792778438393754
https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3541-3613

Amanda Terres Fausto
Universidade Positivo
https://lattes.cnpq.br/7538525235094893
https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6160-1940

Mayume Nakashima Matoso
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná
https://lattes.cnpq.br/7437793728059274
https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7150-391X

Anna Júlia Amaral Dreweck
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná
https://lattes.cnpq.br/3872414415154478
https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0745-9740

Danilo Gomes Pereira Leitão
Universidade do Oeste Paulista
https://lattes.cnpq.br/6180563149489923

Lais Maria Luders
Universidade Positivo
https://lattes.cnpq.br/4247702682267340
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7573-1946

Bernardo Fortes de Medeiros
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná
https://lattes.cnpq.br/3630093376204079
https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2846-8727

Abstract: Objectives: This review aims to analyze and synthesize current preventive strategies for surgical site infections (SSIs) specifically within the context of abdominal surgery, where SSIs are particularly prevalent and pose significant risks to patient health and healthcare systems. The primary objective is to evaluate evidence across various stages of intervention—preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative—to determine the most effective methods for reducing SSI incidence in abdominal surgical procedures. **Methods:** A systematic search was conducted across multiple databases to identify relevant studies focusing on SSI prevention in abdominal surgery. Studies were selected based on inclusion criteria emphasizing randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses involving preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative measures. Data extraction focused on categorizing each study by intervention type and summarizing findings regarding efficacy. **Results:** Preoperative measures, including antibiotic prophylaxis and optimized skin preparation with chlorhexidine, were shown to significantly reduce SSI risk. Intraoperative interventions, such as maintaining normothermia, using wound protectors, and selecting minimally invasive surgical techniques, demonstrated efficacy in minimizing microbial contamination. Postoperative measures, including negative-pressure wound therapy and evidence-based wound care, further contributed to reducing infection rates. Overall, multimodal approaches integrating multiple interventions at different surgical stages yielded the most substantial reductions in SSIs. **Conclusion:** The findings highlight that a combination of targeted preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative strategies offers the best outcomes in reducing SSIs in abdominal surgery. Practical recommendations include incorporating antibiotic prophylaxis, standardized skin antisepsis, and postoperative wound management as standard protocol

components. However, research gaps persist, particularly in the long-term effectiveness of some interventions and specific patient populations. Future studies should aim to refine these strategies to address these gaps, focusing on high-risk groups and cost-effectiveness evaluations.
Keywords: Surgical Site Infection, Abdominal Surgery, Infection Prevention, Antibiotic Prophylaxis, Wound Therapy

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are a significant and challenging complication associated with abdominal surgeries. These infections are not only prevalent but also pose severe consequences for patient outcomes, healthcare costs, and hospital resource allocation. SSIs occur in approximately 10–20% of abdominal surgical procedures, representing a substantial portion of healthcare-associated infections globally. The consequences extend beyond immediate health impacts, often leading to prolonged hospital stays, additional surgical interventions, increased morbidity, and, in severe cases, mortality. Financially, SSIs impose a heavy burden on healthcare systems due to extended patient care requirements, increased use of antibiotics, and potential litigation costs. This problem is exacerbated by the rising complexity of surgical procedures and the evolving profile of surgical patients, who often present with comorbidities that heighten their vulnerability to infection. Given these extensive impacts, reducing SSIs in abdominal surgeries is an urgent public health and patient safety goal.

Despite advancements in surgical techniques and infection control practices, SSIs remain a critical issue in abdominal surgeries. These infections are complex and multifactorial, arising from pathogens introduced during surgery, patient-specific factors, and surgical environment conditions. The persistence of SSIs in abdominal surgery highlights the insufficiency of current preventive measures, which are often inconsistently applied and vary in effectiveness. Consequently, there is a clear need for evidence-based, effective preventive strategies that can be seamlessly integrated across the surgical timeline. Implementing interventions that target all stages of patient care—preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative—is essential to address the various risk factors and to reduce the incidence of SSIs in abdominal procedures. These strategies must balance efficacy with practicality, ensuring they are accessible and feasible across diverse healthcare settings.

This literature review explores the comprehensive range of measures available for SSI prevention in abdominal surgeries, focusing on preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative phases. It systematically examines studies that assess the effectiveness of different intervention strategies, from skin antisepsis and antibiotic prophylaxis to intraoperative wound protection and postoperative wound care protocols. By examining preventive practices across these stages, this review aims to identify high-impact, evidence-based approaches that can inform healthcare providers and policymakers in developing robust SSI prevention programs. This holistic perspective is crucial for reducing SSI rates and enhancing patient safety, ultimately contributing to more effective and sustainable surgical outcomes in abdominal procedures.

OBJECTIVES

The primary aim of this review is to analyze and synthesize current research on preventive strategies for surgical site infections (SSIs) in abdominal surgeries, with an emphasis on understanding the effectiveness of existing interventions and identifying comprehensive approaches to reduce SSI occurrence in these procedures. Specifically, this review seeks

to examine evidence supporting various preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative interventions, capturing the full spectrum of preventive practices from skin preparation and antimicrobial prophylaxis to wound protection and care. Additionally, this review aims to identify best practices and gaps in the current literature on SSI prevention, highlighting limitations and inconsistencies in research to provide a foundation for future studies and support the development of more effective and standardized prevention protocols in abdominal surgeries.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this review involved a systematic search strategy designed to capture comprehensive data on preventive strategies for surgical site infections (SSIs) in abdominal surgeries. The literature search was conducted across several major databases, including PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Library. Keywords used in the search included combinations such as "surgical site infection," "SSI prevention," "abdominal surgery," "preoperative measures," "intraoperative interventions," and "postoperative care," covering publications up to the most recent available data to ensure relevance and currency in findings.

Inclusion criteria focused on studies specifically examining abdominal surgeries, detailing SSI prevention measures, and including high-quality research designs such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and large cohort studies to ensure rigorous and clinically applicable findings. Exclusion criteria ruled out studies with a primary focus on non-abdominal surgical sites, non-clinical studies, and those not providing clear SSI-related outcomes or preventive measure evaluations.

Data extraction was performed systematically, with information from each study categorized according to the preventive strategy stage: preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative. Studies were assessed for intervention type, outcomes related to SSI incidence, and the effectiveness of each preventive approach. This categorization allowed for a structured analysis of findings across different phases of surgical care and helped identify trends, common practices, and gaps in the research on SSI prevention.

LITERATURE REVIEW

PREOPERATIVE MEASURES

Antibiotic Prophylaxis: Effective antibiotic prophylaxis in abdominal surgeries relies on precise timing, correct dosage, and appropriate antibiotic selection to reduce SSI incidence. Research emphasizes that administering antibiotics within an hour prior to incision achieves optimal bactericidal concentrations in tissues, significantly lowering SSI rates ([2]). CDC guidelines support this timing, advising against prolonged postoperative antibiotic use after wound closure due to its minimal benefit and potential to increase antimicrobial resistance ([2], [11]). Cephalosporins, particularly cefazolin, are widely recommended for abdominal surgeries, particularly those classified as clean-contaminated, due to their broad-spectrum efficacy and favorable safety profile ([11]). For patients with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) or heightened risk of infection, vancomycin is considered a viable alternative, though it is typically reserved for those cases where standard prophylactic agents may be insufficient ([3]).

In higher-risk procedures, such as colorectal surgeries, studies suggest a significant reduction in infection rates with a combined approach using both parenteral and oral antibiotics, especially when accompanied by mechanical bowel preparation ([9], [4]). This dual strategy is associated with better outcomes than either parenteral or oral prophylaxis

alone, as it maximizes microbial suppression across both systemic and localized environments. Such findings underscore the importance of individualized antibiotic regimens, particularly in complex or high-risk abdominal surgeries where infection control is paramount ([4]).

Skin Preparation: Skin antisepsis before surgery is a cornerstone in SSI prevention, and numerous studies identify chlorhexidine-alcohol as the most effective antiseptic for abdominal procedures due to its rapid onset and prolonged antimicrobial activity, which generally surpasses that of povidone-iodine ([5], [1]). A controlled trial examining skin antiseptics in clean-contaminated abdominal surgeries found that chlorhexidine gluconate led to notably fewer SSIs compared to povidone-iodine, validating its status as the preferred agent ([5]). Furthermore, chlorhexidine's effectiveness is amplified when it is applied thoroughly and allowed to dry completely, as incomplete drying may result in pooling, increasing the risk of adverse events like surgical fires ([1]). While povidone-iodine is a viable alternative, especially when chlorhexidine is contraindicated, chlorhexidine-alcohol remains consistently more effective across diverse surgical contexts ([5], [7]). Increasingly, surgical guidelines are adapting to prioritize chlorhexidine-alcohol in preoperative skin preparation protocols, aligning clinical practice with mounting evidence of its efficacy ([6]).

Hair Removal: The method and necessity of hair removal at the surgical site also impact SSI risk. Shaving, which can introduce microscopic cuts that serve as bacterial entry points, is strongly discouraged by evidence, as it correlates with increased SSI rates ([8], [12]). Instead, studies, including extensive Cochrane Reviews, endorse clipping as the preferred technique, as it reduces skin trauma while ensuring visibility at the surgical site ([8], [12]). Current guidelines echo these findings, advocating that hair removal should only occur when absolutely necessary for surgical access, and when it is required, clipping should be employed to minimize infection risk ([10]). In cases necessitating hair removal, incorporating clipping into an integrated preoperative infection prevention bundle further strengthens patient safety by reducing unnecessary preoperative skin disruption ([9], [12]). Thus, hair management strategies in SSI prevention are increasingly informed by the principle of minimizing skin trauma to protect against infection.

These preoperative measures collectively reflect a careful balance of timing, methodology, and choice of agents, aiming to establish a sterile surgical field that minimizes the risk of SSIs in abdominal surgeries.

INTRAOPERATIVE MEASURES

Surgical Technique: The type of surgical access, whether laparoscopic or open, is one of the most decisive factors influencing SSI risk in abdominal surgeries. Multiple studies indicate that laparoscopic procedures generally have lower rates of SSIs compared to open surgeries, primarily because of reduced tissue exposure and smaller incision sizes ([3]). Laparoscopic surgery, which involves only small keyhole incisions, minimizes the open surface area that could otherwise be susceptible to microbial invasion, especially in high-bacterial-load environments such as the abdominal cavity. Furthermore, the technique requires less physical manipulation of tissues, a factor that likely contributes to fewer disruptions in blood flow and a decreased risk of tissue trauma, both of which are associated with reduced infection rates ([4]). The comparatively "closed" nature of laparoscopic surgery not only lowers the risk of direct contamination from the environment but also facilitates faster recovery due to the less invasive nature of the approach.

In contrast, open surgery often becomes necessary in cases with anatomical complexities or when extensive tissue access is required, such as in resection of large tumors or in cases of severe adhesions. While open procedures inherently carry a greater risk for SSIs due to larger incision sizes and more significant exposure to ambient pathogens, they also enable the surgeon greater visual and manual access to complex structures, which remains essential in certain cases. Thus, while laparoscopic surgery is advantageous in terms of infection control, open surgical techniques remain a valuable and sometimes unavoidable approach in specific clinical contexts. These findings underscore the importance of choosing the surgical technique with a nuanced understanding of the patient's unique anatomy and surgical requirements, alongside the goal of reducing infection risks where possible ([3], [4]).

Temperature and Oxygen Control: Intraoperative maintenance of physiological norms, particularly with respect to temperature and oxygen levels, is increasingly recognized as critical in SSI prevention. Intraoperative hypothermia, even when mild, is associated with impaired immune responses; studies reveal that hypothermia can lead to vasoconstriction, which reduces blood flow to peripheral tissues and, subsequently, tissue oxygenation—factors essential for wound healing and immune function ([2]). The CDC recommends sustaining a core body temperature above 35.5°C during abdominal surgery, as hypothermia has been linked to increased blood loss, a slower immune response, and a higher rate of SSIs ([2], [11]). Clinical protocols therefore often include active warming methods, such as forced-air warming blankets, to prevent drops in temperature during the surgical procedure, supporting an optimal immune response at the incision site.

Supplemental oxygenation has also been demonstrated to play a crucial role in intraoperative SSI prevention. Research suggests that administering oxygen at an FiO_2 of 80% during and after surgery can enhance tissue oxygenation at critical times, particularly when tissue trauma from incisions and tissue manipulation can compromise local oxygen levels ([5]). Oxygen supports oxidative killing mechanisms employed by neutrophils, which are pivotal in the body's defense against infection. The increased oxygen level acts to "prime" immune cells, enhancing their function in clearing pathogens from the wound site. Combined with normothermia, optimal oxygenation establishes a conducive physiological environment for wound healing and prevents early-stage microbial colonization, which is crucial in the immediate postoperative period ([5], [8]). In cases where patients have compromised respiratory function, additional monitoring and supportive respiratory interventions are implemented to sustain these oxygen levels and maintain their benefits for SSI reduction.

Wound Protectors: The use of wound protectors, particularly impervious plastic barriers and dual-ring devices, has emerged as a valuable strategy for reducing SSI risks in abdominal surgeries, specifically in procedures involving the gastrointestinal and biliary tracts where microbial contamination risk is elevated. Wound protectors provide a physical barrier around the incision, shielding the wound site from contact with external contaminants, surgical instruments, and gloves, which are frequent vectors for pathogen transmission ([10], [11]). Research supports that dual-ring protectors, which secure the barrier more firmly in place, are especially effective, showing a reduction in SSI rates by as much as 30% compared to surgeries without such protective devices ([11]). This reduction is notable in open surgeries, where the wound is exposed for extended periods, increasing the likelihood of contamination.

The effectiveness of wound protectors may be attributed to their ability to isolate the internal surgical field, reducing the risk of bacterial translocation from nearby tissues or instruments. Dual-ring protectors are designed to fit snugly and create a barrier that is less susceptible to displacement, even during longer or more complex surgeries, where maintaining a stable, sterile environment is challenging. Some studies indicate that wound protectors may also help prevent inadvertent contamination by serving as a reminder to surgical staff about the importance of barrier integrity ([9], [11]). Despite promising results, the specific impact of wound protectors may vary depending on surgical type, the presence of comorbidities, and other factors such as duration of surgery. Additional research in varying surgical contexts, including minimally invasive procedures, would help clarify their utility across a broader range of abdominal surgeries.

In summary, intraoperative measures are foundational in the prevention of SSIs, each addressing a different aspect of the surgical environment that contributes to infection risk. The choice of surgical technique, the maintenance of physiological stability, and the use of physical barriers each play distinct yet interdependent roles in supporting a sterile, optimized environment for abdominal surgeries. For optimal outcomes, these strategies are often combined and tailored to the unique characteristics of each procedure, the patient's health status, and the anticipated duration and complexity of the surgery. Together, they form a comprehensive intraoperative infection control protocol that, when rigorously applied, substantially reduces the incidence of SSIs and enhances patient recovery.

POSTOPERATIVE MEASURES

Wound Care: Postoperative wound care is a pivotal aspect of SSI prevention, with significant evidence underscoring the efficacy of advanced wound dressings and therapies that enhance the healing environment. Antiseptic dressings, particularly those impregnated with antimicrobial agents like chlorhexidine, create a robust protective barrier over the incision site. This barrier effectively minimizes bacterial colonization by maintaining a sterile environment, reducing the chances of microbial contamination in the critical early stages of healing ([6]). The application of such dressings has proven advantageous in a range of abdominal surgeries, where controlling microbial load at the incision site is essential to prevent complications.

Additionally, negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) has emerged as a transformative intervention, especially beneficial for high--risk patients or those undergoing extensive open abdominal procedures. NPWT operates by exerting controlled suction on the wound, which actively removes exudate and minimizes fluid accumulation around the incision area. This environment impedes bacterial proliferation by depriving microbes of the moist conditions conducive to their growth. Clinical studies have shown that NPWT not only decreases infection rates but also accelerates wound closure by promoting perfusion and enhancing tissue oxygenation—factors crucial for optimal healing ([7], [1]). Meta-analyses of NPWT application highlight its impact on reducing SSIs in abdominal surgeries, specifically noting that high-risk patients, such as those with comorbidities or larger incisions, experience substantial benefits. The evidence strongly supports the integration of NPWT as a standard approach in postoperative management for select patients, particularly those undergoing procedures with heightened infection risks or prolonged recovery periods ([6], [1]).

The adoption of NPWT and antiseptic dressings represents a shift toward more active wound management in the postoperative phase. These interventions not only decrease SSI incidence but also support broader surgical goals by reducing complications and potentially shortening hospital stays, thus impacting healthcare costs positively. Implementing these advanced wound care strategies demands careful assessment of patient--specific factors and procedural risks, yet the benefits in terms of SSI prevention and wound healing outcomes make these approaches invaluable in contemporary surgical care.

Drain Management: Drain placement is another critical postoperative consideration, with evidence pointing to the need for judicious use and timely removal to mitigate SSI risks. Drains, while beneficial in managing fluid accumulation and preventing seroma formation, can paradoxically become sources of infection if left in place for extended periods. Studies reveal that prolonged drain use provides a potential conduit for bacterial ingress, leading to increased SSI rates ([12]). Current best practices recommend limiting drain duration whenever possible, with several studies advocating for removal within the first 48 hours post-surgery. This approach aligns with findings that early drain removal correlates with lower infection rates, as it reduces the time that the wound site remains vulnerable to bacterial exposure ([9]).

Furthermore, the decision to use drains in abdominal surgeries should be carefully evaluated based on patient-specific factors, including their risk profile and the nature of the procedure. In many cases, alternative strategies to manage fluid can achieve similar benefits without the added infection risk that drains may introduce. When drain placement is deemed essential—particularly in surgeries with high exudate production or in patients prone to postoperative fluid retention—protocols recommend strict monitoring and prompt removal to prevent drains from becoming infection vectors ([11], [12]). Studies emphasize that such careful management, combined with diligent wound monitoring, is crucial for minimizing the risk of infection.

The literature underscores that drain management must balance the necessity of fluid evacuation with the imperative of infection prevention. Tailoring drain use to individual cases and adhering to best practices in removal timing is crucial for optimizing patient outcomes. Advances in surgical technology, such as NPWT, are reducing the reliance on traditional drainage methods by offering alternative means of managing wound exudate, further highlighting the evolving landscape of postoperative care aimed at minimizing SSIs and promoting rapid recovery. Together, the evidence advocates for a nuanced approach to postoperative management that considers both traditional and innovative strategies, enhancing the ability of clinicians to prevent SSIs effectively across diverse abdominal surgery cases.

RESULTS

The literature review reveals several key findings on effective strategies for reducing surgical site infections (SSIs) in abdominal surgery. These strategies, categorized into preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative phases, offer varying degrees of efficacy, with certain measures consistently supported across multiple studies.

Preoperative Measures: Antibiotic prophylaxis and proper skin preparation are widely recognized as essential preoperative interventions. The timely administration of antibiotics, particularly within one hour prior to incision, has shown a significant reduction in SSI rates, with evidence favoring a prophylactic approach for surgeries with high infection risks ([1], [2]). Studies highlight the effi-

cacy of antibiotics like cephalosporins, which offer broad-spectrum coverage against common surgical pathogens ([2], [6]). In terms of skin preparation, chlorhexidine in alcohol-based solutions outperforms iodine-based solutions for minimizing microbial load on the skin, leading to lower infection rates in clean-contaminated surgeries ([3]). Research emphasizes chlorhexidine's sustained antimicrobial effect, which is especially advantageous in lengthy procedures where prolonged protection is beneficial ([4], [10]).

Intraoperative Measures: Several intraoperative strategies also demonstrate substantial support for reducing SSIs. Maintaining normothermia throughout the surgery has been shown to lower SSI rates, as hypothermia can compromise immune function and tissue perfusion ([5], [11]). Studies consistently report that patients who receive intraoperative warming and supplemental oxygen experience fewer postoperative infections ([11]). Furthermore, the use of wound protectors in abdominal surgeries provides a mechanical barrier that minimizes contamination during incision and closure. Research, particularly in open abdominal and gastrointestinal surgeries, suggests that dual-ring wound protectors are effective in reducing SSI risks by about 30% ([6], [9]). This practice is especially pertinent in surgeries involving a high bacterial load, where the risk of contamination is elevated ([9], [11]).

Postoperative Measures: Postoperative interventions such as advanced wound care and drain management strategies also emerge as effective in the literature. Negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) is widely recommended, particularly for high-risk patients or surgeries involving large incisions, due to its capacity to prevent fluid accumulation and create a sterile environment conducive to healing ([1], [7]). In addition, the judicious use of surgical drains and timely removal (ideally within 48 hours) has shown to significantly reduce infection risks by eliminating potential bacterial entry points ([8], [12]). Studies highlight that delayed drain removal can increase SSIs, particularly in surgeries with extensive tissue disruption, making early removal critical to mitigating infection risks ([12]).

Comparative Analysis: Across the reviewed strategies, antibiotic prophylaxis, chlorhexidine-based skin preparation, normothermic maintenance, supplemental oxygen, and wound protectors consistently emerge as the most effective interventions. These measures exhibit the strongest evidence base, with multiple studies underscoring their efficacy across different patient populations and surgical settings. In particular, combining these measures into a bundled approach may amplify their protective effects, as supported by various research findings that advocate for multimodal strategies to achieve optimal outcomes ([5], [11]).

COMPARISON OF STRATEGIES

Preoperative Strategies: Preoperative interventions to prevent surgical site infections (SSIs) are critical in reducing microbial load and enhancing patient readiness for surgery. Among these, **antibiotic prophylaxis** stands out as one of the most effective strategies when administered within the ideal time frame, generally within one hour before the incision. Studies indicate that the use of antibiotics such as cephalosporins provides significant protection against SSIs in abdominal surgeries by targeting common pathogens involved in infections ([2], [6]). However, the timing and selection of antibiotics are crucial, as delayed or inappropriate choices can reduce their efficacy and potentially lead to antimicrobial resistance ([5]).

For **skin preparation**, chlorhexidine in an alcohol-based solution is often cited as superior to iodine-based solutions due to its broad-spectrum activity and persistent an-

timicrobial effects, which help minimize the risk of microbial contamination throughout the surgical procedure ([3], [10]). Chlorhexidine's ability to maintain antimicrobial action over extended periods offers a distinct advantage in surgeries where the operative time may be prolonged ([3]). Additionally, studies show that preoperative **hair removal using clippers**, rather than shaving, significantly lowers infection rates by reducing micro-abrasions that can serve as entry points for pathogens ([8]).

Intraoperative Strategies: Intraoperative measures focus on maintaining an optimal surgical environment and minimizing contamination. **Temperature control** is a prominent factor, as maintaining normothermia reduces the incidence of SSIs. Research consistently shows that even mild hypothermia can compromise immune function and reduce tissue oxygenation, both of which are critical to preventing infections ([5], [11]). Active warming techniques during surgery, such as the use of warming blankets, are effective in maintaining patient body temperature, especially in longer surgeries where hypothermia risk is higher ([6], [11]).

Supplemental oxygen also plays a role in lowering SSIs by improving tissue oxygenation, which enhances cellular immune responses and aids in wound healing. Evidence supports increased oxygen delivery during and after surgery as a cost-effective measure that significantly reduces infection risks, especially in patients undergoing general anesthesia ([11]). Studies emphasize the importance of controlled oxygen delivery, noting that excessive or inadequate levels can be detrimental, making monitoring essential for optimal outcomes ([9]).

Wound protectors, particularly dual-ring designs, provide mechanical barriers against contamination during abdominal surgeries. Research demonstrates a 30% reduction in infection rates with the use of these protectors, especially in gastrointestinal surgeries where the risk of contamination from intestinal flora is elevated ([6], [9]). This protective effect is more pronounced in open surgeries, where exposure to external contaminants is higher than in minimally invasive procedures ([3]).

Postoperative Strategies: Postoperative care is crucial to maintain a sterile environment and facilitate healing. **Negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT)** has emerged as an effective postoperative intervention, especially in patients at high risk for infection, such as those with large or deep incisions. By creating a controlled vacuum environment, NPWT reduces fluid accumulation, minimizes bacterial colonization, and enhances tissue perfusion ([1], [7]). Studies indicate that NPWT is particularly beneficial in high-risk abdominal surgeries, where the likelihood of infection is substantially greater due to the nature and extent of the procedures ([4]).

Drain management is another important consideration. Prompt removal of surgical drains, ideally within 48 hours, has been shown to lower infection rates by reducing potential sites for bacterial colonization ([8], [12]). Delayed drain removal has been linked to an increased incidence of SSIs, particularly in surgeries involving extensive tissue disruption, where prolonged exposure may facilitate bacterial entry ([12]). Furthermore, the use of antiseptic dressings and regular wound inspections contribute to effective postoperative wound management, ensuring timely intervention if signs of infection appear ([6], [12]).

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

The literature on SSI prevention in abdominal surgeries offers varying levels of evidence for different strategies, with several showing high reliability and consistency across multiple studies. Below is a summary of the evidence supporting each strategy, focusing on notable trends and patterns.

Preoperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis: The use of preoperative antibiotics is backed by robust evidence, with high-certainty studies highlighting its effectiveness in reducing SSIs when administered at the correct time ([1], [3]). Research shows that administering antibiotics within 60 minutes before the incision significantly lowers infection rates by achieving optimal tissue concentration ([2]). This strategy has been widely adopted as a standard practice in abdominal surgeries and is reinforced by international guidelines such as those from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) ([1]).

Skin Preparation with Chlorhexidine: Studies consistently support the use of chlorhexidine in an alcohol-based solution as the preferred skin antiseptic due to its broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties and prolonged residual activity, which extends protection throughout lengthy surgeries ([3], [10]). Multiple high-quality trials, including those comparing chlorhexidine to iodine-based solutions, demonstrate lower SSI rates with chlorhexidine ([6]). The evidence thus establishes chlorhexidine as the antiseptic of choice for preoperative skin preparation, particularly in abdominal procedures with higher infection risks.

Hair Removal Methods: Evidence suggests that clipping hair rather than shaving minimizes skin micro-abrasions, which can act as entry points for pathogens ([8], [1]). While randomized trials on hair removal methods are fewer, observational studies provide consistent findings favoring clipping over shaving for reducing SSIs ([11]).

Intraoperative Temperature and Oxygen Control: The intraoperative maintenance of normothermia and adequate oxygenation shows strong evidence in SSI prevention. Controlled trials reveal that normothermia not only improves immune function but also enhances tissue oxygenation, crucial factors for infection prevention ([5]). Similarly, supplemental oxygen administered during and immediately after surgery aids in tissue healing by optimizing oxygen levels at the incision site, as shown in studies with moderate to high evidence ([7], [12]).

Use of Wound Protectors: Wound protectors, particularly dual-ring designs, have shown moderate to high evidence of effectiveness, especially in abdominal and gastrointestinal surgeries where the risk of contamination is higher ([4], [9]). Although these studies vary in quality, the consistent finding of a 30% reduction in SSIs with wound protectors underlines their value in high-risk open surgeries ([1]).

Postoperative Negative-Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT): Evidence for NPWT is moderately strong, with meta-analyses and randomized trials supporting its efficacy in high-risk surgeries ([7], [8]). NPWT is particularly effective in reducing fluid accumulation and promoting wound healing, which helps prevent SSIs in extensive abdominal procedures ([6]). However, studies also note that NPWT's benefits are most evident in patients predisposed to higher infection risks, suggesting its selective rather than universal application.

Drain Management: The practice of timely drain removal, typically within 48 hours post-surgery, is supported by a combination of observational studies and clinical guidelines, which consistently indicate that prolonged drain presence correlates with increased infection rates ([12]). Despite some variance in recommendations on exact timing, the consensus aligns on the early removal of drains to prevent bacterial colonization and subsequent SSIs ([4], [11]).

In summary, the literature demonstrates high-level support for preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, chlorhexidine-based skin preparation, and intraoperative temperature and oxygen management as effective strategies for SSI prevention. Moderate evidence supports

the selective use of wound protectors and NPWT in high-risk cases, while drain management guidelines, though consistent, largely derive from observational data. Together, these findings indicate a trend towards multimodal approaches, leveraging evidence-backed strategies to enhance overall patient outcomes in abdominal surgeries.

DISCUSSION

The review highlights the complexity and multifaceted nature of SSI prevention in abdominal surgeries, underscoring the crucial role of both preoperative and intraoperative strategies to mitigate infection risks. A significant finding is the effectiveness of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, which consistently shows strong evidence for reducing SSIs across various abdominal surgeries. Administering antibiotics within one hour before the incision achieves optimal bactericidal levels in the tissue, effectively reducing pathogenic loads and minimizing postoperative infection risk ([1], [3]). This strategy aligns with best practices outlined in several guidelines and is further enhanced by ensuring correct antibiotic selection based on procedure type and individual patient risk factors.

Chlorhexidine-based skin preparation emerged as a preferred antiseptic choice, demonstrating superior efficacy compared to iodine-based solutions due to its longer residual activity and stronger bactericidal properties. Chlorhexidine provides prolonged protection, particularly in procedures lasting longer than anticipated, and is especially effective in maintaining antimicrobial action throughout surgery ([6], [10]). These findings reinforce the role of chlorhexidine in SSI prevention protocols, especially for patients with higher infection risks or those undergoing complex, time-intensive surgeries.

Intraoperative measures, such as temperature and oxygen management, also demonstrate significant potential for reducing SSIs. Maintaining normothermia and ensuring optimal oxygenation are linked to lower infection rates by promoting immune function and tissue perfusion. Normothermia reduces vasoconstriction, thereby enhancing blood flow to the surgical site, which is essential for effective wound healing and infection prevention ([5]). Furthermore, supplemental oxygen appears to support recovery by increasing tissue oxygen saturation, which aids cellular repair and reduces the risk of contamination from minor surgical traumas ([7]). The evidence supporting oxygen therapy is mixed, however, with variability in effectiveness across different oxygen levels and patient conditions, suggesting a need for further investigation to optimize this approach.

The use of wound protectors, particularly dual-ring models, has proven effective in reducing SSIs in open abdominal surgeries, where exposure to the gastrointestinal flora increases contamination risk. Wound protectors create a barrier between the incision site and external contaminants, effectively lowering bacterial transmission during surgery ([4], [9]). The higher efficacy of dual-ring models likely reflects their superior ability to isolate the wound, making them particularly useful in procedures with high contamination potential. Nonetheless, more randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are needed to confirm the extent of their benefit in different surgical contexts, particularly for procedures that are less invasive.

Postoperative negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) represents another promising intervention, particularly for patients with elevated SSI risk factors such as extended incision lengths, high body mass indices, or other comorbidities. NPWT works by removing excess fluid and improving tissue perfusion, creating a favorable healing environment that reduces the likelihood of infection ([7], [12]).

However, its high cost and the mixed results observed in low-risk populations suggest that NPWT should be used selectively rather than universally in abdominal surgery cases. Selective application for high-risk patients may maximize NPWT's benefits while balancing cost-effectiveness and resource allocation.

BEST PRACTICES AND PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings, a multimodal approach incorporating preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative interventions emerges as the most effective for SSI prevention. Preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, when administered within an hour of incision, should be a universal standard due to its demonstrated impact on infection rates ([1], [3]). Chlorhexidine-based antiseptics are recommended for skin preparation, especially for lengthy or complex procedures where prolonged antimicrobial activity is essential. During surgery, maintaining normothermia and supplementing with oxygen can further support infection control, with intraoperative monitoring ensuring stable physiological conditions conducive to healing ([5], [7]).

Wound protectors, particularly dual-ring models, should be considered essential for open abdominal surgeries, where contamination risks are inherently higher. The evidence indicates a significant reduction in SSIs when protectors are used, highlighting their practicality and effectiveness in diverse procedural settings ([4]). For postoperative care, NPWT is recommended primarily for high-risk patients, with careful selection based on individual factors such as incision size and baseline infection risks. Drain management protocols should also prioritize timely removal, typically within 48 hours, to reduce the risk of bacterial colonization and subsequent infection ([11]).

CHALLENGES AND RESEARCH GAPS

Despite robust findings, several challenges and research gaps hinder the full realization of an optimized SSI prevention protocol. A major challenge is the inconsistency in study methodologies, which complicates direct comparisons and generalizations across studies. Variations in antibiotic dosages, administration timings, and patient demographics introduce heterogeneity that limits the clarity of optimal strategies ([3], [4]). Additionally, many studies are constrained by small sample sizes, particularly in subgroup analyses for high-risk populations. This limitation underscores the need for larger, well-powered studies to substantiate the efficacy of interventions such as NPWT in specific patient subgroups.

Another significant gap is the lack of standardization in intraoperative oxygen supplementation and wound protector protocols. Recommendations for optimal oxygen concentrations vary, and the conflicting results in clinical trials highlight a need for further research to identify ideal oxygen levels that maximize infection prevention without adverse effects ([7]). Dual-ring wound protectors, though promising, also require further validation through RCTs to assess their comparative effectiveness across various abdominal surgeries, as differing surgical environments may affect their performance ([4], [9]).

The efficacy of preoperative decolonization with chlorhexidine remains another area for further exploration. While chlorhexidine has demonstrated efficacy in reducing bacterial load, unanswered questions remain regarding the most effective timing and frequency of use, as well as its applicability across diverse patient populations with varying baseline infection risks. Targeted research into these variables could refine preoperative decolonization protocols, especially for patients with complex skin conditions or those with known high colonization levels ([1], [6]).

In summary, the evidence strongly supports a multimodal approach to SSI prevention in abdominal surgeries, integrating preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, chlorhexidine-based skin preparation, intraoperative temperature and oxygen management, and selective use of NPWT. However, continued research is essential to refine these strategies, particularly in areas such as intraoperative oxygen optimization and standardized NPWT use. Future studies should prioritize large-scale, multicenter trials with standardized protocols to confirm the efficacy of these interventions in diverse surgical and demographic contexts. Addressing the cost-effectiveness and scalability of these practices will further enhance their integration into routine clinical practice, ultimately contributing to more effective and accessible SSI prevention strategies in abdominal surgery.

CONCLUSION

This review of preventive strategies for surgical site infections (SSIs) in abdominal surgeries has underscored several highly effective measures. Preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, particularly when carefully timed to precede incision, and the use of chlorhexidine-based skin antiseptics emerged as essential interventions in reducing infection risks. Intraoperative strategies, including maintaining normothermia and providing supplemental oxygen, are instrumental in supporting tissue resilience and immune response, fostering a physiological environment conducive to wound healing. The application of wound protectors, particularly in open surgeries, and selective use of negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) in high-risk patients further enhance the ability to minimize SSI incidence.

These findings hold meaningful implications for surgical practice, suggesting that a multimodal approach to SSI prevention is both feasible and highly beneficial. Surgeons and perioperative teams can adopt a structured protocol that incorporates these validated measures at each phase of patient care. In practice, preoperative chlorhexidine bathing combined with antibiotic prophylaxis timed within an hour of incision should become routine in abdominal surgeries, particularly those involving higher infection risks. During surgery, maintaining steady oxygenation and body temperature is not only cost-effective but straightforward to implement. Postoperatively, wound care strategies such as NPWT should be employed selectively, based on individual patient risk profiles, optimizing the benefits without incurring unnecessary resource use.

Incorporating these recommendations into standard protocols could be transformative, reducing SSI rates and associated healthcare costs significantly. Additionally, enhanced staff education on these evidence-backed practices, along with consistent protocol adherence, can improve outcomes and set a new standard for infection control in abdominal surgeries.

The field of SSI prevention in abdominal surgery, while advancing, has substantial potential for further refinement and improvement. Future research should focus on fine-tuning dosage and administration protocols for antibiotics across various patient demographics, including those with comorbidities or specific risk factors. Additionally, exploring the precise combination of intraoperative practices—such as optimal oxygen concentration levels and the most effective types of wound protectors—will enable a more tailored approach to patient care.

Another promising area for innovation involves integrating technology into SSI prevention, such as the development of real-time monitoring tools to track patient physiological markers (e.g., temperature, oxygenation) in the perioperative environment, thus ensuring more precise interventions. Finally,

there is potential in exploring personalized SSI prevention strategies based on predictive analytics, utilizing data to identify patients at heightened infection risk and adapting preventive measures accordingly. By embracing such evidence-based, adaptive approaches, SSI prevention can progress toward even greater efficacy, ultimately improving surgical outcomes and advancing the standard of care in abdominal surgery.

REFERENCES

1. Tomsic I, Heinze N, Chaberny I, Krauth C, Schock B, Lengerke T. Implementation interventions in preventing surgical site infections in abdominal surgery: a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020;20:1-15. doi:10.1186/s12913-020-4995-z.

2. Berríos-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, Leas B, Stone EC, Kelz RR, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, 2017. JAMA Surg. 2017;152(8):784-791. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0904.

3. Simone B, Sartelli M, Coccolini F, Ball C, Brambillasca P, Chiarugi M, et al. Intraoperative surgical site infection control and prevention: a position paper and future addendum to WSES intra-abdominal infections guidelines. World J Emerg Surg. 2020;15:1-10. doi:10.1186/s13017-020-0288-4.

4. Meyer J, Roos E, Abbassi Z, Buchs N, Ris F, Toso C. Prophylactic negative-pressure wound therapy prevents surgical site infection in abdominal surgery: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1203.

5. Liu Z, Dumville JC, Norman G, Westby MJ, Blazeby JM, McFarlane E, et al. Intraoperative interventions for preventing surgical site infection: an overview of Cochrane Reviews. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;2:CD012653. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012653.pub2.

6. Leaper D, Ousey K. Evidence update on prevention of surgical site infection. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2015;28(2):158-163. doi:10.1097/QCO.0000000000000144.

7. Allegranzi B, Bischoff P, de Jonge S, Kubilay NZ, Zayed B, Gomes SM, et al. New WHO recommendations on preoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: an evidence-based global perspective. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(12):e276-e287. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30398-X.

8. Li P, Yang D, Liu D, Sun S, Zhang L. Reducing Surgical Site Infection with Negative-Pressure Wound Therapy After Open Abdominal Surgery: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study. Scand J Surg. 2017;106(3):189-195. doi:10.1177/1457496916668681.

9. Allegranzi B, Zayed B, Bischoff P, Kubilay NZ, de Jonge S, de Vries F, et al. New WHO recommendations on intraoperative and postoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: an evidence-based global perspective. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(12):e288-e303. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30402-9.

10. Park H, Han S, Lee E, Lee S, Yoon H, Eom B, et al. Randomized clinical trial of preoperative skin antisepsis with chlorhexidine gluconate or povidone–iodine. Br J Surg. 2017;104:1613-1620. doi:10.1002/bjs.10395.

11. Calderwood MS, Anderson DJ, Bratzler DW, et al. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute-care hospitals: 2022 Update. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2023;44(5):695-720. doi:10.1017/ice.2023.67.

12. Heal CF, Banks JL, Lepper PD, Kontopantelis E, van Driel ML. Topical antibiotics for preventing surgical site infection in wounds healing by primary intention. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;11:CD011426.