# International Journal of **Biological and Natural Sciences**

*Acceptance date: 17/10/2024*

# **PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF THE YEAST COX2 GENE** *DEKKERA BRUXELLENSIS*

#### *Felipe Moraes Alecrim*

Lecturer in the Pharmacy course at the Faculdade Maurício de Nassau – Garanhuns Lecturer in the Medicine course at the Faculdade de Ciências Médicas (AFYA) – Garanhuns

*Maria Gabriele de Azevedo Souza* 

*Jéssica Kérsia Melro Herculano* 

*Raquel Ferreira da Rocha* 

*Vanessa Alves da Silva Oliveira* 

*Kauane Miranda da Silva* 

*Kallynny Maiara dos Santos Wanderley* 

*Tessália Bandeira Lima* 

*Rafaele Barbosa de Carvalho* 

*Adriana Inácio Matias* 

*Eveny Jaciely Vanderley da Silva* 

*Ana Cecília Sampaio Teles*



All content in this magazine is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License. Attribution-Non-Commercial-Non-Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

**Abstract:** The yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis*, a teleomorph of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*, is the biggest contaminant in distilleries that use sugarcane juice around the world, causing a decrease in ethanol productivity and, consequently, causing losses to the industry. Despite its importance, few genetic studies have been published in the scientific literature. Recent work by our group has shown that this yeast is highly adaptable to the industrial process and we propose a broad genomic analysis to identify the factors responsible for this characteristic. In this study, we evaluated the polymorphism of the *COX2* gene, which encodes the cytochrome oxidase II enzyme. The results showed an unexpected greater similarity between the *COX2* gene sequences of industrial isolates of *D. bruxellensis* with its orthologue in *D. custersii* than with the *COX2* sequence of the type strain of *D. bruxellensis* deposited in GenBank. *In* addition, we started an *in silico* comparative analysis of the mitochondrial genome of ascomycete yeasts that have their mitochondrial genome sequenced and deposited in GenBank. This made it possible to construct a physical map of the mitochondrial genome of this clade. Six species with nuclear genomic similarity to *D. bruxellensis* were subjected to multiple alignments using the computer program Mega v. 4.0. The gene order was defined as L-rRNA COII COIII S-rR-NA COI ATPase 8 ATPase 6 Cyt b ATPase 9 Var 1, based on the *Saccharomyces cereviseae*  genome. The CODEHOP and Codon Usage programs were used to refine the design of degenerate primers in order to amplify the orthologous genes of *D. bruxellensis*. The alignments proved to be representative for primer construction, since a high degree of variability was observed between the syntenic gene sequences of the aforementioned structural genes. These data provide the basis for future analyses of the genetics and evolution of the *D. bruxellensis* population, which will serve as

a basis for establishing correlations between the variability and genetics and the physiological capacities of different industrial strains *of D. bruxellensis* in search of a better understanding of this yeast's -competitive fitness‖ in the industrial environment.

**Keywords:** microbiology, yeast, bioinformatics, molecular genetics.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Yeasts occupy a prominent position in the field of industrial biotechnology due to their use in traditional fermentation processes. It is predicted that the traditional production of products by breweries, wineries, distilled spirits and fuel industries, and the production of biomass by the food industry, will continue to provide the largest amount of fermented products in the world.

From a physiological point of view, two groups of yeasts have developed the ability to grow even in the absence of oxygen: the *Saccharomyces* and *Dekkera/Brettanomyces* clades. This suggests that the ancestor of modern ascomycetes must have been completely dependent on the presence of oxygen for growth, demonstrating an adaptive advantage over the other clades.

Comparative genomic studies with the various other species of hemiascomycetes whose genomes have already been sequenced have made it possible to place the fungi in their respective clades. The data produced by the UFPE group shows a high degree of genetic variability among *S. cereviseae* isolates from fuel alcohol, similar to what has been shown for isolates from the wine industry. The same is not true for the *Dekkera/Brettanomyces*  group, which has very few published sequences. Currently, our research group has used data from the partial sequencing of the *Dekkera bruxellensis* genome provided by Prof. Jure Piskur, from Lund University in Sweden, to identify genes involved in various aspects of

metabolism and response to environmental conditions in this species. However, information on mitochondrial genes would be very important in the context of the mechanisms of adaptability of this yeast to the industrial environment, as well as in the exploitation of its fermentative capacity, which has also been demonstrated in recent work by the group.

The aim of this study was to analyze the *COX2* mitochondrial gene in order to reconstruct its phylogeny among hemiascomycetes, evaluate the pattern of evolution of the mitochondrial genome in comparison with the nuclear genome and help in future molecular physiology studies of this yeast.

#### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **ALCOHOLIC FERMENTATION**

#### **History**

Yeasts are linked to mankind, taking part in the processes of baking, wine production, beer production, the production of fuel alcohol and other fermented beverages. In the 1970s, the fermentation process became more important, commercially and socially, at a national level with the implementation of the federal government's Pro-Alcohol Program. This program stimulated not only the production of ethanol as an environmentally clean fuel, but the entire Brazilian automotive industrial park. From this perspective, there was a growth in demand for alcohol fuel, which led to an increase in ethanol production. As a result, various scientific and technological development initiatives were supported with public and private funds.

The yeast *S. cerevisiae* is traditionally the most widely used microorganism in industrial fermentation processes. This yeast has important physiological characteristics for the industrial process, such as metabolism type positive -Crabee-tree‖, producing etha-

nol even in the absence of oxygen, with high fermentation efficiency and high ethanol tolerance*.* Several strains of this yeast are present in industrial fermentation processes (Silva-Filho *et al.,* 2005a; Silva-Filho *et al.,* 2005b).

#### **Fermentative metabolism**

Cellular metabolism can be understood as a set of highly coordinated reactions, interconnected in such a way that the product of a first reaction becomes the substrate for a second reaction, and so on. Metabolism consists of two phases: catabolism, also known as the degradative phase, and anabolism, known as biosynthesis. In the catabolic pathways, chemical energy is released in the form of ATP and NADH, which are used in the anabolic pathway to convert small precursor molecules into cellular macromolecules.

From a biochemical point of view, fermentation is an anaerobic catabolic process that does not involve the respiratory chain or cytochromes.

Alcoholic fermentation is characterized as a catabolic pathway in which sugar molecules (glucose or fructose) are broken down inside the S. cereviseae cell to form ethanol and CO2, releasing chemical and thermal energy.

The phenomenon of anaerobic growth occurs when the enzymes of the respiratory chain and the Krebs cycle have their synthesis repressed, a phenomenon called catabolic repression by glucose, so when this is consumed there is a depletion of sugars in the medium favoring the enzymes of respiratory metabolism which are then synthesized.

# **Microbiology of the fermentation process**

Traditionally, fuel alcohol producers used baker's yeast to start the fermentation process. Today there are even specific commercial strains for this fermentation, such as PE-2, CAT-1 and BG-1 (Lallemand Inc., Canada). Currently, another strain has been added to



**Figure 1** Schematic of alcoholic fermentation in *S. cerevisiae*. PEP = Phosphoenolpyruvate. Adapted.

this list, called JP1, which was isolated and sequenced by Silva- Filho *et al.* (2005b) and is currently marketed under the brand name Fermol Distiller® (AEB Group, Brazil). On the other hand, the industrial process also has a population of *non-S. cereviseae* called contaminants due to the fact that industrial alcoholic fermentation for the production of fuel alcohol is carried out without prior sterilization of the culture medium, and the yeast population used (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) is recycled into the process after centrifugation. Bacterial contamination in the industrial process is controlled by keeping the pH low (around 3.5) or, in many cases, by using antibiotics. However, these measures are not effective in combating process contamination by non-S*. cerevisiae* yeasts.

Occasionally, operational problems such as a drop in yield and delays in fermentation associated with high counts of these contaminating yeasts are observed. Extensive monitoring work in distilleries in the Northeast showed that *Dekkera bruxellensis*  is the most frequent contaminating yeast in distilleries that use raw sugarcane juice in fermentation systems, and is also responsible for the most severe contamination episodes (Basílio et al., 2005).

Sherata (1960) identified the presence of 14 non-S*. cereviseae* yeast species in sugarcane juice belonging to the genera *Candida, Endomyces, Hansenula, Kloekera, Pichia, Saccharomycodes, Schizosaccharomyces* and *Torulopsis*. Soon after, species of *Debaromyces, Rhodotorula* and *Cryptococcus* were also identified in this substrate (de Azeredo *et al*.,

1998). In recent years, more species from the genera *Candida, Hanseniaspora, Kloekera, Kluyveromyces, Schizosaccharomyces* and *Pichia* have been identified in the industrial fermentation process for both fuel ethanol and cachaça production (Cabrine & Gallo 1999; Guerra *et al*., 2001; Schwan *et al*., 2001; Gomes *et al*., 2002; Olasupo *et al*., 2003). Recently, Basílio *et al.* (2008) used molecular techniques for typing and identification for the first time in Brazil and showed the presence of more than 30 yeast species in the fermentation process in various distilleries in the Northeast region (Basílio *et al.,* 2008), among which the *Dekkera bruxellensis*, *Candida tropicalis* and *Pichia galeiformis* species stand out.

# *DEKKERA BRUXELLENSIS***: BIOLOGY AND PHYSIOLOGY OF THE SPECIES**

#### **Ecology**

In 1960 van der Walt and van Kerken reported the formation of ascospores in yeast strains previously classified as *Brettanomyces*  yeasts, considered to be non-sporulating, and proposed the new genus *Dekkera* to accommodate this teleomorphic phase. Barnett *et al.*  (2002) recognized four species in this genus: *D. bruxellensis, D. anomala, D. curstesianus*  and *D. naardenensis.*

Under the microscope, the cells of this yeast are spheroidal to ellipsoidal, often ogival (Figure 1), or they can also be cylindrical or elongated, and they can also exhibit pseudomycelium. Vegetative reproduction occurs by budding.

In sexual reproduction, the asci are evanescent and have one to four ascospores. The

ascospores are hat-shaped or spherical with a tangential edge. When released, the spores tend to group together. As general characteristics, in addition to those mentioned above, we can also list their slow growth, short life span on plates, characteristic aroma, strong production of acetic acid from glucose, stimulation of fermentation by molecular oxygen and requirement for an external source of vitamins.



**Figure 1**. Cells of the yeast Dekkera bruxellensis CBS74. Source: [http://www.ncyc.co.uk/photo-ncyc-](http://www.ncyc.co.uk/photo-ncyc-CBS74.html)[CBS74.html](http://www.ncyc.co.uk/photo-ncyc-CBS74.html)

*D. bruxellensis* is able to metabolize various carbon sources, including glucose, fructose, galactose, sucrose, maltose and ethanol. The nitrogen sources used by this yeast include ammonia, proline, arginine and nitrate (Conterno *et al.,* 2006). In addition, like *S. cerevisiae, D. bruxellensis* is ethanol tolerant, has facultative anaerobiosis, is petite positive (able to survive without mitochondrial DNA) and Crabtree positive, i.e. it has fermentative metabolism when high concentrations of glucose are present in the medium even under aerobic conditions (Woolfit *et al.,* 2007; Piskur *et al.,* 2006).

This type of metabolism produces certain components that have phenolic odors and acetic acid, reminiscent of "leather" or "horse urine" in red wines, among others (Licker *et al.*, 1998; Olsen, 2002). The increase in the production of acetic acid and phenolic compounds inhibits the growth of *S. cerevisiae* and therefore reduces the yeast's ability to produce ethanol (Lema *et al*., 1996; Gerós *et al.,* 2000).

# *Dekkera bruxellensis* **as an industrial contaminant**

Although *S. cerevisiae* is the main fermenting microorganism, other species have been identified in these processes. Although most of the yeasts found do not seem to have any effect, some can act as (Basílio *et al.,* 2005). A study carried out in several distilleries in the northeast of Brazil showed that the species *D. bruxellensis* is the main contaminating yeast in fermentation systems. This same study showed that the *D. bruxellensis* subpopulation replaces that of *S. cerevisiae*, even with repeated instances of total cell biomass exchange (de Souza Liberal *et al*., 2007). Because of this ability to replace *S. cerevisiae* in the yeast population, *D. bruxellensis* represents one of the most significant contaminants in distilleries that ferment sugarcane juice in continuous systems. In the production of fuel ethanol, when *D. bruxellensis* counts are increased, there is a decrease in the volumetric productivity of ethanol, accompanied by significant economic damage (de Souza Liberal *et al*., 2007). In addition, most studies have shown that *D. bruxellensis* is the prevalent species in contamination events of fermentation products (de Souza Liberal *et al*., 2007; Röder *et al.,* 2007; Renoulf & Lonvaud-Funel, 2007; Phister & Mills, 2003; Miot-Sertier & Lonvaud-Funel, 2007).

Although there is a perception that *D. bruxellensis*, mainly in its anamorphic form *B. bruxellensis*, represents an industrial contaminant (the concept of contamination was reviewed by Loureiro & Malfeito-Ferreira, 2003), recent data shows that this yeast is capable of producing ethanol in yields very close to those presented by *S. cerevisiae* (de Souza Liberal *et al.*, 2007) and there is even a proposal to use this yeast in fermentation processes in association with the bacterium *Lactobacillus vini* (Passoth *et al*., 2007). On the other hand, the problem caused by the presence of this yeast in high counts in the medium is the longer fermentation time needed to convert the sugar into ethanol (de Souza Liberal *et al*., 2007), which causes delays in production and in the daily yield of the process. This low productivity may be related, for example, to the lower specific fermentative capacity due to the lower metabolization of sucrose (Basílio *et al*., 2008).

To become predominant in the yeast population, *D. bruxellensis* must grow at a higher rate than *S. cerevisiae* under the same conditions. One hypothesis suggested is that *D. bruxellensis* probably has greater resistance to ethanol than *S. cerevisiae*, thus managing to overcome the latter's population in the final stages of fermentation (Renouf *et al.,* 2006). In addition, in a continuous fermentation system with cell recycling, high cell densities are reached and the supply of nutrients for cell growth is higher than in a continuous fermentation system with cell recycling becomes limited. In these environments, competition is mainly determined by the ability to utilize the growth-limiting factor. If *D. bruxellensis* is able to metabolize an available nutrient more efficiently, or if it is able to capture it with greater affinity than *S. cerevisiae*, its growth rate can be much higher in this particular condition.

Among the obvious metabolic differences between *S. cerevisiae* and *D. bruxellensis* is the latter's ability to use different metabolic pathways, using the tricarboxylic acid pathway and the genes associated with it to produce ethanol.

#### **DEKKERA GENETICS**

#### *Dekkera***'s genetics are little known**

The genus *Dekkera* belongs to the Saccharomycetacea family and is considered a distant relative of *Saccharomyces*, both genera being part of the hemiascomycetes group. The genus *Dekkera* was validated using ribosomal DNA restriction analysis, which unequivocally established the equivalence between the genera *Brettanomyces* (anamorph) and *Dekkera*  (teleomorph) and their species (Molina et al. 1993). Subsequently, the separation of the two species *D. bruxellensis* and *D. anomala*  was validated on the basis of phylogenetic analyses of the 18S ribosomal DNA *locus*  (Cai et al. 1996). Sequencing of the D1/D2 variable region of the 26S ribosomal gene also showed that industrial isolates can be unequivocally identified with *D. bruxellensis*, being differentiated from the species

*D. anomala*. Of the four species recognized in the genus, *D. bruxellensis* is able to grow in the absence of oxygen (Viser *et al.,* 1990), and has *Crabtree-positive* metabolism, i.e. it ferments hexoses producing ethanol even in the presence of oxygen (Renouf *et al.,* 2006). These characteristics make it very similar to the *S. cerevisiae* species.

In fact, in nature only two groups of yeasts seem to have developed the ability to grow in the absence of oxygen: one belongs to the *Saccharomyces* clade and the other to the *Dekkera/Brettanomyces* clade (Piskur and Langkjaer, 2004). This indicates that the ancestor of modern ascomycetes must have been completely dependent on the presence of oxygen for growth. Following the speciation events, some yeast strains have progressively reduced their dependence on oxygen by remodeling metabolic pathways and by the appearance of new genes. For the *Saccharomyces* group, studies comparing mitochondrial genes with the various other

species of hemiascomycetes whose genomes have already been sequenced have made it possible to pinpoint the main events in this process. This is not the case for the *Dekkera/ Brettanomyces* group, which has very few published sequences (Woolfit et al., 2007).

Based on the discovery made by Ephrussi (1950), Woolfit et al, in 2007 selected the CBS 2499 strain for genomic analysis because it is the largest representative of the *D. bruxellensis*  clade, because its genome size is estimated at 19.4 Mb, because it is easy to manipulate in the laboratory and especially because it produces -petite‖ mutants, allowing sequencing for the construction of clones without contamination of mitochondrial DNA, although among the contigs generated some of them show similarity to mitochondrial genes when compared by BlastX. From this sequencing, 14,860 random reading frames were obtained from this genomic library which are found within 5,407 contigs, totaling approximately 7.6 Mb of sequence data, giving an estimate of the size of the genome, thus indicating that only 40% of it has been sequenced with an estimated gene density in the order of 7,430 protein-coding genes (Woolfit et al., 2007). 2,606 gene sequences with partial or total homology to *S. cereviseae* and 277 orthologous genes to non-S*. cereviseae* species were identified*.*

*S. cereviseae* which, however, belonged to the *Saccharomycetales* family, showing thus, the high rate of horizontal transfer from these species to *D. bruxellensis* . Repeats of the 18S, 5.8S, 25S and 5S ribosomal DNA locus were observed, as well as at least 24 tRNA genes.

To try to pinpoint the phylogenetic position within the hemiascomycetes, Woolfit *et al* (2007) constructed 366 model trees based on the protein sequences of genes orthologous *to D. bruxellensis* and nine from other fungal species. A cluster analysis was carried out, in which successive exclusions of the species furthest from *D. bruxellensis* were

made from these 366 trees in order to verify the most accepted topology among these 366 possible trees. Three topologies were created and accepted as the explanation of *Dekkera*'s position within the hemiascomycetes and how it diverged. These topologies contrasted in how this species actually positioned itself among the three topologies generated. To clarify this issue, a Shimodaira-Hasegawa statistical test was carried out which rejected topology 3, which placed *D. bruxellensis*  in a clade isolated from the other species of hemiascomycetes, and topology 1, in which the most significantly accepted was topology 2, which explains that *D. bruxellensis* diverged at some point from its sister group composed of *C. albicans* and *D. hansenii*.

In order to determine whether there really was any relationship between *C. albicans* and *S. cereviseae* and *D. bruxellensis*, an analysis was carried out comparing the amino acid sequences of the latter with syntenic species, thus verifying the identity between each of them and *D. bruxellensis.* This comparison showed that *D. bruxellensis* had undergone a trichotomy of the other species mentioned, giving an idea that the rate of evolution of *D. bruxellensis* was much higher than the others. This perspective was only proven when the GC content of these species was compared, verifying that, expressively, *D. bruxellensis*  contained a high GC content when compared to the other two species in question (Woolfit *et al*, 2007).

In order to verify the rate of rearrangements between the predicted trichotomy and to confirm whether this in *D. bruxellensis* was generated by a chromosomal duplication event, a comparison of gene order was made. The result was elucidated where the rate of conserved genes between *S. cereviseae* and *D. bruxellensis* is higher than in *C. albicans and*  where the rate of rearranged genes is higher in *C. albicans* than in *S. cereviseae.* Also in this study, it was observed that there were gaps

between the coding genes which were not similar to any other gene in the entire database using BlastX; these regions were supposedly identified as intergenic regions. A comparison was made in which it was found that these spaces contain introns that are orthologous *to S. cereviseae* and others that are specific to *D. bruxellensis*, evidencing kinship and the hypothesis that it is so close to *S. cereviseae*. As this species is a high value-added yeast, as it participates in fermentation processes, this characteristic is an excellent indication that *D. bruxellensis* can be used as a fermenting yeast (Woolfit *et al*, 2007).

#### **Mitochondrial DNA**

The biogenesis of the ATP synthesis machinery requires input from two physically separate genomes: one in the nucleus and the other in the mitochondria. The mitochondria supply much of the cell's energy processes, producing ATP by phosphorylating the oxidative apparatus of the outer mitochondrial membrane. In humans, more than 80 proteins participate directly in oxidative phosphorylation, of which 13 are encoded by mitochondrial DNA. The distribution of the components of oxidative phosphorylation that are encoded by the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes varies between species. However, in all species the essential contribution of the mitochondrial genome requires that this mtDNA be inherited with fidelity to ensure that respiratory function is maintained during growth and development (Chen and Butow, 2005).

Since the discovery that mitochondria have their own genome, independent of the nuclear genome, the question has been raised as to whether they are subject to the same repair mechanisms that occur in the nuclear genome. However, for a long time it was believed that DNA repair was not present in the genome of this organelle. In this sense, repair would not be necessary due to the redundancy of the ge-

netic information present in the various copies of the organelles' DNA. This view was reinforced by the observations that the genome of this organelle does not have any genes that encode enzymes responsible for DNA repair (Britt, 1996). The mtDNA has a higher mutation rate when compared to the nuclear DNA (Richter et al., 1988), and from this fact, some lesions with UV irradiation, producing pyrimidine dimers, are not efficiently removed in the mtD-NA (LeDoux et al., 1992).

The control region is responsible for regulating the replication and transcription of the entire mtDNA. Replication begins in this region and is carried out by the displacement of one strand in relation to the other, forming a loop, known as the D-loop. In the control region, mtDNA polymorphisms are checked (Upholt and Dawid, 1977).

These organelles have a double membrane and are present in the cytoplasm, being responsible for many crucial metabolic processes such as oxidative phosphorylation. For this reason, mitochondria are known as the energy plants of cells. It is believed that mitochondria are evolutionarily derived from an ancestral bacterium, which would have formed an intracellular symbiotic relationship with the first eukaryotic cells, which explains the fact that it has its own genome (Gray, 1992). Over hundreds of millions of years, this ancestor lost the ability to function as an independent organism, so its genome became very attenuated. In fact, most of the mitochondria's functional proteins are encoded by genes in the nucleus (Lang *et al*. 1997). What remained in the mitochondria *of Saccharomyces* was a circular genome of 42,889 base pairs (bp) that contains 43 genes, of which 27 encode transporter RNAs, two encode ribosomal RNAs and 19 encode proteins/enzymes involved in the electron transport chain of oxidative phosphorylation and ATP production (Wallace 1992).

Mitochondrial DNA evolves around five times faster than nuclear DNA (Cann and Wilson 1983). This variation is primarily due to the fact that mitochondria are major generators of free radicals, providing a favorable environment for DNA mutations. Another cause is the absence of histones, which play a protective role in nuclear DNA (Yakes and Van Houten, 1997). In addition, the mitochondrial DNA polymerase enzyme has low corrective activity when compared to nuclear DNA polymerase (Kunkel and Loeb, 1981) and nucleotide excision-dependent DNA repair is not present in mitochondria (Croteau *et al*. 1999). In relation to the coding region, some portions of the control region are highly variable between individuals, evolving five times faster than the rest of the molecule (Greenberg *et al*. 1983), presumably due to the weak selection exerted on the noncoding region of the DNA. It should also be considered that the typical *D-loop* structure, where there is momentary formation of single strands, can influence the point mutation pattern (Reyes *et al*. 1998), since the depurination rate of single stranded DNA is four times higher than that of double stranded DNA (Lindahl and Nyberg, 1972). For these reasons, phylogenetic identification tests focus on sequence variation within the variable regions of genes (Holland *et al*. 1993; Wilson *et al*. 1993; Parson *et al*. 1998).

# **ANALYSIS PHYLOGENETIC**

Phylogenetics is the field of biology that seeks to identify and understand the evolutionary relationships between the different forms of life on Earth. The first objective criteria for phylogenetic reconstruction were based on morphological data. With recent access to the structure of macromolecules (DNA, RNA and proteins), phylogenetic analysis has advanced dramatically. In order to work with phylogenetic reconstruction based on

molecular data, it is necessary to know some properties of the sequences to be compared.

When reconstructing any type of phylogeny, a systematist's primary concern should be homology (Hennig, 1966; Philips et al, 2000), i.e. we should always compare homologous characters in different species. The term homology was coined in the 19th century by Owen, an English anatomist who, although a contemporary of Darwin, did not believe in evolution (Lewin, 1997).

Homology is currently understood as a property relating to entities that have a common origin. More simply put, two characters are homologous if their identical or similar parts have a common origin (Patterson, 1988; Titus and Frost, 1996; Lewin, 1997; Graur and Li, 2000). Homology is therefore a qualitative term, not a quantitative one. Even so, many authors still mistakenly use the concept of homology as a synonym for similarity.

There are three types of homology: orthology, when the sequences have a single common ancestor; paralogy, when they originate from a gene duplication; and xenology, when they originate from lateral (or horizontal) incursion. Only orthologous sequences can provide phylogenetic information on the history of organisms.

Once the sequences have been obtained, they must be correctly aligned. To do this, although there are many computer programs that perform this task, the sequences must be aligned manually. However, automatic alignments can be a first step. This stage is extremely important, as a wrong alignment will compromise the entire analysis. For a coherent alignment, the different types of mutations and nucleotide substitutions must be known. Mutations occur randomly anywhere in the genome and can be transitions, transversions, deletions/insertions and inversions. Substitutions refer to coding regions and can be synonymous (when there is no change in the coded amino acid), non-synonymous (when there is a change) and meaningless (when a stop codon is generated).

The aim of the alignment is to ensure that the position of each base being compared between the sequences considered is homologous. Because of the problem of stretches being lost or gained, it is necessary to insert gaps in the sequences of species that have lost stretches or that have not gained them (Phillips *et* al, 2000). Nowadays, there are several computer programs for aligning amino acid and nucleotide sequences (ClustalX, ESEE, Macaw, Pileup, TreeAlign, etc.) These programs are efficient and recommendable.

In the ClustalW program (Thompson ET AL, 1994) and in most programs, alignment is done in two stages. In the first, all the sequences are compared pair by pair and a maximum similarity measure between each two sequences is calculated. To calculate this similarity measure, a points is constructed where its two axes represent the two sequences being compared. For each identity between the bases, a point is placed on the graph. In the case of two identical sequences, a row of dots will be found on every diagonal of the graph, regardless of nucleotide composition. Based on this matrix of dots, the program will maximize the similarity between the sequences, using what are called penalties. Two types of penalties are used in this case: the interval penalty, which is the number of identical bases we must add to insert an interval, and the substitution penalty. The final similarity value between each two sequences is calculated as the total number of identical bases minus the number of substitutions, minus the number of gaps multiplied by the gap penalty.

From the pairwise similarity data, a dendrogram is built and the final alignment is made from the innermost nodes. In other words, the multiple alignment program starts by aligning the most similar sequences first,

then those that connect to them, and so on, until all the sequences are aligned. The final result of the alignment is actually an excellent indicator of how suitable your gene is for the specific phylogenetic problem.

In all molecular phylogenetic methods, each position occupied in the sequence (nucleotide or amino acid) is considered to be a multi-state character (it can be one of the four nucleotides or one of the twenty amino acids) and each character is considered to be independent of the others. The variation in character states will provide phylogenetic information. Phylogenetic methods are essentially statistical and can be classified into two main groups according to their criteria: quantitative (distance methods); 2) qualitative (parsimony and likelihood methods). In distance methods, the differences between two sequences are reduced to a single variable (number of differences) and their evolutionary relationships are not taken into account. In this method, the distance is first calculated and then the phylogenetic tree is reconstructed using a specific algorithm. There are different models that can be used to construct a distance matrix (NEI *et al*, 2000; MATIOLI, 2001).

The tree will be reconstructed from this matrix using a given algorithm, the most commonly used being UPGMA and Neighbor-Joining. In the qualitative criteria, the differences between the molecules are considered as a series of variables discontinuous. The most commonly used methods, although they are not the only ones, are Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Maximum Likelihood (MV). The principle of MP is that the simplest hypothesis, i.e. the one in which the tree with the fewest steps (=fewest changes in character state) is chosen to explain a given set of data. Thus, there is no explicit evolutionary model underpinning this methodology (Lesk et al., 2008).

Operational problems can only be avoided

by observing a very large number of equally more parsimonious trees or the excessive influence of homoplasies. However, the most critical question is philosophical: Is Nature parsimonious? MV is based on explicit evolutionary models of nucleotide substitution. These models are evaluated on their likelihood of explaining a set of data in a way that reflects the most credible evolutionary history. The model with the best likelihood value, which for operational reasons is given in logarithmic form, will be chosen as the basis for reconstructing the tree. For both MP and MV, there are two types of algorithm for finding the best tree: 1) Exhaustive Search, in which all possible topologies are checked; 2) Heuristic Search, in which only a subset of probabilistically most likely trees is examined. The latter, in practical terms, significantly reduces computational processing time which, in some cases, depending on the number of sequences compared, can last days or even months (NEI *et al*, 2000; MATIOLI, 2001).

# **OBJECTIVES**

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIVE**

• Analyze the COX2 mitochondrial gene of the different industrial strains

#### **SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES**

- Analyze the evolutionary characteristics of the mitochondrial gene and its sequences of the different strains *of D. bruxellensis*.
- *• Determine* whether polymorphisms occur between the sequences of *D. bruxellensis* in industrial sugarcane juice.
- Investigate whether *Dekkera* strains belong phylogenetically to the hemiascomycetes group.
- Construct primers for mitochondrial structural genes.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **LINEAGES AND MEDIA**

#### **Cell lines**

The *D. bruxellensis* strains GDB237, GDB239, GDB240, GDB242, GDB248 and GDB251 were sourced from different alcohol distilleries in the north-east of Brazil. The strains CBS60, CBS739, CBS5512, CBS9919 CBS79, CBS74 were kindly provided by Prof. Desmond Clark-Walker of the Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.

#### **Cell culture media**

The cells were grown in YPD medium (2% glucose, 2% peptone, 1% yeast extract) for cell growth or in YPA (2% potassium acetate, 2% peptone, 1% yeast extract) to induce sporulation. This medium was used to increase the cell's mitochondrial DNA content, as it induces cellular respiration. The cells were pre-cultured in 20 ml of YPA medium for 24 h and these cultures were used to inoculate a further 180 ml of the same medium. The cultures were incubated for a further 24 h or until they reached 3 g of biomass.

#### **GENETIC ANALYSIS**

# **DNA extraction with mtDNA-enriched medium**

The cells were inoculated in YPA medium and cultured for 48 hours at  $30^{\circ}$  C. After collection by centrifugation, the cells were incubated with 3 ml of protoplastization solution  $(20 \text{ mg. m}^1)$  of the lytic enzyme glucanex; 10 mg. ml-1 of BSA; 0.8 M KCl; 20 mM sodium citrate) for 3 hours at  $30^{\circ}$  C. The protoplasts were washed with solution 01 (1 M sorbitol, 50 mM citrate buffer pH 5.8) and resuspended in 2 ml of solution 02 (200 mM sucrose; 65 mM KCl; 20 mM EGTA; 10 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ ; 50

mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.2). Then 3 ml of solution 03 (20 mM sucrose; 65 mM KCl; 20 mM EDTA; 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.2) and 1 ml of 10 U.ml DNAse A solution-1 were added to hydrolyze most of the nuclear DNA. After incubation for 3 hours at  $75^{\circ}$  C, 3 ml of solution 04 (10mM EDTA; 50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.2;  $50\mu$ g.ml<sup>-1</sup> proteinase K; 0.3% SDS) for lysis of the mitochondrial membrane, followed by extraction and purification of mitochondrial DNA using phenolic extraction with phenol: chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). The DNA was precipitated with absolute ethanol at 4ºC. The precipitate was resuspended three times with 70% ethanol and resuspended in 30 µl TE buffer (10mM Tris-HCl ph 8.0, 1mM EDTA). The extracted DNA was analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and its concentration was estimated by spectrophotometry and agarose gel with Hindλ marker where the DNA concentration was measured at 60 ng/µl.

# **Quantification and assessment of total DNA integrity**

The DNA samples were quantified using a spectrophotometer. Quantification was carried out using the following conversion: one unit of absorbance at 260 nm represents 50 µg/ml of DNA. The samples were diluted (1:100) and the OD measured. To determine concentration and purity, the absorbances at 260 nm and 280 nm were observed. The ideal Abs260/Abs280 ratio should be close to two for pure DNA, with values between 1.9 and 2.1 being acceptable. To determine the integrity of the DNA samples, they were subjected to electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel, using the appropriate running buffer. For the run, 4 µl of DNA and 1 µl of sample buffer were used. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized in a UV transilluminator. The efficiency of the method was tested using semi-quantitative PCR assays using the nuclear primer Db and the mitochondrial primer DbCOX2 designed using the Primer3

program [\(h](http://fokker.wi.mit.edu/primer3/input.htm)ttp://fokker.wi.mit.edu/primer3/ input.htm). The amplification products were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel and visualized after staining with ethidium bromide.

#### *COX2* **gene sequence analysis**

The sequences homologous to the *COX2*  gene of *S. cerevisiae* were taken from GenBank. The sequences were aligned using CLUS-TALX and consensus regions were identified where the primers were designed based on the consensus. The *primers* were designed using *Primer 3 software* [\(h](http://fokker.wi.mit.edu/primer3/input.htm)ttp://fokker.wi.mit.edu/ primer3/input.htm). The following criteria were used to construct the *primers*: amplicon size of around 607pb, oligo size of between 20 and 25 nucleotides, GC content of between 20 and 80% and average Tm of  $60^{\circ}$  C. The reaction took place in 100µL tubes containing Taq DNA buffer polymerase (20mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50mMKCl), 1.5mM MgCl2, 200µM of each dNTP, 10pmol of each primer oligonuceotide, 500ng of extracted DNA and 2.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). The reagents were mixed and heated at 94°C for 4 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C per 30 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds. The amplification was visualized by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel using a 1 kb Plus molecular weight standard (Invitrogen) for comparison (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). The amplification product was then purified using the PCR purification kit (High Pure PCR purification Kit - PROMEGA) and quantified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis using the  $\lambda$  Hind III molecular weight marker. From the amplification of the GDB237 strain, the industrial strains GDB237, GDB239, GDB240, GDB242, GDB248 and GDB249 and the strains provided by Prof. Clark walker CBS60, CBS739, CBS5512, CBS9919 CBS79, CBS74 were extracted, purified and sequenced and subjected to sequence analysis.

#### **Sequencing reaction**

The initial work was carried out using 2µl of Big dye in a total reaction volume of 10µl. A PCR reaction was then set up in the following proportions: 2µl of DNA, 3µl of milliQ water, 2µl of buffer, 1µl of 3.2 mM COX2 () forward primer and 2µl of BigDye. After the polymerase chain reaction, the plates (PCR-Optical 96 well reaction plate number N-801- 0560) are removed from the thermal cycler and given 65% isopropanol. They are sealed with alcohol-resistant adhesive (Adhesive PCR Film N- 21950), mixed by inversion three times, then left to stand in the dark at room temperature for 15 min. They are then centrifuged for 45 min at 3400 rpm. The excess isopropanol is discarded and the plates inverted onto paper towels. 60 % ethanol is added and centrifuged at the same speed for 10 min. The excess ethanol is discarded again and the plates are inverted on the paper towel. To dry, the plates are spun at 300 rpm with the plates inverted on the paper towel and then sent to the oven at 37 ºC for 15 minutes. After drying, the plates receive 10 mL of formamide and are sent to the thermal cycler for the denaturation reaction. After this stage, the plates were sent to the ABI 3700 Prism Analyser automatic DNA sequencer.

# **Phylogenetic analysis of the** *COX2* **gene sequences of the different isolates.**

The nucleotide sequences of the *COX2* gene were realigned using the ClustalW program. The pairwise sequence alignment distances were derived using the method described by Feng et al. (1985) and Feng and Doolitle (1990) who correct the composition of partial bases using the following relationship:  $D =$ ln( $\rm S_{real}$  -  $\rm S$   $_{aoacaso}$  ) / ( $\rm _{Sidentic}$  -  $\rm S$   $_{aoacaso}$  )\*100, where  $S_{real}$  is the score of the original alignments, S aoacaso is the score of random sequences of the same size and base composition and  $_{\text{sidentic}}$  is the average of the two sequences, each aligned

with itself. The phylogenetic tree was built using the -neighbor-joining‖ method of Saito and Nei (1987).

# **Collection of gene sequences, construction of degenerate** *primers* **and amplification conditions**

To build the degenerate primers, various topologies were analyzed based on the work of Woofit et al (2007), which lists the clades referring to the genera and species closest to *Dekkera bruxellensis* based on the analysis of nuclear genes. This made it possible to infer a physical map of the mitochondrial genome of this clade. Six species showing nuclear genomic similarity to *D. bruxellensis* were subjected to multiple alignments using the Mega v. 4.0 computer program *[\(](http://www.megasoftware.net/)*http://www. megasoftware.net) based on the following gene order in the *S. cereviseae* mitochondrial DNA genome: COX2, COX3, COX1, ATPase8, ATPase6, CYTb, ATPase9 and VAR1. The programs CODEHOP *(*http://bioinformatics. weizmann.ac.il) and Codon Usage *[\(http://](http://www.bioinformatics.org/) [www.bioinformatics.org/](http://www.bioinformatics.org/) sms2/codon\_usage. html*) were used to refine the design of degenerate primers in order to amplify the orthologous genes of *D. bruxellensis*.

# **RESULTS**

#### **LINE SELECTION**

All the strains showed good cell growth in YPA medium, in which acetate was the only carbon source. This means that *D. bruxellensis*  can develop on a purely respiratory metabolism. However, strain GDB237 was selected for the continuation of the trials due to the better growth observed.

# **EVALUATION OF THE EXTRACTION AND AMPLIFICATION OF THE COX2 GENE**

The protocol developed for extracting mitochondrial DNA showed a high yield due to the large amount of material obtained at the end of the process (Figure 1). The efficiency of the process was assessed using semi-quantitative PCR experiments, which showed that the extracted material was most amplified using the DbCOX2 primers, which amplify a 650 bp fragment related to the mitochondrial *COX2*  gene (Figure 1), and least amplified using the Db primers, which amplify the nuclear gene that codes for 26S ribosomal RNA.



**Figure 1.** Optimization of the *D. bruxellensis*  mitochondrial DNA extraction protocol. **A.** Agarose gel of the mitochondrial DNA samples respectively (GDB237, GDB239, GDB240, GDB242, GDB248, GDB249). **B.**  Amplification of the mitochondrial *COX2* gene from mitochondrial DNA samples (GDB237). Line M: *λ-HindIII* marker.

#### **EVALUATION OF SEQUENCES**

These isolates are interesting from the point of view of the evolution of the mitochondrial genome in *D. bruxellensis*, since previous studies by the group showed that they were consistently identified as *D. bruxellensis*, but had unique molecular markers in the mitochondrial genome due to intraspecific variation. These modifications translated into punctual changes in the amino acid sequence from Ile to Met, or vice versa (Figure 3). In addition to these unique changes, two unique changes in the use of codons can be seen in the DNA sequence (Figure 2): the first change at the 31st amino acid is evident in the sequenced industrial strains where an ACA-type codon differs from the *D. bruxellensis* and B custersii strains from Genbank in that it presents ACT *,,* the second is found at the 65th amino acid where TTT is evident for the sequenced industrial strains and TTC in *D. bruxellensis* and *B. custersii.*

Of the total number of alterations, 18 were transitions and 30 were transversions. This result is satisfactory when compared to the mutability of mammalian mitochondrial DNA, in which the rate of transversions exceeds the rate of transitions by a ratio of 2:1.

#### **PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS**

When subjected to a clustering analysis, the *COX2* gene sequences of the industrial strains *of D. bruxellensis* grouped together in the *B. custersii* yeast clade (strain type CBS 5512) (Figure 5).



Phylogenetic analysis by the Neighbor Joining clustering method of the nucleotide sequences of the *COX2* gene of the industrial strains of *D. bruxellensis* compared with the collection strains of the *B. custersii* clade (\*) and the *D. bruxellensis* clade (\*\*).place booststrap and number of aligned base pairs

However, there is great genetic variability within this group. This suggests that the *COX2*  gene is under great selective pressure where, in most cases, the substitution of Met residues (present in the type strain of *D. bruxellensis*  CBS 74) for Ile residues are characteristic of *B. custersii*

*BMDGA* Title Cox2; Format erwe<br>DataType=Nocleotide CodeTable=Yeast\_Mitochondrial<br>NSeqs=14 NSites=579<br>Ideotical=: Missing=? Indel=-;



And the content from

**Figure 2**. Multiple nucleotide sequence alignment of the *COX2* gene of industrial strains of *D. bruxellensis*  and collection strains of *D. bruxellensis* and *B. custersii*.

Anålise proteÝnas #MEGA<br>!Title Cox2; : Format<br>|Format<br>| DataType=Protein<br>| NSeqs=14 NSites=193 Identical=. Missing=? Indel=-; #CBS60\_DB-F<br>#CBS5312\_DB-F<br>#CBS5512\_DB-F<br>#Db239\_DB-F<br>#Db242\_DB-F<br>#Db737\_DB-F<br>#Db737\_DB-F<br>#MCB-5-71\_DB-F<br>#MCB-5-71\_DB-F<br>#MCB-5-71\_DB-F<br>#MCB-5-71\_DB-F #CESSTS1\_DB-F<br>#CESTS1\_DB-F<br>#DB232\_DB-F<br>#DB232\_DB-F<br>#DB232\_DB-F<br>#DB231\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-S-71\_D  $ACRS9919$   $DR-F$  $ACRS79$  $\frac{1}{2}$ CBS74\_DB-F #D.bruxellensis\_mitochondrial PAMTIKAMGL QWYWKYEYSD FIDDKGETIE FESYMIPEDL LEEGQLRQLD VDSPIVCPVD THMRFIVTAA DVIHDFAMPS #CBS60\_DB-F<br>#CBS60\_DB-F<br>#CBS539\_DB-F #CBS5512\_DB-F<br>#Db232\_DB-F<br>#Db242\_DB-F<br>#Db251\_DB-F<br>#Db737\_DB-F<br>#JPC-7-1\_DB-F<br>#MCE-5-71\_DB-F<br>#MCE-5-71\_DB-F<br>#MC #CBS9919\_DB-F<br>#CBS9919\_DB-F #CBS74\_DB-F #D.bruxellensis\_mitochondrial LGIKIDAVPG RLNQTSALIQ REGVYYGQCS ELC #CBS60\_DB-F<br>#CBS60\_DB-F<br>#CBS5512\_DB-F<br>#Db239\_DB-F<br>#Db242\_DB-F<br>#Db242\_DB-F .......... ...<del>.</del>...... ........... ...  $#Db251$  DB-F #DB231\_DB-#<br>#Db737\_DB-F<br>#JPC-7-1\_DB-F<br>#MCE-5-71\_DB-F  $\begin{picture}(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){155}} \put(120,111){\line(1,0){15$ #MCB-5-71\_DB-7<br>#B.custersii\_mitochondrial<br>#CBS9919\_DB-F #CBS79\_DB-F<br>#CBS74\_DB-F

**Figure 3.** Multiple alignment of the amino acid sequence of the *COX2* gene of industrial strains of *D. bruxellensis* and collection strains of *D. bruxellensis* and *B. custersii*.

# *IN SILICO* **ANALYSIS OF THE MITOCHONDRIAL GENOME**

Since the mitochondrial genome *of D. bruxellensis* has not been sequenced, the construction of primers for the amplification and cloning of its structural genes was carried out using computational tools through the MEGA4 program, using sequences deposited in the NCBI and Génolevures banks.

The following genes were considered: *COX1*, *COX3*, *ATP6*, *ATP8*, *ATP9*, *CYTb* and *VAR1*, according to the discrimination for *S. cerevisiae*. The first analysis was carried out in order to assess the phylogenetic relationship between the mitochondrial genomes of the available ascomycetes. This made it possible to construct a physical map of the mitochondrial genome of this clade (Figures 6 to 12).

M4: Alignment Explorer (E:\Felipe Alecrim\Alinhamentos\COX2.mas)				
Search Alignment Web Edit Data	Sequencer Display Help			
D 28 国 32   三 42   第   17 其 1   14 单 单 单 的	い 陶 * 區 X 米   個 適  ◀ ▶			
DNA Sequences   Translated Protein Sequences				
	x  x  x   l∗I $\frac{1}{2}$  t t  $\vert t \vert$  z z z z z z   t  $\vert t \vert$   t  t    t t   t  ままままま $\vert \tau \vert$  t			
COX <sub>2</sub>	TTAAAGCTA			
Candida glabrata	TTAAAGCTAT			
D.bruxellensis mitochondrial	<b>TTAAAGCTATAGGT</b>			
Candida albicans	TTAAAGTAATAGGG?			
Debaryomyces hansenii				

**Figure 6**. Multiple alignment of the *COX2* gene for ascomycetous yeasts.

M4: Alignment Explorer (E:\Felipe Alecrim\Alinhamentos\Cox1.mas)					
Web Edit Sequencer Display Data Alignment Help Search					
$\frac{1000}{4}$ ▯空ᇦ	网络的说明 重 ◎ 陶 3 扁 X ¥   幅 窗  4 D				
DNA Sequences   Translated Protein Sequences					
	$\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$   t  t   **** * $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\vert \pi \vert \pi \vert$   x  x  x  x   $\frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $ \boldsymbol{\pi} $  t t  $\frac{1}{2}$ $ \pi $ $ \pi $				
ICOX1					
Saccharomyces cerevisiae					
Candida glabrata					
Kluyveromyces lactis					
Vanderwaltozyma polyspora	FTA CA GA GA T GA T TA TA C' <u> A A CA A A T GCA A A A GA TA T T GCA GTATI</u>				

**Figure 7**. Multiple alignment of the *COX1* gene for ascomycetous yeasts.

MM4: Alignment Explorer (E:\Felipe Alecrim\Alinhamentos\COX3.mas)					
Search Alignment Web Edit Data	Sequencer Display Help				
	│DGB₩│₹₩∭∥W <del>X</del> 1.│₩₩₩₩∥∽₽%®X <del>X│</del> ®®│◀⋫				
DNA Sequences   Translated Protoin Sequences					
— View/Edit trace data from DNA sequencers <mark>—</mark> ******  t t  $ t $ $ t $   t    t    t  t  t    1					
COX3					
Kluyveromyces lactis <mark>T</mark>					
Candida glabrata					
Ashbya gossypii	'АССАТСАССТСТААСТАТТАСАТАТАСТСАТСА'				

**Figure 8.** Multiple alignment of the *COX3* gene for ascomycetous yeasts.

MM4: Alignment Explorer (E:\Felipe Alecrim\Alinhamentos\CYTB SCER.fas)					
Edit Search Alignment Web Sequencer Display Help Data					
DBB₩│₹名篇│W光生│ 两单峰的║∽电る临Ⅹ※│临窗│◀▶					
DNA Sequences   Translated Protein Sequences					
$\pm \pm 1$   x  x  x  x  x  x    z z z  $\vert \pi \vert$ ホホホホホ まままままままま!   x  x   ままま   x  x					
C <sub>OB</sub>					
Candida glabrata					
Ashbya gossypii					
IA A TCCA TA TITA TCA TTA GTA A A TA GTTA CTTA A TA GA TA GT CCA CA A CCTA GTT CA A TA A A TT Debaryomyces hansenii ATG.					

**Figure 9.** Multiple alignment of the *CYTb* gene for ascomycetous yeasts



**Figure 10**. Multiple alignment of the *ATP6* gene for ascomycetous yeasts.



**Figure 11**. Multiple alignment of the *ATP8* gene for ascomycetous yeasts.



**Figure 12.** Multiple alignment of the *ATP9* gene for ascomycetous yeasts.

DBATP6 <b>Primer forward</b>	DBATP6 Primer reverse
Е Aminoácido do alin. MEGA4 - Summer P Е Q F	Ε Aminoácido do alin. MEGA4 М
- CCA TTG GGA. CAA TTT <b>GAA</b> CODEHOP TCT	CODEHOP TAA TAC CGA TAA ACA <b>CTT</b> AAC AAA <b>ATG</b> GCT <b>ATT</b> TGT TTG <b>GAA</b> TTI ATT Complemneto
CCA TTG TTT <b>GAA</b> TCT <b>GAA</b> CAA NT correspondente ao Alin. T G MEGA4 G А А G	ATG GCT ATT <b>TGT</b> <b>TTA</b> <b>GAA</b> TTI ATT NT correspondente ao Alin. ATG G A G MEGA4 ◡
CODON USAGE + alf. degener TCw CCA <b>TTG</b> GAr CAA TTT GAr	ATT TGy GAA GCT ATT CODON USAGE + alf. degener ATr <b>TTA</b> TTy Lτ
3' TCw CAA TTT GAr Primer Forward GAr CCA TTG	z, TTv 51 ATr TGy <b>TTA</b> GAA GCT ATT ATT Primer Reverse (para Blast N) ATT rTA <b>TTA</b> TTA TCG vTT AAG. vGT Primer Reverse (para Generrun)
Caracteristicas <b>TM</b> $57.6^{\circ}$ C $4.4^{\circ}$ C Hairpin loops $-83.6^{\circ}$ Dimers $-59,2^{\circ}$ C <b>Bulge loops</b> $-79.7°$ Internal loops	Caracteristicas 57.9° C <b>TM</b> $0^{\circ}$ C Hairpin loops $-93.1^{\circ}$ C <b>Dimers</b> $-88.4^{\circ}$ Bulge loops $-86.8^{\circ}$ Internal loops

**Figure 13.** Analysis of the consensus sequences for the mitochondrial genes of ascomycetes with a view to constructing degenerate primers for amplification and sequencing of the orthologs in *D. bruxellensis*  (example *ATP6* gene).



**Table 1.** Degenerate primer sequences for amplification and sequencing of orthologs in *D. bruxellensis.*

The results showed that the yeast *Debaryomyces hansenii* did not group with the ascomycetes studied, but with the sequences that, in principle, would serve as outgroups.

The CODEHOP and Codon Usage programs were used to refine the design of degenerate primers for amplifying the orthologous genes of *D. bruxellensis* (Figure 13). The alignments proved to be representative for the construction of primers, since good conservation was observed between the syntenic gene sequences of the aforementioned structural genes. These data provide a basis for future analysis of the genetics and evolution of population of *D. bruxellensis, which will serve as a* basis for establishing correlations between genetic variability and the physiological capacities of different strains. The primers were designed (Table 1) and ordered. The experiments to amplify the *D. bruxellensis* genes with the degenerate primers were partially successful, with 50% of the primers tested amplifying and being submitted for sequencing. Initially, tests are being carried out on the suitability of the PCR reaction, both in terms of the concentrations of the reaction reagents and the amplification program. So far, the *COX1* and *ATP6*  genes have been amplified. These fragments will be cloned and sequenced.

# **DISCUSSION**

The cell growth experiments revealed that glucose and sucrose are the most suitable carbon sources to support the growth of the yeast *D. bruxellensis*. Conterno *et al.* (2006) carried out physiological characterization of various strains of this yeast and found that these same carbon sources are more readily used by *D. bruxellensis.* However, Basílio *et al.* (2008) showed that sucrose consumption by *D. bruxellensis* cells is lower than that observed for *S. cerevisiae* cells. Therefore, this factor should not contribute to the better adaptation of *D. bruxellensis* to sugarcane

juice must. On the other hand, cell cultivation experiments showed that *D. bruxellensis* grows better in a medium with potassium acetate as an alternative carbon source, providing a better growth rate than media containing glucose, glycerol and calcium acetate. As the YPA medium favors respiration, there are indications that this yeast, which has a positive Crabtree metabolism, is using the oxidative pathway and its genes to maintain itself in the vats even when sucrose is completely depleted by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

From the extracted DNA, it was possible to amplify the *COX2* gene from all the samples. These fragments were subjected to nucleotide sequencing and the results showed intense variation with twelve changes identified. According to Hoeben *et al* (1993) these changes are in line with the high rate of evolution of the *COX2* gene in these species. Mitochondrial DNA evolves around five times faster than nuclear DNA (Cann and Wilson 1983). This intraspecific variation is due, firstly, to the fact that mitochondria are major generators of free radicals, providing a favorable environment for DNA mutations. Another cause is the absence of histones, which play a protective role in nuclear DNA (Yakes and Van Houten, 1997). In addition, the mitochondrial DNA polymerase enzyme has low corrective activity when compared to nuclear DNA polymerase (Kunkel and Loeb, 1981) and nucleotide excision-dependent DNA repair is not present in mitochondria (Croteau *et al*. 1999). Based on this fact, of the twelve changes shown in the DNA sequences, only the second and sixth changes deserve attention. There are base splits in the industrial strains that are unique and cannot be compared to either *D. bruxellensis* or *B. custersii*. The ACA and TTT splits in the industrial strains are differ from ACT and TTC of the *D. bruxellensis* and *B. curstesii*  strains*.* Of the total number of alterations, 18 were transitions and 30 were transversions.

In comparison, the amino acid sequence, translated with the universal code using the Mega 4.0 program, showed that the industrial strains identify more with *B. curstesii* than with *D. bruxellensis* as the predominant yeast in sugarcane juice distilleries. Liberal *et al*. (2005) described these industrial yeasts as *D. bruxellensis using specific primers*, but their study shows that changes in the amino acid sequence of the *COX2* gene show that they are more similar to *B. curstesii.* According to Hoeben *et al* (1993) *Dekkera bruxellensis*  encodes ATA for isoleucine in the universal code, but the industrial strains encode ATT methionine, showing the same codon in the *B. custersis* species and vice versa*.* Clark-Walker *et al* (1992) state that there is a great similarity between *D.bruxellensis* and *B.curstesii,* which is emphasized by the identity of the amino acid sequences despite the DNA sequences in which only 13 punctual alterations were located. However, these changes always occur in the third position, which supports the theory seen in the work by Jupeng *et al*  (1996) that separates these two species into different clades, demonstrating that they are phylogenetically different species, which leads us to believe that the industrial strains are *B. custersii* and not *D. bruxellensis*

This type of identification using DNA and amino acid sequences has already proved to be useful. Gerdini et al (2000) typified pathogenic *Candida glabrata* species by comparing the DNA and amino acid sequences of different species and strains, thus demonstrating the efficacy of the method using the cytochrome oxidase two gene.

The phylogenetic analysis of the *COX2*  gene was accurate in terms of the suspicion that the industrial strains are grouped close to *B. custersii* and not *Dekkera bruxellensis*, however, as described by Hoeben et al (1986), this gene is under great selective pressure and the mutation rate is high, generating controversy over the certainty of the classification.

Despite its importance, *D. bruxellensis* is still poorly characterized genetically. In fact, Woolfit *et al.* (2007) pioneered the genetic study of this yeast, providing much of the data used for current research into the genetic basis of its physiological capabilities. These researchers carried out a partial sequencing that covered approximately 40% of the *D. bruxellensis* genome*,* identifying around 3000 genes. Among of these, it is interesting to note the presence of genes involved only with nuclear genomes, since *petite* strains were used by Professor Piskur's group. In order to elucidate this issue, degenerate *primers*  were synthesized to perform a phylogeny by concatenating structural genes. This analysis was carried out -in silico‖, generating seven pairs of primers

In contrast to the work of Woolfit et al, 2007, in which only mutants without mtDNA were used, this work is relevant to the present work in that it provides guidance in determining the consensus sequences for the different oxidative metabolism genes. Based on the *Saccharomyces cereviseae* genome, the gene order was defined as L-rRNA COII COIII S-rRNA COI ATPase 8 ATPase 6 Cyt b ATPase 9 Var 1, using data from Genbankaromyces *cereviseae*. The programs CODEHOP *(*http:// bioinformatics.weizmann.ac.il) and Codon Usage *[\(](http://www.bioinformatics.org/sms2/codon_usage.html)*http://www.bioinformatics.org/sms2/ codon\_usage.html) were used to refine the design of degenerate primers for amplifying the orthologous genes of *D. bruxellensis*. Kurtzein et al, (2007) concatenated genes through -in silico|| analysis for the group<br>of hemiascomycetes, defining *Dekkera* of hemiascomycetes, defining *bruxellensis* as one of the hemiascomycetes. Of the primers tested, 50% amplified with the number of base pairs predicted by the CAP3 program, but they were not sequenced or cloned, and it is hoped that these primers will be used in future research to elucidate the mitochondrial genome of this yeast.

From studies of these concatenated genes it will be possible to have precise information on the phylogenetic position of the industrial species, *although in the study carried out the degree of identity with B custerssii is much greater than in D. bruxellensis.* The only two specific changes in the industrial strains, which are in the third base of the 31st amino acid and the third base of the 62nd amino acid, identify a certain polymorphism in this gene. However, the rate of identical bases that align to a degree of similarity means that the predicted industrial strains are classified as B. custersii.

# **CONCLUSION**

- The mtDNA extraction protocol process favored respiration and, consequently, obtaining the COXII gene sequence.
- The analysis of the DNA sequences was significant in terms of identifying new cracks from the industrial strains.
- Amino acid analysis confirms that the industrial strains resemble *B. custersii.*
- The synthesis of primers is beneficial in terms of serving as a basis for future studies.
- Therefore, analysis of the COXII gene showed that the industrial strains known as *D.bruxellensis* in sugarcane juice distilleries are more similar to *B. custersii.*

# **REFERENCES**

Alwine JC, Kemp DJ, Stark GR (1977). Method for detection of specific RNAs in agarose gels by transfer to diazobenzyloxymethylpaper and hybridization with DNA probes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5350-5354.

Andrianopoulos A, Kourambas S, Sharp JA, Davis MA, Hynes MJ (1998). Characterization of the Aspergillus nidulans nmrA gene involved in nitrogen metabolism repression. J. Bacteriol. 180, 1973–1977.

Attfield PV (1997). Stress tolerance: the key to effective strains of industrial baker's yeast. Nat. Biotechnol. 15:1351–1357.

Avila J, Perez MD, Brito N, Gonzalez C, Siverio JM (1995). Cloning and disruption of YNR1 gene encoding the nitrate reductase apoenzyme of the yeast Hansenula polymorpha. FEBS Lett.366,137-142.

Avila J, Gonzalez C, Brito N, Siverio JM (1998). Clustering of the YNA1 gene encoding a Zn(II)2Cys6 transcriptional factor in the yeast Hansenula polymorpha with the nitrate assimilation genes YNT1, YNI1 and YNR1, and its involvement in their transcriptional activation. Biochem.J.335,647-652.

Avila J, Gonzalez C, Brito N, Machin F, Perez MD, Siverio JM (2002). A second Zn(II)2Cys6 transcriptional factor encoded by the YNA2 gene is indispensable for the transcriptional activation of the genes involved in nitrate assimilation in the yeast Hansenula polymorpha. Yeast 19,537-544.

Barnett JA, Payne RW, Yarrow D (2002). Yeasts: Characteristics and Identification. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1002p

Basílio ACM, Pinheiro W, Morais Jr MA, Simões DA (2005). Utilização do padrão de amplificação com o marcador (GTG)5 para identificação rotineira de leveduras contaminantes da fermentação alcoólica industrial. In: XV Simpósio Nacional de Bioprocessos, Recife. Anais do XV Simpósio Nacional de Bioprocessos. Recife: Editora da UFPE, v. 15. p. 1-7.

Basílio AC, de Araújo PR, de Morais JO, da Silva Filho EA, Morais Jr MA, Simões DA (2008). [Detection and identification of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18188645?ordinalpos=10&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum)  [wild yeast contaminants of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18188645?ordinalpos=10&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) [industrial fuel ethanol fermentation process.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18188645?ordinalpos=10&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) Curr Microbiol. Apr;56(4):322-6.

Bertram PG, Choi JH, Carvalho J, Ai W, Zeng C, Chan TF, Zheng XF (2000). Tripartite regulation of Gln3p by TOR, Ure2p, and phosphatases. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 35727–35733.

Boer VM, Tai SL, Vuralhan Z, Arifin Y, Walsh MC, Piper MDW, de Winde JH, Pronk JT Daran JM (2007). Transcriptional responses of Saccharomyces cerevisiae to preferred and nonpreferred nitrogen sources in glucose-limited chemostat cultures. FEMS Yeast Res 7 604–620.

Brazma A, Vilo J (2000). Gene expression data analysis. FEBS Letters 480 (2000). 17-2418.

Brito N, Avila J, Pérez MD, Gonzalez C, Siverio JM (1996). The genes YNI1 and YNR1, encoding nitrite reductase and nitrate reductase, respectively, in the yeast Hansenula polymorpha. Biochem. J. 317, 89-95.

Brito N, Perez MD, Perdomo G, Gonzalez C, Garcia-Lugo P, Siverio JM (1999). A set of Hansenula polymorpha integrative vectors to construct lacZ fusions. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 53: 23–29.

Burger G, Tilburn J, Scazzocchio C. (1991a). Molecular cloning and functional characterization of the pathway-specific regulatory gene nirA, which controls nitrate assimilation in Aspergillus nidulans. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 795–802.

Burger G, Strauss J, Scazzocchio C, Lang BF (1991b). nirA, the pathway-specific regulatory gene of nitrate assimilation in Aspergillus nidulans, encodes a putative GAL4-type zinc finger protein and contains four introns in highly conserved regions. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 5746–5755.

Cabrini KT, Gallo CR (1999). Identificação de leveduras no processo de fermentação alcoólica em usina do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Sci. agric. vol.56 n.1 Piracicaba

Caddick MX, Arst Jr HN, Taylor L.H, Johnson RI, Brownlee AG (1986). Cloning of the regulatory gene areA mediating nitrogen metabolite repression in Aspergillus nidulans. EMBO J. 5, 1087–1090.

Carvalho J, Bertram PG, Wente S, Zheng XF (2001). Phosphorylation regulates the interaction between Gln3p and the nuclear import factor Srp1p. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 25359–25365.

Chatonnet P, Dubourdieu JN Boidron. (1995). The influence of Brettanomyces/Dekkera sp. yeast and lactic acid bacteria on the ethyl phenol content of red wines. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 46:463-468.

Chatonnet P, Viala C, Dubourdieu D (1997). Influence of polyphenolic components of red wines on the microbial synthesis of volatile phenols. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 48:443- 448.

Chiang, TY & Marzluf GA (1994). DNA recognition by the NIT2 nitrogen regulatory protein: importance o f the number, spacing, and orientation of GATA core elements and their flanking sequences upon NIT2 binding. Biochemistry 33, 576–582.

Coffman JA, Rai R, Cunningham T, Svetlov V, Cooper TG (1996). Gat1p, a GATA family protein whose production is sensitive to nitrogen catabolite repression, participates in transcriptional activation of nitrogen-catabolic genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16, 847–858.

Cogoni C, Valenzuela L, Gonzalez-Halphen D, Olivera H, Macino G, Ballario P, Gonzalez A (1995). Saccharomyces cerevisiae has a single glutamate synthase gene coding for a plant-like high-molecular-weight polypeptide. J. Bacteriol. 177, 792–798.

Conterno L, Joseph CML, Arvik TJ, Henick-Kling T, Bisson LF (2006). Genetic and physiological characterization of Brettanomyces bruxellensis strains isolated from wines. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 57:139–147.

Cooper TG (2002). Transmitting the signal of excess nitrogen in Saccharomyces cerevisiae from the Tor proteins to the GATA factors: connecting the dots. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 26, 223-238.

Coschigano PW, Magasanik B (1991). The URE2 gene product of Saccharomyces cerevisiae plays an important role in the cellular response to the nitrogen source and has homology to glutathione stransferases. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 822–832.

Coton E, Coton M, Levert D, Casaregola S, Sohier D (2006). Yeast ecology in French cider and black olive natural fermentations. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 108: 130–135.

Courchesne WE, Magasanik B. (1988). Regulation of nitrogen assimilation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: roles of the URE2 and GLN3 genes. J. Bacteriol. 170, 708–713.

de Azeredo LA, Gomes EA, Mendonca-Hagler LC, Hagler AN (1998). Yeast communities associated with sugarcane in Campos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Int Microbiol 1, 205–208.

de Souza Liberal AT, da Silva Filho EA, de Morais JO, Simões DA, Morais Jr MA (2005). [Contaminant yeast detection in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15612997?ordinalpos=3&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum)  [industrial ethanol fermentation must by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15612997?ordinalpos=3&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) [rDNA-PCR.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15612997?ordinalpos=3&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) Lett Appl Microbiol. 40(1):19-23.

de Souza Liberal AT, Basílio ACM, Resende AM, Brasileiro BTV, da Silva-Filho EA, de Morais JOF, Simões DA, de Morais JR MA (2007). Identification of Dekkera bruxellensis as a major contaminant yeast in continuous fuel ethanol fermentation. J. Appl. Microbiol. 102, 538–547.

Feng B & Marzluf GA (1998). Interaction between major nitrogen regulatory protein NIT2 and pathway-specific regulatory factor NIT4 is required for their synergistic activation of gene expression in Neurospora crassa. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18, 3983–3990.

Freeman WM, Walker SJ, Vrana KE (1999). Quantitative RT-PCR: pitfalls and potential. Biotechniques 26:112 –125.

Fu YH, Feng B, Evans S, Marzluf GA (1995). Sequencespecific DNA binding by NIT4, the pathway-specific regulatory protein that mediates nitrate induction in Neurospora. Mol. Microbiol. 15, 935–942.

Garcia-Lugo P, Gonzalez C, Perdomo G, Brito N, Avila J, de la Rosa JM, Siverio JM (2000). Cloning, sequencing, and expression of HaYNR1 and HaYNI1, encoding nitrate and nitrite reductases in the yeast Hansenula anomala. Yeast 16, 1099-1105.

Gerós H, Azevedo MM, Cássio F (2000). Biochemical studies on the production of acetic acid by the yeast Dekkera anomala. Food Technol. Biotechnol. 38: 59- 62.

Godard P, Urrestarazu A, Vissers S, Kontos K, Bontempi G, van Helden J, Andre B (2007). Effect of 21 Different Nitrogen Sources on Global Gene Expression in the Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. p. 3065–3086.

Gomes FC, Pataro C, Guerra JB, Neves MJ, Correa SR, Moreira ES, Rosa CA (2002). Physiological diversity and trehalose accumulation in Schizosaccharomyces pombe strains isolated from spontaneous fermentations during the production of the artisanal Brazilian cachaça. Can J Microbiol 48, 399–406.

Granchi L, Bosco M, Messini A, Vincenzini M (1999). Rapid detection and quantification of yeast species during spontaneous wine fermentation by PCR- RLFP analysis of the rDNA ITS region. J. Appl. Microbiol. 87, 949–956.

Grenson M, Dubois E, Piotrowska M, Drillien R, Aigle M (1974). Ammonia assimilation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae as mediated by the two glutamate dehydrogenases. Evidence for the gdhA locus being a structural gene for the NADP-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase. Mol. Gen. Genet. 128, 73–85.

Grenson M & Dubois E (1982). Pleiotropic deficiency in nitrogen uptake systems and derepression of nitrogen-catabolite enzymes in npr-1 mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eur. J. Biochem. 121, 643-647.

Guerra JB, Araújo RA, Pataro C, Franco GR, Moreira ES, Mendonca-Hagler LC, Rosa CA (2001). Genetic diversity of Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains during the 24 h fermentative cycle for the production of the artisanal Brazilian cachaça. Lett Appl Microbiol 33 , 106–111.

Hahn S (2004). Structure and mechanism of the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery. Nat. Struc. & Mol. Biol.. 11:394- 403.

Heid CA, Stevens J, Livak KJ, Williams PM (1996). Real time quantitative PCR. Genome Res 6:986– 994.

Higuchi R, Dollinger G, Walsh PS, Griffith R (1992). Simultaneous amplification and detection of specific DNA sequences. Biotechnology (NY) 10:413 – 417.

Higuchi R, Fockler C, Dollinger G, Watson R (1993). Kinetic PCR analysis: real-time monitoring of DNA amplification reactions. Biotechnology (NY) 11:1026 – 1030.

Horak J (1997). Yeast nutrient transporters. Bioch. Biophys. Acta. 1331, 41–79.

Ibeas JI, Lozano I, Perdigones F, Jimenez J (1996). Detection of Dekkera– Brettanomyces strains in sherry by a nested PCR method. Appl Environ Microbiol 62: 998–1003.

Kornberg RD, Lorch Y (1999). Cell 98, 285–294.

Kornberg RD (2007). The molecular basis of eukaryotic transcription. PNAS August 7, 2007 vol. 104 no. 32 12955–12961.

Lema C, Garcia-Jares C, Orriols I, Angulo L (1996). Contribution of Saccharomyces and non-Saccharomyces populations to the production of some components of Albariño wine aroma. Am. J. Enol. Viticult. 47, 206– 216.

Lima UA (2001). Biotecnologia industrial. Processos fermentativos e enzimáticos. Vol.1, SP, Editora Blucher LTDA.

Lin Y, Tanaka S (2006). Ethanol fermentation from biomass resources: current state and prospects. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol, 69: 627–642.

Liu W, Saint DA (2002). Validation of a quantitative method for real time P CR kinetics. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 294:347– 353.

Livak KJ (2001). User Bulletin #2, ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence detection system. Applied Biosystems. [http://www.docs.](http://www.docs.appliedbiosystems.com/pebiodocs/) [appliedbiosystems.com/pebiodocs/](http://www.docs.appliedbiosystems.com/pebiodocs/) 04303859.pdf.

Livak KJ & Schmittgen TD (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2<sup>-DeltaDeltaC(T)</sup> method. Methods 25:402-408.

Loureiro V & Malfeito-Ferreira M. (2003) Spoilage yeasts in the wine industry. Int J Food Microbiol 86, 23–50.

Magasanik, B (1992). Regulation of nitrogen utilization. In: The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Gene Expression (Jones, E.W., Pringle, J.R. and Broach, J.R., Eds.), pp. 283-317. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Magasanik B & Kaiser CA (2002). Nitrogen regulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Gene 290: 1–18.

Magasanik B (2003). Ammonia Assimilation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eukaryotic Cell, p. 827–829

Marzluf GA (1997). Genetic Regulation of Nitrogen Metabolism in the Fungi. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. p. 17–32. Vol. 61, No. 1

Miller SM, Magasanik B (1990). Role of NAD-linked glutamate dehydrogenase in nitrogen metabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.J. Bacteriol. 172, 4927– 4935.

Miot-Sertier C & Lonvaud-Funel A (2007). Development of a molecular method for the typing of Brettanomyces bruxellensis (Dekkera bruxellensis) at the strain level. J. Appl. Microbiol. 102 555–562.

Mitchell AP, Magasanik B (1983). Purification and properties of glutamine synthetase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 258, 119–124.

Mitchell AP (1985). The GLN1 locus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae encodes glutamine synthetase. Genetics 111, 243–258.

Mitrakul CM, Henick-Kling T & Eg li CM (1999) Discrimination of Brettanomyces/ Dekkera yeast isolates from w ine by using various DNA fingerprint methods. Food Microbiol 16: 3–14.

Mo X & Marzluf GA (2003) Cooperative action of the NIT2 and NIT4 transcription factors upon gene expression i n Neurospora crassa. Curr. Genet. 42, 260– 267.

Molina FI, Shen P, Jong SC (1993) Validation of the species conceptin the genus Dekkera by restriction analysis of genes coding for rRNA. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 43:32-35.

Narendja F, Goller SP, Wolschek M, Strauss J (2002). Nitrate and the GATA factor AreA are necessary for in vivo binding of NirA, the pathway-specific transcriptional activator of Aspergillus nidulans. M ol. Microbiol. 44, 573–583.

Navarro FJ, Marchin F, Martín Y, Siverio JM (2006). Down-regulation of Eukaryotic Nitrate Transporter by Nitrogen-dependent Ubiquitinylation. The Journal of Biol. Chem. Vol. 281, No. 19, pp. 13268–1327.

Olasupo NA, Bakre S, Teniola OD, James SA (2003). Identification of yeasts isolated from Nigerian sugar cane peels. J. Basic Microbiol. 43 , 530–533.

Pannetier C, Delassus S, Darche S, Saucier C , Kourilsky P (1993). Quantitative titration of nucleic acids by enzymatic amplification reactions run to saturation. Nucleic Acids Res. 21 :57 7 – 58 3.

Parthun MR, Jaehning JA (1990). Purification and characterization of the yeast transcriptional activator GAL4. J. Biol. Chem. 265, 209–213.

Passoth V, Blomqvist J, Schnürer J (2007). Dekkera bruxellensis and Lactobacillus vini form a stable ethanol-producing consortium in a commercial alcohol process. Appl. Environ. Microbiol

Pérez MD, González C, Ávila J, Brito N, Siverio JM (1997). The YNT1 gene encoding the nitrate transporter in the yeast Hansenula polymorpha is clustered with genes YNI1 and YNR1 encoding nitrite reductase and nitrate reductase, and its disruption causes inability to grow in nitrate. Biochem. J. 321, 397±403

Phister TG & Mills DA (2003). Real-Time PCR Assay for Detection and Enumeration of Dekkera bruxellensis in Wine. Applied And Environmental Microbiology, p. 7430–7434. Vol. 69, No. 12.

Pignocchi C, Berardi E, Cox BS (1998). Nitrate reduction and the isolation of Nit mutants in Hansenula polymorpha. Microbiology 144, 2323–2330.

Piskur J, Rozpedowska E, Polakova S, Merico A & Compagno C (2006). How did Saccharomyces evolve to become a good brewer? Trends Genet. 22:183– 186.

Pretorius IS (2000). Tailoring wine yeast for the new millennium: novel approaches to the ancient art of winemaking. Yeast 16:675–729.

Renouf V & Lonvaud-Funel A (2007). Development of an enrichment medium to detect Dekkera/Brettanomyces bruxellensis, a spoilage wine yeast, on the surface of grape berries. Microbiological Research 162 154—167.

Renouf V, Falcou M, Miot-Sertier C, Perello MC, De Revel G, Lonvaud-Funel A (2006). Interactions between Brettanomyces bruxellensis and other yeast

species during the initial stages of winemaking. J. Appl. Microbiol. 100:1208– 1219.

Reue K (1998). mRNA Quantitation Techniques: Considerations for Experimental Design and Application. Am. Soc. Nutr. Sci. J. Nutr. 128: 2038–2044.

Röder C, König H & Fröhlich J (2007). Species-specific identification of Dekkera /Brettanomyces yeasts by Fluorescently labeled DNA probes targeting the 26S rRNA. FEMS Yeast Res 1–14.

Rossi B, Manasse S, Serrani F, Berardi E (2005). [Hansenula polymorpha NMR2 and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16214423?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) [NMR4, two new loci involved in nitrogen](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16214423?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum)  [metabolite repression.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16214423?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) FEMS Yeast Res. 2005 Nov;5(11):1009-17. Epub 2005 Sep 27.

Rowen DW, Esiobu N, Magasanik B. (1997). Role of GATA factor Nil2p in nitrogen regulation of gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 179, 3761–3766.

[Schefe JH](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=Search&Term=%22Schefe%20JH%22%5BAuthor%5D&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DiscoveryPanel.Pubmed_RVAbstractPlus), [Lehmann KE](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=Search&Term=%22Lehmann%20KE%22%5BAuthor%5D&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DiscoveryPanel.Pubmed_RVAbstractPlus), [Buschmann IR](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=Search&Term=%22Buschmann%20IR%22%5BAuthor%5D&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DiscoveryPanel.Pubmed_RVAbstractPlus), [Unger T,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=Search&Term=%22Unger%20T%22%5BAuthor%5D&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DiscoveryPanel.Pubmed_RVAbstractPlus) [Funke-Kaiser H](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=Search&Term=%22Funke-Kaiser%20H%22%5BAuthor%5D&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DiscoveryPanel.Pubmed_RVAbstractPlus) (2006). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR data analysis: current concepts and the novel "gene expression's CT difference" formula. Journal of molecular medicine. Nov;84(11):901-10.

Schwan RF, Mendonca AT, da Silva JJ, Jr Rodrigues V, Wheals AE (2001). Microbiology and physiology of Cachaca (Aguardente) fermentations. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 79 , 89–96.

Serrani F, Rossi B, Berardi E (2001). Nitrogen metabolite repression in Hansenula polymorpha: the nmrl-l mutation. Curr. Genet. 40, 243–250.

Sherata AM (1960). Yeasts isolated from sugar cane and its juice during the production of aguardente de cana. Appl Microbiol 8, 73–75.

Silva Filho EA (2003) Caracterização genética de populações de leveduras em destilarias de álcool combustível com vistas a seleção de linhagens promissoras para expressão heteróloga de genes de interesse industrial. Tese de Doutorado, UFPE – Biologia de Fungos. 120p.

Silva-Filho EA, Santos SKB, Resende AM, Morais JOF, Morais Jr MA, Simões DA (2005a). Yeast population dynamics of industrial fuel-ethanol fermentation process assessed by PCR-fingerprinting. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 88, 13- 23.

Silva-Filho EA, de Melo HF, Antunes DF, dos Santos SK, do Monte Resende A, Simões DA, Morais Jr MA (2005b). [Isolation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16175407?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum)  [by genetic and physiological](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16175407?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) [characteristics of a fuel-ethanol fermentative Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16175407?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum) with potential for genetic manipulation. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. Oct;32(10):481-6.

Siverio JM (2002). Assimilation of nitrate by yeasts. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 26 277-284.

Southern EM (1975). Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragmentsseparated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98: 503–517.

Stanbrough M, Magasanik B (1995). Transcriptional and posttranslational regulation of the general amino acid permease of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 174, 94–102.

Stanbrough M, Rowen DW, Magasanik B, (1995). Role of the GATA factors Gln3p and Nil1p of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in the expression of nitrogen- regulated genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 9450–9454.

ter Schure EG, Sillje HHW, Vermeulen EE, Kalhorn JW, Verkleij AJ, Boonstra J, Verrips CT (1998). Repression of nitrogen catabolic genes by ammonia and glutamine in nitrogen-limited continuous cultures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiology, 144, 1451–1462.

ter Schure EG, van Riel NAW, Verrips CT (2000). The role of ammonia metabolism in nitrogen catabolite repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 24 67-83.

The Basics: Northern Analysis. Ambion Applied Biosystems.<http://www.ambion.com/techlib/basics/northerns/index.html>

VanGuilder HD, Vrana KE, Freeman WM (2008). Twenty-five years of quantitative PCR for gene expression analysis. BioTechniques 44:619-626

van Maris AJA, Abbott DA, Bellissimi E, van den Brink J, Kuyper M, Luttik MAH, Wisselink HW, Scheffers WA, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT (2006). Alcoholic fermentation of carbon sources in biomass hydrolysates by Saccharomyces cerevisiae: current status. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 90:391–41

Wheals AE, Basso LC, Alves DMG, Amorim HV (1999). Fuel ethanol after 25 years. Tibtech, Vol 17.

Wiame JM, Grenson M, Arst Jr H.N (1985). Nitrogen catabolite repression in yeasts and filamentous fungi. Adv. Microbiol. Physiol. 26, 1–88.

Wilhelm J, Pingoud A (2003). Real-time polymerase chain reaction. Chembiochem 4:1120– 1128.

Wittwer CT, Herrmann MG, Moss AA, Rasmussen RP (1997). Continuous fluorescence monitoring of rapid cycle DNA amplification. Biotechniques 22:130 – 138.

Woolfit M, Rozpedowska E, Piskur J, Wolfe KH (2007). Genome Survey Sequencing of the Wine Spoilage Yeast Dekkera (Brettanomyces) bruxellensis. Eukaryotic Cell, Apr. 2007, Vol. 6, No. 4, p. 721–733.

Wray GA, Hahn MW, Abouheif E, Balhoff JP, Pizer M, Rockman MV, Romano LA (2003). The Evolution of Transcriptional Regulation in Eukaryotes. Mol. Biol. E vol. 20(9):137 7–1419.

Xiao X, Fu YH, Marzluf GA (1995). The negative-acting NMR regulatory protein of Neurospora crassa binds to and inhibits the DNA-binding activity of the positive-acting nitrogen regulatory protein NIT2. Biochemistry 34, 8861–8868.

Zipper H, Brunner H, Bernhagen J, Vitzthum F (2004). Investigations on DNA intercalation and surface binding by SYBR Green I, its structure determination and methodological implications. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:e103.