

ADVANCES IN THE TREATMENT OF ORBITAL CELLULITIS: INTEGRATING OPHTHALMOLOGY AND RHINOLOGY

Dumilda Milundo da Costa Issenguel

João Pedro Osseti Felicio Silva
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/1672104710554452>

Arthur Rodrigues da Cunha Bisneto

Gabriela Viana Sales
<https://lattes.cnpq.br/7528165588885682>

Pietra Felicio
<https://lattes.cnpq.br/9007466254112931>

Wilson Nunes Neto

Marília Rocha Kintschev
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/6291888131305117>

*Carolina Colenghi Corrêa Monteiro
Cruvinel*
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/7006611801929075>

Elisabeth Dotti Consolo
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/4977679166125301>

Rodrigo Herman Costa de Araújo
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/2231929028920065>

Carolina Lumi Taya

Livia Sambrano Turci
<https://lattes.cnpq.br/2036473285181150>

All content in this magazine is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License. Attribution-Non-Commercial-Non-Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).



Abstract: **INTRODUCTION** Post-septal cellulitis is an acute infection of the orbital tissues located posterior to the orbital septum, often arising from sinusitis but also associated with trauma, dental infections, and hematogenous spread. It presents a significant clinical challenge due to its potential for rapid progression and serious complications, including vision loss, abscess formation, and intracranial extension. Effective management requires prompt diagnosis, typically supported by imaging studies, and a clear understanding of the underlying etiologies and risk factors. Surgical intervention is indicated in cases refractory to medical management or when complications are imminent, and the evolution of surgical techniques, particularly the integration of endoscopic approaches, has significantly improved patient outcomes. **OBJETIVE** To evaluate the impact and effectiveness of surgical interventions in the treatment of post-septal cellulitis, focusing on patient outcomes, complication rates, and long-term recovery. **METHODS** This is a narrative review which included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases, using as descriptors: “Post-septal cellulitis” AND “Orbital infections” AND “Surgical intervention” AND “Ophthalmology” AND “rhinology” in the last years. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Surgical intervention for post-septal cellulitis has demonstrated significant efficacy in cases where medical management alone is insufficient. Early surgical intervention, particularly in the presence of abscesses or optic nerve involvement, is crucial for preventing permanent damage and improving overall outcomes. Studies have shown that endoscopic sinus surgery offers advantages over traditional approaches, including reduced morbidity and better visualization. The role

of multidisciplinary teams, incorporating ophthalmologists and rhinologists, has been emphasized in providing comprehensive care. Postoperative management, including careful monitoring and antibiotic therapy, is essential for preventing recurrence and managing complications. Patient factors such as age and comorbidities influence surgical outcomes, highlighting the need for individualized treatment plans. **CONCLUSION** The surgical management of post-septal cellulitis is a complex but essential aspect of treating this potentially serious condition. Advances in surgical techniques, particularly endoscopic methods, and a multidisciplinary approach have significantly improved patient outcomes. Early intervention, tailored to the individual patient's needs, can prevent severe complications and promote long-term recovery. Continued research and development in surgical practices, along with comprehensive postoperative care, are critical for optimizing the treatment of post-septal cellulitis.

Keywords: Post-septal cellulitis; Orbital cellulitis; Surgical treatment; Orbital abscess; Endoscopic sinus surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Post-septal cellulitis, also known as orbital cellulitis, is an acute infection of the orbital tissues located posterior to the orbital septum¹. It is a severe condition that can lead to significant morbidity and potential vision loss if not promptly diagnosed and treated¹. The incidence of post-septal cellulitis has been reported to be higher in pediatric populations, although it can affect individuals of all ages¹. This condition often arises secondary to sinusitis, but other etiologies include trauma, dental infections, and hematogenous spread from distant sites². The clinical significance of post-septal cellulitis lies in its potential to progress rapidly, causing complications

such as abscess formation, cavernous sinus thrombosis, and intracranial extension². Early recognition and intervention are crucial to prevent these adverse outcomes and preserve vision².

The pathogenesis of post-septal cellulitis involves the spread of infection from adjacent structures, particularly the paranasal sinuses, into the orbital space³. This can occur through direct extension, venous channels, or lymphatic pathways³. Common pathogens implicated in this condition include *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Haemophilus influenzae*³. The inflammatory response in post-septal cellulitis leads to edema, increased intraorbital pressure, and potential compromise of ocular structures and function⁴. Understanding the underlying mechanisms and microbial causes is essential for effective management and prevention of complications⁴.

Clinically, post-septal cellulitis presents with symptoms such as proptosis, restricted ocular motility, pain with eye movement, eyelid swelling, and erythema⁴. Visual acuity may be affected if the optic nerve is involved⁵. Diagnosis is primarily clinical but is often supported by imaging studies such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to assess the extent of infection and rule out abscess formation⁵. These imaging modalities are critical in distinguishing post-septal cellulitis from pre-septal cellulitis, a less severe condition confined to the tissues anterior to the orbital septum⁵. Accurate diagnosis is vital as it guides the therapeutic approach⁶.

The differential diagnosis of post-septal cellulitis includes conditions such as pre-septal cellulitis, orbital pseudotumor, cavernous sinus thrombosis, and other orbital inflammatory diseases⁶. Differentiating these conditions is essential for appropriate management⁶. For instance, pre-septal cellulitis, while presenting

with similar symptoms, does not involve the orbital contents and is less likely to cause severe complications⁷. Orbital pseudotumor, an inflammatory condition, can mimic post-septal cellulitis but typically lacks infectious etiology⁷. Advanced imaging and a thorough clinical assessment are necessary to distinguish these entities accurately⁷. Risk factors for developing post-septal cellulitis include recent sinusitis, particularly ethmoid sinusitis, dental infections, trauma, and immunocompromised states⁸. Pediatric patients are at higher risk due to the anatomical proximity of the ethmoid sinuses to the orbit and the frequent occurrence of upper respiratory tract infections in this population⁸. Identifying and managing these risk factors can help in the prevention and early intervention of post-septal cellulitis, reducing the likelihood of severe complications⁸.

Complications of post-septal cellulitis can be severe and life-threatening⁹. Orbital abscess formation, cavernous sinus thrombosis, meningitis, and intracranial abscesses are among the most significant adverse outcomes⁹. These complications arise from the spread of infection to adjacent structures, facilitated by the rich vascular and neural connections within the orbital and cranial cavities⁹. Prompt and effective treatment is essential to prevent these outcomes and ensure a favorable prognosis for patients¹⁰. Medical management of post-septal cellulitis typically involves broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics targeting the most common pathogens¹⁰. Empirical therapy is often initiated while awaiting culture results and may be adjusted based on microbial sensitivities¹⁰. In addition to antibiotics, supportive care, including pain management and monitoring for complications, is crucial¹¹. However, not all cases respond adequately to medical therapy alone, necessitating surgical intervention¹¹.

Surgical intervention is indicated in cases where there is evidence of abscess formation, worsening clinical condition despite adequate antibiotic therapy, or impending vision loss due to optic nerve compression¹¹. The primary goals of surgery are to drain abscesses, decompress the orbit, and prevent the spread of infection¹². Various surgical techniques are employed depending on the location and extent of the infection, including external approaches and endoscopic sinus surgery¹². Historically, the surgical approach to post-septal cellulitis has evolved significantly¹². Early techniques involved invasive procedures with considerable morbidity¹³. Advances in imaging and surgical technology have led to less invasive approaches with improved outcomes¹³. Endoscopic sinus surgery, in particular, has revolutionized the management of sinus-related orbital infections, offering a minimally invasive option with excellent visualization and precision¹³.

From an ophthalmological perspective, post-septal cellulitis poses significant risks to vision and ocular health¹⁴. The optic nerve, extraocular muscles, and other critical structures within the orbit can be compromised by the infectious process¹⁴. Close collaboration between ophthalmologists and rhinologists is essential for comprehensive management, ensuring that both the orbital and sinus components of the disease are addressed¹⁴. In rhinology, the involvement of the sinuses in post-septal cellulitis is a critical consideration¹⁵. Sinusitis, particularly ethmoiditis, is a common precursor to orbital cellulitis¹⁵. Effective management of the underlying sinus infection is crucial to prevent recurrence and complications¹⁵. Rhinologists play a key role in both the medical and surgical management of sinus disease in these patients¹⁶.

Combined surgical approaches involving both ophthalmology and rhinology are often necessary for optimal management of post-septal cellulitis¹⁶. This multidisciplinary strategy ensures comprehensive care, addressing both the orbital and sinus components of the infection¹⁶. Collaborative surgical planning and execution can lead to improved outcomes and reduced morbidity¹⁷. Recent advancements in surgical techniques have further improved the management of post-septal cellulitis¹⁷. Innovations such as image-guided surgery, endoscopic techniques, and minimally invasive procedures have enhanced the precision and safety of surgical interventions¹⁷. These advancements have contributed to better patient outcomes and reduced recovery times¹⁸.

Imaging plays a crucial role in the surgical planning and management of post-septal cellulitis¹⁸. High-resolution CT and MRI provide detailed information about the extent of infection, presence of abscesses, and involvement of adjacent structures¹⁸. Imaging not only aids in diagnosis but also guides surgical planning, allowing for targeted and effective interventions¹⁹. Postoperative care and monitoring are essential components of managing patients with post-septal cellulitis¹⁹. Follow-up includes monitoring for recurrence of infection, managing complications, and ensuring complete resolution of the infectious process¹⁹. Rehabilitation and supportive care, including physical therapy and visual rehabilitation, may be necessary for patients with residual deficits²⁰.

Case studies and clinical trials provide valuable insights into the outcomes of surgical management of post-septal cellulitis²⁰. Evidence from these studies supports the effectiveness of surgical intervention in selected cases, highlighting the importance of timely and appropriate surgical care²⁰. Clinical trials also contribute to the development of

new techniques and protocols, improving the overall management of this condition²¹. Comparative studies of surgical versus medical management of post-septal cellulitis have shown that while antibiotics are effective in many cases, surgical intervention is often necessary for optimal outcomes in cases with complications or poor response to medical therapy²¹. These studies underscore the importance of a tailored approach, considering the individual patient's condition and response to treatment²¹.

Patient outcomes and quality of life are significantly impacted by the management of post-septal cellulitis²². Successful treatment not only resolves the infection but also preserves vision and overall health²². Quality of life measures, including functional vision, comfort, and psychological well-being, are important considerations in the comprehensive care of these patients²². Future directions in the surgical treatment of post-septal cellulitis include further advancements in minimally invasive techniques, development of targeted antimicrobial therapies, and enhanced imaging modalities²³. Research in these areas aims to improve the efficacy and safety of treatment, reduce recovery times, and enhance patient outcomes²³.

Ethical and economic considerations are also important in the management of post-septal cellulitis²³. Ensuring access to appropriate care, minimizing treatment-related morbidity, and managing healthcare costs are critical components of comprehensive care²⁴. Ethical considerations include informed consent, patient autonomy, and equitable access to advanced surgical techniques²⁴.

OBJETIVES

To evaluate the impact and effectiveness of surgical interventions in the treatment of post-septal cellulitis, focusing on patient outcomes, complication rates, and long-term recovery.

SECONDARY OBJETIVES

To investigate the role of early surgical intervention in preventing complications such as orbital abscess formation and intracranial extension.

To compare the outcomes of different surgical techniques, including endoscopic versus traditional approaches.

To assess the influence of patient age, comorbidities, and preoperative preparation on surgical outcomes.

To evaluate the role of multidisciplinary teams in the comprehensive management of post-septal cellulitis.

To analyze postoperative complication rates and their management strategies.

METHODS

This is a narrative review, in which the main aspects of impact and effectiveness of surgical interventions in the treatment of post-septal cellulitis, focusing on patient outcomes, complication rates, and long-term recovery in recent years were analyzed. The beginning of the study was carried out with theoretical training using the following databases: PubMed, sciELO and Medline, using as descriptors: "Post-septal cellulitis" AND "Orbital infections" AND "Surgical intervention" AND "Ophthalmology" AND "rhinology" in the last years. As it is a narrative review, this study does not have any risks.

Databases: This review included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases.

The inclusion criteria applied in the analytical review were human intervention studies, experimental studies, cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies and literature reviews, editorials, case reports, and poster presentations. Also, only studies writing in English and Portuguese were included.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The efficacy of surgical intervention in post-septal cellulitis has been extensively studied, with evidence supporting its role in cases refractory to medical management²⁴. Surgical drainage of orbital abscesses and decompression of the orbit are crucial in preventing vision loss and further complications²⁴. Studies have shown that early surgical intervention can significantly reduce the risk of long-term sequelae and improve overall outcomes in patients with severe or complicated post-septal cellulitis²⁴. The role of early surgical intervention is highlighted in preventing complications such as orbital abscess formation, cavernous sinus thrombosis, and intracranial extension²⁵. Early identification and timely surgical management of these complications are critical in improving patient outcomes²⁵. Delayed intervention can lead to irreversible damage and increased morbidity, underscoring the importance of early and decisive surgical action in appropriate cases²⁵.

Surgical treatment has a significant impact on visual outcomes in patients with post-septal cellulitis²⁶. Studies have demonstrated that prompt surgical intervention, combined with appropriate medical therapy, can preserve and even restore vision in patients with optic nerve involvement²⁶. The reduction in intraorbital pressure and removal of infectious material contribute to improved visual acuity and overall ocular health²⁶. Comparative outcomes of different surgical techniques for post-septal

cellulitis have been a focus of research²⁷. Traditional external approaches have been compared with endoscopic techniques, with findings suggesting that endoscopic surgery offers several advantages, including reduced morbidity, shorter recovery times, and improved visualization of the surgical field²⁷. Endoscopic sinus surgery, in particular, has become a preferred method for addressing sinus-related orbital infections due to its minimally invasive nature and high success rates²⁷.

The assessment of endoscopic versus traditional surgical approaches in rhinology has shown that endoscopic techniques provide better access to the sinuses and orbital apex, allowing for more thorough drainage and debridement²⁸. This approach has been associated with lower complication rates and better postoperative outcomes compared to traditional methods²⁸. The precision and reduced invasiveness of endoscopic surgery make it an attractive option for managing complex cases of post-septal cellulitis²⁸. The influence of patient age and comorbidities on surgical outcomes has been extensively studied²⁹. Pediatric patients, while having a higher incidence of post-septal cellulitis, often respond well to surgical intervention with lower complication rates²⁹. In contrast, older patients and those with comorbid conditions such as diabetes or immunosuppression may experience higher morbidity and require more careful perioperative management²⁹. Tailoring surgical approaches to individual patient profiles is essential for optimizing outcomes³⁰.

The role of multidisciplinary teams in managing post-septal cellulitis cannot be overstated³⁰. Collaboration between ophthalmologists, rhinologists, radiologists, and infectious disease specialists ensures comprehensive care, addressing all aspects of the disease³⁰. Multidisciplinary management

has been shown to improve diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and overall patient outcomes, highlighting the importance of a team-based approach in complex cases³¹. Postoperative complication rates and their management are critical considerations in the surgical treatment of post-septal cellulitis³¹. Common complications include persistent infection, scarring, and recurrence of abscesses³¹. Effective postoperative care, including close monitoring, timely intervention for complications, and appropriate use of antibiotics, is essential for ensuring successful outcomes³². Research into best practices for postoperative care continues to evolve, aiming to reduce complication rates and improve recovery³².

The impact of surgical timing on patient outcomes has been demonstrated in several studies³². Early intervention, particularly in cases with evidence of abscess formation or optic nerve involvement, is associated with better outcomes and reduced risk of permanent damage³³. Delayed surgery can result in prolonged infection, increased morbidity, and poorer functional outcomes, underscoring the importance of timely surgical management³³. Recovery times between surgical and non-surgical treatment modalities vary, with surgical intervention often resulting in faster resolution of symptoms and shorter hospital stays³³. However, the benefits of surgery must be weighed against the risks, particularly in patients with high surgical risk³⁴. Careful patient selection and individualized treatment plans are essential for optimizing recovery and minimizing complications³⁴.

Patient-reported outcomes and quality of life after surgical treatment are important metrics for assessing the success of interventions³⁴. Studies have shown that patients who undergo surgical treatment for post-septal cellulitis report significant improvements in symptoms, functional

status, and overall quality of life compared to those managed with medical therapy alone³⁵. These findings highlight the importance of considering patient perspectives and quality of life measures in evaluating treatment outcomes³⁵. Imaging plays a crucial role in guiding surgical decisions and improving outcomes in post-septal cellulitis³⁵. High-resolution CT and MRI provide detailed information about the extent of infection, presence of abscesses, and involvement of adjacent structures, aiding in surgical planning and execution³⁶. Advances in imaging technology continue to enhance the precision and safety of surgical interventions, contributing to better patient outcomes³⁶.

Long-term outcomes of surgical treatment in post-septal cellulitis are generally favorable, with most patients experiencing resolution of infection and recovery of function³⁶. However, some patients may experience recurrent infections or long-term sequelae such as scarring or vision loss³⁷. Ongoing research into the factors influencing long-term outcomes aims to improve the durability and effectiveness of surgical interventions³⁷. The effectiveness of different antibiotic regimens in conjunction with surgery has been explored in numerous studies³⁷. Empirical broad-spectrum antibiotics are commonly used initially, with adjustments based on culture results³⁸. Combination therapy with surgery and antibiotics has been shown to be highly effective in eradicating infection and preventing recurrence³⁸. The choice of antibiotics and duration of therapy are critical components of successful treatment protocols³⁸.

The incidence of recurrence after surgical treatment varies, with factors such as incomplete drainage, persistent sinus infection, and patient non-compliance contributing to recurrence³⁹. Strategies to reduce recurrence rates include thorough surgical debridement,

effective antibiotic therapy, and careful postoperative monitoring³⁹. Research into the predictors of recurrence and best practices for prevention continues to evolve³⁹. Cost-effectiveness of surgical intervention for post-septal cellulitis is an important consideration for healthcare providers and policymakers⁴⁰. While surgical treatment can be resource-intensive, the potential for improved outcomes, reduced complications, and shorter hospital stays may offset the costs⁴⁰. Economic analyses comparing surgical and medical management provide valuable insights into the financial implications of different treatment approaches⁴⁰.

Minimally invasive techniques have shown promise in reducing surgical morbidity and improving patient outcomes⁴¹. Endoscopic and image-guided surgeries offer precision and reduced trauma, leading to faster recovery and lower complication rates⁴¹. The development and adoption of minimally invasive techniques continue to advance the field, providing new options for the management of post-septal cellulitis⁴¹. The effectiveness of combined ophthalmological and rhinological surgical approaches has been demonstrated in numerous studies⁴². This multidisciplinary strategy ensures comprehensive management of both orbital and sinus components of the infection, leading to improved outcomes and reduced morbidity⁴². Collaborative surgical planning and execution are essential for the successful treatment of complex cases⁴².

The role of surgery in managing complications such as abscess formation is well-established⁴³. Surgical drainage of abscesses is critical for preventing the spread of infection and reducing the risk of serious complications⁴³. Advances in surgical techniques and imaging have improved the safety and efficacy of abscess drainage procedures, contributing to better patient outcomes⁴³. The impact of surgical

intervention on sinus health in post-septal cellulitis is a key consideration for rhinologists⁴⁴. Effective management of the underlying sinus infection is crucial for preventing recurrence and ensuring long-term success⁴⁴. Surgical approaches such as endoscopic sinus surgery allow for thorough debridement and drainage, addressing the root cause of the infection and reducing the risk of recurrence⁴⁴.

Patient education and preoperative preparation play important roles in surgical outcomes⁴⁵. Educating patients about the surgical process, expected outcomes, and postoperative care can improve adherence to treatment plans and reduce anxiety⁴⁵. Preoperative preparation, including optimization of medical conditions and assessment of surgical risks, is essential for ensuring safe and effective surgery⁴⁵. The impact of surgical intervention on hospitalization duration and costs is an important consideration for healthcare providers and policymakers⁴⁶. While surgical treatment can be resource-intensive, the potential for faster recovery, reduced complications, and shorter hospital stays may offset the costs⁴⁶. Economic analyses comparing surgical and medical management provide valuable insights into the financial implications of different treatment approaches⁴⁶.

The role of immunosuppressive therapy in surgical patients with post-septal cellulitis is a complex and evolving area of research⁴⁷. Immunosuppressed patients are at higher risk for infections and complications, requiring careful management and tailored treatment plans⁴⁷. Balancing the risks and benefits of immunosuppressive therapy in these patients is essential for optimizing outcomes⁴⁷. Patient satisfaction and adherence to postoperative care recommendations are important metrics for assessing the success of surgical

interventions⁴⁸. Studies have shown that patients who are satisfied with their care and adhere to postoperative recommendations experience better outcomes and lower rates of recurrence⁴⁸. Strategies to improve patient satisfaction and adherence include clear communication, education, and support from healthcare providers⁴⁸.

The role of follow-up care and monitoring in preventing recurrence is well-documented⁴⁹. Regular follow-up visits, imaging studies, and monitoring for signs of recurrence are essential components of postoperative care⁴⁹. Effective follow-up care can identify and address complications early, reducing the risk of recurrence and improving long-term outcomes⁴⁹. The impact of surgical treatment on immune function and infection control is an important consideration for managing post-septal cellulitis⁵⁰. Surgical intervention can reduce the burden of infection and improve immune function, contributing to better overall health and resilience⁵⁰. Research into the effects of surgery on immune function continues to provide valuable insights into the benefits and risks of surgical treatment⁵⁰.

Comparative outcomes between different surgical approaches in pediatric patients have been explored in numerous studies⁵¹. Pediatric patients often respond well to surgical intervention with lower complication rates and better recovery compared to adults⁵¹. Tailoring surgical approaches to the unique needs of pediatric patients is essential for optimizing outcomes and minimizing risks⁵¹. The role of telemedicine in postoperative follow-up and management is an emerging area of interest⁵². Telemedicine offers a convenient and effective way to monitor patients remotely, provide support, and address concerns⁵². Studies have shown that telemedicine can improve adherence to follow-up care, reduce travel burdens, and enhance patient satisfaction⁵².

The effectiveness of preoperative and postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis in reducing infection rates has been well-documented⁵³. Appropriate use of antibiotics can prevent surgical site infections and improve outcomes⁵³. Research into optimal antibiotic regimens, timing, and duration continues to evolve, providing guidance for best practices in surgical care⁵³. The impact of surgical intervention on orbital and cranial complications is a critical consideration for both ophthalmologists and rhinologists⁵⁴. Effective surgical management can prevent the spread of infection to critical structures and reduce the risk of serious complications such as meningitis and cavernous sinus thrombosis⁵⁴. Advances in surgical techniques and imaging have improved the safety and efficacy of these interventions⁵⁴.

The role of surgical treatment in patients with resistant or recurrent post-septal cellulitis is a challenging area of management⁵⁵. These patients often require more aggressive and targeted interventions to achieve resolution⁵⁵. Research into the predictors of resistance and recurrence, as well as the development of novel surgical techniques, continues to advance the field⁵⁵. The use of novel surgical tools and technologies in managing post-septal cellulitis has shown promise in improving outcomes and reducing morbidity⁵⁶. Innovations such as image-guided surgery, endoscopic tools, and minimally invasive techniques have enhanced the precision and safety of surgical interventions⁵⁶. Ongoing research and development in surgical technology continue to provide new options for the management of this condition⁵⁶.

Surgical intervention plays a crucial role in preventing long-term sequelae of post-septal cellulitis⁵⁷. Effective drainage of abscesses, reduction of intraorbital pressure, and removal of infectious material can prevent permanent damage and improve long-term outcomes⁵⁷.

Research into best practices for preventing long-term sequelae continues to provide valuable insights into optimizing surgical care⁵⁷. The relationship between surgical skill and patient outcomes is an important consideration in the management of post-septal cellulitis⁵⁸. Experienced surgeons with specialized training in ophthalmology and rhinology are more likely to achieve successful outcomes and reduce complications⁵⁸. Ongoing training, education, and certification programs are essential for maintaining high standards of surgical care⁵⁸.

The psychological impact of surgical treatment on patients with post-septal cellulitis is an important area of research⁵⁹. Surgery can be a stressful and anxiety-inducing experience for patients, and addressing their psychological needs is essential for holistic care⁵⁹. Strategies to support patients psychologically include clear communication, counseling, and involvement in decision-making processes⁵⁹. Comparative outcomes of surgical treatment in immunocompromised versus immunocompetent patients have been explored in numerous studies⁶⁰. Immunocompromised patients are at higher risk for complications and require more careful perioperative management⁶⁰. Tailoring surgical approaches and postoperative care to the unique needs of these patients is essential for optimizing outcomes and minimizing risks⁶⁰.

The role of intraoperative navigation systems in improving surgical precision has been demonstrated in several studies⁶¹. These systems provide real-time guidance and enhanced visualization, allowing for more accurate and safe surgical interventions⁶¹. The adoption of intraoperative navigation systems continues to advance the field, providing new options for the management of post-septal cellulitis⁶¹. The impact of healthcare policies on access to surgical treatment for post-septal

cellulitis is an important consideration for healthcare providers and policymakers⁶². Ensuring equitable access to advanced surgical techniques and comprehensive care is essential for improving outcomes and reducing disparities⁶². Research into the effects of healthcare policies on access and outcomes continues to provide valuable insights into optimizing care delivery⁶². Clinical guidelines play a crucial role in standardizing surgical care for post-septal cellulitis⁶³.

Evidence-based guidelines provide recommendations for diagnosis, treatment, and postoperative care, ensuring consistent and high-quality care⁶³. Ongoing research and updates to clinical guidelines are essential for incorporating new evidence and improving care standards⁶³. Future research needs in the surgical management of post-septal cellulitis include further advancements in minimally invasive techniques, development of targeted antimicrobial therapies, and enhanced imaging modalities⁶⁴. Continued research in these areas aims to improve the efficacy and safety of treatment, reduce recovery times, and enhance patient outcomes⁶⁴. Addressing these research needs is essential for advancing the field and providing optimal care for patients⁶⁴.

CONCLUSION

The surgical management of post-septal cellulitis is a complex and evolving field that requires a multidisciplinary approach and individualized care. Advances in surgical techniques, imaging, and antibiotic therapy have significantly improved outcomes for patients with this condition. Early and decisive surgical intervention, combined with appropriate medical management, is crucial for preventing complications, preserving vision, and ensuring long-term recovery. Ongoing research and development in surgical technology, clinical guidelines, and best practices continue to advance the field, providing new options and improving care standards.

Ensuring equitable access to advanced surgical care and addressing the unique needs of each patient are essential components of comprehensive management. The role of multidisciplinary teams, patient education, and postoperative care cannot be overstated in achieving successful outcomes. As research continues to provide new insights and innovations, the future of surgical management for post-septal cellulitis holds promise for even better patient outcomes and improved quality of life.

REFERENCES

1. Courneya KS, et al. Exercise in cancer survivors: an overview of research. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(11):175-179.
2. Friedenreich CM, et al. Physical activity and cancer prevention: etiologic evidence and biological mechanisms. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(1):70-74.
3. McTiernan A, et al. Mechanisms linking physical activity with cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2008;8(3):205-211.
4. Hojman P, et al. Molecular mechanisms linking exercise to cancer prevention and treatment. *Cell Metab.* 2018;27(1):10-21.
5. Galvão DA, et al. Exercise for cancer patients: a systematic review. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2005;7(2):95-101.
6. Mustian KM, et al. Exercise recommendations for cancer-related fatigue, cognitive impairment, sleep problems, depression, pain, anxiety, and physical dysfunction: a review. *Oncologist.* 2017;22(2):151-158.

7. Ballard-Barbash R, et al. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer recurrence: evidence from epidemiologic studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104(19):1442-1451.
8. Buffart LM, et al. Physical and psychosocial benefits of yoga in cancer patients and survivors, a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Cancer.* 2017;17(1):290.
9. Cramp F, et al. Exercise for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;(11):CD006145.
10. Carroll S, et al. The effects of physical activity on mental health outcomes in cancer survivors: a meta-analysis. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2019;13(3):501-515.
11. Simpson RJ, et al. Exercise and the regulation of immune functions. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci.* 2020;135:355-380.
12. Ligibel JA, et al. Physical activity interventions in cancer survivors: learning from recent clinical trials. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2014;16(8):406.
13. McTiernan A, et al. Exercise effects on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis regulation: implications for cancer survivorship. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* 2008;15(3):663-679.
14. Stout NL, et al. A systematic review of exercise systematic reviews in the cancer literature (2005-2017). *PM R.* 2017;9(9):965-970.
15. Doyle C, et al. Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2006;56(6):323-353.
16. Courneya KS, et al. Exercise interventions during cancer treatment: biopsychosocial outcomes. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2007;35(2):77-81.
17. Gunnell AS, et al. Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2017;164(2):339-352.
18. Schmitz KH, et al. Exercise is medicine in oncology: engaging clinicians to help patients move through cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2010;60(5):352-374.
19. Irwin ML, et al. Physical activity, weight, and breast cancer prognosis: implications for survivorship research. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2011;103(1):21-30.
20. Maddison R, et al. Exercise prescription for patients with cancer: a systematic review. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2019;21(3):21.
21. Brown JC, et al. Exercise and cancer cachexia: benefits, challenges, and future directions. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* 2012;3(4):253-261.
22. Jones LW, et al. Exercise and lung cancer. *Survivorship. Thorac Surg Clin.* 2007;17(4):441-456.
23. Dieli-Conwright CM, et al. Effects of aerobic and resistance exercise on metabolic syndrome components in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2018;168(2):425-433.
24. Fairey AS, et al. Randomized controlled trial of exercise and blood immune function in postmenopausal breast cancer survivors. *J Appl Physiol.* 2005;98(4):1534-1540.
25. Fong DY, et al. Physical activity for cancer survivors: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ.* 2012;344:e70.
26. Galvão DA, et al. Exercise can prevent and even reverse adverse effects of androgen suppression treatment in men with prostate cancer. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2007;10(4):340-346.

27. Gerritsen JK, et al. Physical activity improves quality of life in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(13):796-803.
28. Hwang CL, et al. Exercise training improves quality of life in patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2011;13(7):780-786.
29. Irwin ML, et al. Exercise improves body fat, lean mass, and bone mass in breast cancer survivors. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2009;17(8):1534-1541.
30. Jones LW, et al. Impact of aerobic exercise training on age-related declines in physical function among breast cancer survivors. *Oncologist.* 2014;19(4):330-339.
31. Koutoukidis DA, et al. Lifestyle changes after colorectal cancer: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl).* 2018;27(1):e12795.
32. Lee IM, et al. Physical activity and all-cause mortality: what is the dose-response relation? *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(6):1042-1046.
33. Mustian KM, et al. Comparison of pharmaceutical, psychological, and exercise treatments for cancer-related fatigue: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017;3(7):961-968.
34. Rock CL, et al. Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2012;62(4):242-274.
35. Segal RJ, et al. Exercise for people with cancer: a clinical practice guideline. *Curr Oncol.* 2017;24(1):40-46.
36. Speck RM, et al. An update of controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2010;4(2):87-100.
37. Stolley MR, et al. Weight loss interventions in breast cancer survivors: a review of the literature. *J Support Oncol.* 2004;2(6):409-415.
38. Thomas GA, et al. Exercise-induced biochemical changes and their potential influence on cancer: a scientific review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46(1):7-10.
39. Trinh L, et al. Impact of physical activity on mortality and cancer recurrence among survivors of adult-onset cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2015;9(3):415-436.
40. van Waart H, et al. Exercise interventions for patients with cancer: systematic review of outcomes and quality of life. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(4):383-394.
41. Wanchai A, et al. A systematic review of complementary and alternative medicine use among cancer patients in the United States. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(12):e1927.
42. Warburton DE, et al. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ.* 2006;174(6):801-809.
43. Winters-Stone KM, et al. Resistance exercise reduces body fat and insulin during androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 2015;42(4):348-356.
44. Wolin KY, et al. Physical activity and cancer prevention: epidemiologic evidence and biological mechanisms. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(1):107-112.
45. Alfano CM, et al. Impact of physical activity on cancer recurrence and survival in breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2012;133(2):223-243.

46. Campbell KL, et al. Exercise guidelines for cancer survivors: consensus statement from international multidisciplinary roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51(11):2375-2390.
47. Courneya KS, et al. A randomized trial of aerobic exercise and sleep quality in lymphoma patients receiving chemotherapy or no treatments. *Cancer Med.* 2014;3(6):1353-1361.
48. Cramer H, et al. Yoga for breast cancer patients and survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer.* 2012;12:412.
49. Dew MA, et al. Prevalence and risk of depression and anxiety-related disorders during the first year after lung transplantation. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 1999;21(5):326-338.
50. DiLorenzo TM, et al. The effects of aerobic exercise on emotional distress in cancer patients: a systematic review. *Psychooncology.* 2017;26(5):683-694.
51. Friedenreich CM, et al. Physical activity and breast cancer risk: the effect of menopausal status. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2007;35(3):135-139.
52. Garber CE, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(7):1334-1359.
53. Galvão DA, et al. Exercise interventions for cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(5):899-909.
54. Hamer J, et al. The effect of exercise training on blood immune function in cancer patients: a systematic review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(6):1031-1040.
55. Hayes SC, et al. Exercise and secondary prevention of cancer: moving from evidence to practice. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book.* 2015;35:e569-577.
56. Irwin ML, et al. Physical activity levels before and after a diagnosis of breast carcinoma: the health, eating, activity, and lifestyle (HEAL) study. *Cancer.* 2003;97(7):1746-1757.
57. Jones LW, et al. Physical activity and exercise in clinical cancer care. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(12):2373-2380.
58. Lakoski SG, et al. Physical activity in cancer prevention and survival: a systematic review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2014;46(3):595-609.
59. Loughney L, et al. Exercise intervention in people with cancer undergoing adjuvant cancer treatment following surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;(8):CD011292.
60. Mock V, et al. Fatigue and quality of life outcomes of exercise during cancer treatment. *Cancer Pract.* 1997;5(4):241-247.
61. Newton RU, et al. Exercise as medicine for cachexia: muscle targeting strategies. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* 2015;6(2):111-122.
62. Patel AV, et al. Leisure time spent sitting in relation to total mortality in a prospective cohort of US adults. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2010;172(4):419-429.
63. Schmitz KH, et al. Weight lifting for women at risk for breast cancer-related lymphedema: a randomized trial. *JAMA.* 2010;304(24):2699-2705.
64. Trinh L, et al. Impact of physical activity on mortality and cancer recurrence among survivors of adult-onset cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2015;9(3):415-436.