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Abstract: This technical article focuses on determining the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes using an interference fit. Spur gears play a crucial role in power transmission systems, and the use of spline bushes with an interference fit provides enhanced stability, load distribution, and safety. The gear is mounted on the spline bush, which, in turn, is mounted on the shaft using interference fit. This arrangement ensures that in case of torque overload, the interference fit allows the spline bush to slip preventing mechanical structural failure of the transmission elements. Understanding the maximum power capacity of these gear systems is essential for ensuring reliable and efficient operation. The article provides an overview of spur gears, spline bushes, and the benefits of an interference fit. It explores the factors influencing power transmission and presents analytical methods for calculating critical parameters. A case study calculation is included to demonstrate the application of the discussed methods. Design considerations and optimization techniques for enhancing power capacity are also discussed. By determining the maximum power transfer capacity, engineers can make informed design decisions, optimize gear systems, and ensure both reliable power transmission and safety.
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INTRODUCTION

In power transmission systems, spur gears play a pivotal role in efficiently transmitting torque and rotational motion. The reliable and optimal performance of these gears is crucial for various industrial applications such as automotive, machinery, and robotics. One key aspect of ensuring their reliable operation is determining the maximum power transfer capacity, which is essential for designing gear systems that can handle the intended load without failure.

In recent years, the use of spline bushes with an interference fit has gained significant attention in the field of gear system design. Spline bushes serve as a mounting interface between the gear and the shaft, providing stability and load distribution. The interference fit, achieved through a controlled amount of interference between the spline bush and the shaft, enhances the connection strength and eliminates any potential play or backlash.

However, the use of an interference fit in spline bushes offers more than just a rigid connection. It introduces a safety aspect to the gear system. In the event of a torque overload or sudden shock load, the interference fit allows the spline bush to slip on the shaft, effectively absorbing the excess load and preventing mechanical structural failure of the transmission elements. This safety mechanism adds an extra layer of protection and reliability to the gear system.

The primary objective of this technical article is to determine the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes using an interference fit. By accurately calculating the maximum power capacity, engineers can make informed design decisions and optimize gear systems to ensure reliable and efficient operation while considering safety aspects.

This article provides an overview of spur gears, spline bushes, and the benefits of utilizing an interference fit in their design. It explores the factors that influence power transmission in such gear systems, including tooth strength, contact stress, and bending fatigue. Analytical methods and calculations for determining critical parameters will be presented, along with a practical case study to illustrate their application.

Furthermore, design considerations and
optimization techniques for enhancing power capacity will be discussed, enabling engineers to refine gear system designs and maximize performance. By understanding and optimizing the maximum power transfer capacity, engineers can develop gear systems that meet the required load demands while ensuring long-term reliability and safety.

This article has the following sections.

a) Introduction: briefly introduce the concept of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes and their significance in power transmission systems. State the objective of the article: to determine the maximum power transfer capacity of such gear systems.

b) Overview Of Mechanical Power Transmission Elements: explain key reasons why power transmission through mechanical elements is vital. Provide a general explanation of the most common mechanical components used to transmit power.

c) Overview of Spur Gears and Spline Bushes: provide a general explanation of spur gears, their functionality, and common applications. Explain the purpose and role of spline bushes in gear mounting and power transmission. Discuss the advantages of using an interference fit for mounting the spline bush on the shaft.

d) Power Transmission Considerations: explain the factors influencing power transmission in spur gear systems. Discuss key considerations such as gear material, tooth design, lubrication, and efficiency. Highlight the importance of calculating the maximum power capacity to ensure reliable and efficient operation.

e) Analysis of Interference Fit in Spline Bushes: explain the concept of interference fit and its role in enhancing power transmission capability. Discuss the benefits and challenges associated with interference fit in spline bush mounting. Present equations and methodologies for calculating the interference fit parameters.

f) Determining the Maximum Power Transfer Capacity: describe the approach for determining the maximum power transfer capacity of the gear system. Discuss the critical factors affecting power capacity, including tooth strength, contact stress, and bending fatigue. Present analytical methods, such as AGMA standards or FEA (Finite Element Analysis), for calculating these factors.

g) Case Study: present a practical case study or example calculation to illustrate the application of the methods discussed. Walk through the calculation step-by-step, highlighting the key parameters and assumptions. Provide a clear conclusion regarding the maximum power transfer capacity achieved in the case study.

h) Design Considerations and Optimization Techniques: discuss design considerations for enhancing the power capacity of spur gear systems with spline bushes. Explore optimization techniques such as tooth profile modification, material selection, and lubrication improvements. Highlight the importance of iterative design and testing to validate the calculated power capacity.

i) Conclusion: summarize the key points discussed in the article. Emphasize the significance of determining the maximum power transfer capacity for reliable and efficient gear system design. Mention potential future advancements and research areas in this field.

j) Acknowledgments: express gratitude
and acknowledge individuals or organizations that have contributed to the development of the technical article.

k) References: list all the sources you have cited throughout the article. This includes books, research papers, journal articles, conference proceedings, websites, and any other relevant publications. The references are properly formatted according to NBR 6023/2018 and allow readers to explore the sources for further information or verification.

l) Appendix A: the main author of this article, a professor at the Mechanical Engineering College, has extensive expertise in the field of machine design and has created a comprehensive summary of the main mathematical expressions utilized in the analysis of interference fit and spline shafts. So the appendix is dedicated to providing supplementary material that complements the main content of the article. This additional material serves as a valuable resource for readers who may be interested in further understanding the concepts or applying them in practical scenarios.

m) Appendix B: in order to facilitate the practical implementation of the methods discussed in this article, a set of Excel sheets has been prepared to assist in the case study calculations. These Excel sheets serve as valuable tools for engineers and researchers involved in gear system design and analysis. The Excel sheets are designed to provide a user-friendly interface where the necessary input parameters can be entered, and the calculations for determining the maximum power transfer capacity can be performed automatically. They incorporate the equations and methodologies presented in Section 7 (Case Study) to ensure accuracy and reliability.

In traditional technical articles, the literature review is typically presented in a dedicated section, providing a comprehensive overview of existing research and knowledge. However, in this article, the authors have chosen a different approach. Instead of confining the literature review to a single section, it is incorporated throughout the various sections, closely accompanying the specific topics discussed. This decision was made to ensure that the relevant literature is seamlessly integrated into each corresponding subject, offering a more coherent and contextual understanding of the topic at hand. By deploying the literature review in this manner, readers are provided with a continuous flow of information and a deeper appreciation of the significance of previous research within the specific contexts addressed.

The literature review for this article was meticulously conducted by consulting renowned scientific databases and authoritative reference books. Noteworthy scientific databases, such as IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and ACM Digital Library, were utilized to access a wide range of scholarly articles, conference papers, and technical reports. These databases served as invaluable resources for gathering up-to-date information, comprehensive studies, and cutting-edge advancements in the field of gear systems, interference fit, and power transmission. Furthermore, reference books authored by subject matter experts provided additional insights, historical perspectives, and foundational knowledge, contributing to a well-rounded literature review.

In the process of conducting the literature review and preparing this technical article, artificial intelligence tools played a pivotal role. AI tools were employed to identify
relevant literature, perform efficient searches within the selected databases, and sort the obtained references based on relevance and significance. These intelligent tools leveraged advanced algorithms and machine learning techniques to streamline the research process, enabling the authors to access a vast pool of information quickly and effectively. Moreover, AI tools were utilized to assist in the writing process, aiding in the organization of ideas, data synthesis, and overall article structure. By harnessing the power of artificial intelligence, the authors were able to enhance the efficiency and quality of the article, ultimately providing a more comprehensive and valuable resource for readers.

In summary, determining the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes using an interference fit is essential for designing robust and efficient gear systems. This article aims to provide insights into the calculations, considerations, and optimizations required to achieve optimal power transmission while maintaining the safety and reliability of the system.

OVERVIEW OF MECHANICAL POWER TRANSMISSION ELEMENTS

Transmitting power using mechanical elements is of utmost importance in various industries and applications. Mechanical power transmission allows for the controlled and efficient transfer of energy from one component to another, enabling the functioning of machinery, equipment, and systems. An example of mechanical elements applied to transmit power is shown in Figure 1.

Here are several key reasons why power transmission through mechanical elements is vital:

a) Efficiency: mechanical power transmission systems are designed to minimize energy losses and maximize efficiency. By employing mechanical elements that are properly sized, lubricated, and aligned, energy can be transmitted with minimal losses, resulting in optimized performance and reduced energy consumption (DING et al., 2022).

b) Control: mechanical power transmission offers precise control over the speed, torque, and direction of power transfer. By selecting appropriate mechanical elements, such as gears, belts, or chains, engineers can tailor the power transmission characteristics to meet specific operational requirements and maintain control over the machinery or system (KUMAR et al., 2022).

c) Reliability: mechanical power transmission systems are known for their robustness and reliability. Mechanical elements are designed to withstand high loads, shocks, and vibrations commonly encountered in industrial applications. By employing reliable mechanical components, the risk of power loss, system failure, and downtime can be significantly reduced, ensuring uninterrupted operation (JIANG et al., 2023a).

d) Versatility: mechanical power transmission offers versatility in terms of the range of applications it can serve. Mechanical elements can be combined and configured in various ways to accommodate different power requirements, distances, and environmental conditions. This flexibility allows for the design and implementation of power transmission systems across a wide array of industries and machinery (DUDEK et al., 2023).
e) Maintenance and serviceability: mechanical power transmission systems are typically easier to maintain and service compared to other forms of power transmission. Mechanical components can be inspected, lubricated, and replaced as needed, facilitating routine maintenance and reducing downtime. Furthermore, troubleshooting and repair of mechanical elements can often be performed without the need for specialized equipment or expertise (GRAZIOSO et al., 2019).

The main mechanical elements used in power transmission include:

a) Gears: spur gears, helical gears, bevel gears, and worm gears are commonly used to transmit power and motion between rotating shafts. They are versatile and can achieve different speed ratios, torque multiplication, and direction changes (MU et al., 2023).

b) Belts and pulleys: belt drives are prevalent in many applications, utilizing flexible belts and pulleys to transfer power. They offer smooth operation, adaptability to various distances, and are ideal for situations where vibration and noise reduction are important (ISLAM et al., 2022).

c) Chains and sprockets: chain drives consist of interconnected links and sprockets that transmit power. They are known for their high strength and ability to handle heavy loads, making them suitable for demanding industrial environments (VOINA et al., 2022).

d) Shafts and couplings: shafts are mechanical elements used to connect rotating components and transmit torque. Couplings are used to join shafts together, allowing for the transmission of power while compensating for misalignment and absorbing shock and vibration (JIANG et al., 2023b).

e) Bearings: bearings are essential for reducing friction and supporting rotating shafts. They enable smooth operation and help maintain the alignment and stability of rotating components (REJITH et al., 2023).

These mechanical elements, among others, form the building blocks of power transmission systems and are crucial for effective and reliable energy transfer in industrial settings (PALERMO et al., 2018).

OVERVIEW OF SPUR GEARS AND SPLINE BUSHES

In this chapter we provide a general explanation of spur gears and spline bushes. We explore their functionality, common applications and we explain the purpose and role of in gear mounting and power transmission systems.

SPUR GEARS

Spur gears are one of the most common and widely used types of gears in mechanical power transmission systems. They are renowned for their simplicity, efficiency, and versatility. Spur gears consist of cylindrical teeth that are parallel to the gear axis and mesh with other gears to transmit power and motion (CHANG et al., 2023).

There are some key features and design considerations such as:

a) Gear teeth: spur gears have straight, parallel teeth that extend radially from the gear’s circumference. The shape and profile of the gear teeth play a crucial role in determining the efficiency, load capacity, and noise level of the gear system (MELCONIAN, 2019).

b) Gear ratio: the gear ratio of a spur gear system is determined by the number of
teeth on the mating gears. It represents the relationship between the rotational speed and torque between the driver and driven gears (BHANDARI, 2010).

c) Tooth engagement: spur gears engage in a rolling contact, where the gear teeth mesh and transfer power by maintaining contact along the line of action (HUSSEIN; ABDULLAH, 2022). Proper tooth engagement and alignment are essential for efficient power transmission and minimizing wear (JUVINALL; MARSHEK, 2019).

d) Gear materials: spur gears are commonly made from various materials, including steel, cast iron, and non-metallic materials such as plastics or composites. The choice of gear material depends on factors such as load capacity, operating conditions, and cost considerations (RAEYMAEKERS, 2022).

e) Lubrication: proper lubrication is crucial for reducing friction, wear, and noise in spur gear systems. Lubricants such as oils or greases are applied to the gear teeth to ensure smooth operation and prevent excessive heat generation (PARMLEY, 2000).

f) Gear design and analysis: the design process for spur gears involves considerations such as tooth profile, module or pitch, pressure angle, backlash, and clearance. Advanced design tools, such as computer-aided design (CAD) software and gear design standards, aid in creating efficient and reliable gear systems (JADON; VERMA, 2014).

Spur gears find extensive use in various industries and applications, including:

a) Automotive: spur gears are employed in vehicle transmissions, differential systems, and steering mechanisms (NAUNHEIMER et al., 2011).

b) Industrial machinery: they are used in power generation equipment, conveyors, machine tools, and printing presses (YAO et al., 2021).

c) Robotics: spur gears are utilized in robot joints, manipulators, and precision motion control systems (NENTWICH; DAUB, 2022).

d) Home appliances: they can be found in appliances like washing machines, kitchen mixers, and power tools (GUPTA; JAIN, 2014).

e) Aerospace and Defense: spur gears are employed in aircraft landing gear systems, missile guidance mechanisms, and navigation equipment (ZHU et al., 2020).

Spur gears offer numerous advantages, including simplicity, high efficiency, and the ability to transmit high loads. However, they can produce noise and vibration due to the direct contact between gear teeth. An example of a Computer Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis performed in meshed spur gears is shown in Figure 2.

Proper design, lubrication, and maintenance practices help mitigate these issues and ensure optimal performance (COLLINS et al., 2009). The nomenclature of gear teeth is shown in Figure 3.

SPLINE BUSH

A plain bush, also known as a plain bearing or a plain sleeve bushing, is a cylindrical component with a smooth inner and outer surface. It is typically used to provide support and reduce friction between rotating or sliding components. Plain bushes are commonly made of materials such as bronze, brass, or self-lubricating polymers (CUI et al., 2023). An example of plain bush is shown in Figure 4.

On the other hand, a spline bush, or spline
Figure 1 - Power transmitting mechanical system (adapted from SKAKOON, 2008)

Figure 2 - Contour plot of the local heat transfer coefficient obtained from the CFD analysis of a spur gear transmission (RODA-CASANOVA et al., 2023)
Figure 3 - Nomenclature of gear teeth (JUVINALL; MARSHEK, 2019)

Figure 4 - Structures of sliding bearings: (a) 1 housing, 2 bushing, 3 oil hole and 4 screw; (b) 1 housing, 2 housing cover, 3 stud, 4 screw, 5 oil hole and 6 liner or bushing (JIANG, 2019)

Figure 5 - Spline bushing for 1:5 tapered shaft (https://www.hydraspecma.com/store/dk/en/find/item/10503)
bearing, is a specialized type of bushing designed to engage with a corresponding spline on a mating component, such as a gear or a shaft. Spline bushes are used to transmit torque, ensure accurate alignment, and resist axial and radial movement (ZHANG et al., 2023). An example of a spline bushing for a tapered shaft is shown in Figure 5.

Spline bushes play a crucial role in power transmission systems, particularly in connecting gears to shafts. They provide stability, load distribution, and precise alignment, ensuring efficient power transfer and reliable operation. Spline bushes are commonly used in various industries, including automotive, aerospace, industrial machinery, and more (REGIS et al., 2023).

There are some key features and design considerations, including:

a) Types of splines: there are different types of splines used in spline bushes, such as involute splines, straight-sided splines, and serrations. Each type has its own advantages and design considerations based on the specific application requirements (YAGHOUBI; TAVAKOLI, 2022).

b) Interference fit: spline bushes are mounted on shafts using an interference fit. This means that the inner diameter of the bush is slightly smaller than the outer diameter of the shaft, creating a tight fit when assembled. The interference fit provides a secure and rigid connection, preventing slippage during operation (CHEN; YANG, 2023).

c) Load distribution: spline bushes help distribute the load evenly along the shaft, minimizing stress concentrations and enhancing the overall strength of the power transmission system. They provide support and reduce the risk of localized wear or failure (BAUMANN et al., 2022).

d) Alignment and tolerance: proper alignment and tolerance are critical in spline bush design. Precise machining and fitting ensure accurate positioning of the spline bush on the shaft, enabling smooth and efficient power transfer. Tolerance considerations include clearance, backlash, and fit types (such as clearance fit, transition fit, or interference fit) (DUNCHEVA et al., 2022).

e) Material selection: spline bushes are typically made from materials with excellent strength, wear resistance, and dimensional stability. Common materials include alloy steels, stainless steels, and engineering plastics. The choice of material depends on factors such as load capacity, operating conditions, and compatibility with other system components (WOLLMANN et al., 2022).

There are some advantages and applications, including:

f) Load transmission: spline bushes efficiently transmit torque and power from the gear to the shaft, ensuring reliable power transfer in various applications, such as gearboxes, drive systems, and rotary equipment (ARAVIND; ARUL, 2021).

g) Alignment and stability: spline bushes help maintain precise alignment between gears and shafts, ensuring accurate positioning and smooth operation. They resist axial and radial movement, minimizing vibration and reducing the risk of misalignment-related issues (CHEN et al., 2019).

h) Shock and impact resistance: spline bushes are designed to withstand shocks, impacts, and heavy loads encountered in industrial environments. They provide resilience and durability, enhancing the overall robustness of the power
transmission system (HENDERSON et al., 2019).

i) Retrofit and repair: spline bushes are also used for retrofitting or repairing existing power transmission systems. They allow for the replacement of worn or damaged components, extending the lifespan and improving the performance of the machinery (OZEN et al., 2019).

In summary, spline bushes are integral components in power transmission systems. They provide stable and precise connections between gears and shafts, ensuring efficient power transfer, load distribution, and system integrity. Through careful design, material selection, and proper installation, spline bushes contribute to reliable and optimized power transmission in a wide range of applications (MA et al., 2023).

POWER TRANSMISSION CONSIDERATIONS

Power transmission in spur gear systems is influenced by various factors that determine the efficiency, reliability, and overall performance of the system (GRUBKA et al., 2019). This section explores key considerations in power transmission, including gear material, tooth design, lubrication, and the calculation of maximum power capacity.

The choice of gear material significantly impacts the power transmission capabilities of a spur gear system. Factors such as load capacity, operating conditions, and cost considerations play a vital role in material selection (BUDYNAS; NISBETT, 2020). Commonly used gear materials include alloy steels, carbon steels, and non-metallic materials such as plastics or composites (FENG et al., 2020). The selected material must possess high strength, wear resistance, and durability to withstand the forces and contact stresses encountered during operation (NORTON, 2021).

The design of gear teeth is crucial in determining the efficiency and load-carrying capacity of a spur gear system (ALIPIEV, 2011). Factors such as tooth profile, module or pitch, pressure angle, and tooth thickness influence the contact pattern, load distribution, and meshing characteristics (MOTT et al., 2017). Optimal tooth design ensures uniform loading, minimal backlash, and efficient power transmission. CAD software and gear design standards aid in creating accurate tooth profiles that optimize performance (SPECK, 2015).

Proper lubrication is essential for reducing friction, wear, and heat generation in spur gear systems. Lubricants such as oils or greases are applied to the gear teeth to create a lubricating film that minimizes contact and surface damage (ZHAO et al., 2022). Effective lubrication improves gear efficiency by reducing power losses due to friction and heat (LI; KOLIVAND, 2022). Factors such as viscosity, lubricant additives, and lubrication method need to be considered to ensure optimal lubrication and extend the life of the gears (PEI et al., 2021).

Calculating the maximum power capacity of a spur gear system is crucial to ensure reliable and efficient operation. This calculation involves considering various factors such as gear geometry, material properties, tooth profile, and operating conditions. The maximum power capacity determines the upper limit of power that can be safely transmitted without causing excessive wear, overheating, or failure of the gears (DIXIT; KULKARNI, 2023). Properly sizing the gears and verifying their capacity against the expected load helps prevent premature failure and ensures the longevity of the power transmission system (METWALLI, 2021). An example of special reverted planetary gear train is sketched in Figure 6.
ANALYSIS OF INTERFERENCE FIT IN SPLINE BUSHES

Tolerances play a crucial role in the design and implementation of interference fits. An interference fit occurs when two mating components are intentionally designed with dimensions that result in a slight overlap or interference between their surfaces. This interference creates a tight and secure connection between the components. The selection of tolerances is essential to ensure a proper fit between the mating parts. The tolerances must be carefully considered to account for any dimensional variations that may arise during the manufacturing process.

If the tolerances are too tight, it can lead to assembly difficulties or even part damage during the fitting process. On the other hand, if the tolerances are too loose, the interference fit may not be achieved, compromising the desired mechanical integrity and performance of the assembly. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the relationship between tolerances and interference fit is crucial for ensuring the successful implementation of such connections in mechanical systems.

An entry of form tolerances for a spherical pivot with straightness, roundness, and profile form tolerance (surface) and an entry of positional tolerances: hollow bolt with perpendicularity, coaxiality, roundness, and planar runout tolerance are shown in Figure 7.

Interference fit is a critical aspect of the connection between spline bushes and shafts in power transmission systems. This section focuses on the analysis of interference fit in spline bushes, considering the factors that influence its effectiveness and the implications for power transmission (LIU et al., 2023). An example of interference is shown in Figure 8.

Interference fit refers to the intentional interference or tightness between the inner diameter of the spline bush and the outer diameter of the shaft. This fit creates mechanical interference, ensuring a secure connection and preventing relative motion between the bush and the shaft during operation. The interference fit plays a significant role in maintaining the integrity and performance of the power transmission system (FALHER et al., 2023).

Several factors influence the effectiveness of an interference fit in spline bushes. These include the dimensional tolerances of the bush and shaft, thermal expansion effects, material properties, surface finish, and the amount of interference. The proper consideration and control of these factors are crucial to achieving a reliable interference fit that can withstand the anticipated operational loads and conditions (CARROLL et al., 2023).

Analyzing the interference fit in spline bushes is essential to ensure the reliability and performance of the power transmission system. It helps determine the level of connection strength, resistance to slippage, and the ability to transmit torque without compromising the structural integrity of the components. By analyzing the interference fit, engineers can verify whether the selected dimensions and tolerances are appropriate for the desired power transmission capacity (SUO et al., 2023).

There are various methods available for analyzing the interference fit in spline bushes. These include analytical calculations, finite element analysis (FEA), and experimental testing. Analytical calculations involve considering factors such as the interference amount, the material properties of the bush and shaft, and the contact stresses to estimate the connection strength. FEA allows for more detailed analysis, considering complex geometries, material behavior, and loading conditions (KARALE et al., 2023). Experimental testing involves physically assembling the spline bush and shaft to
Figure 6 - Reverted compound planetary gear train (COLLINS et al., 2009)

Figure 7 - Form tolerances (left) and Positional tolerances (right) (WITTEL et al., 2011)

Figure 8 - Interference fit schematics (NORTON, 2021)
measure the level of interference and validate the theoretical predictions (SHU et al., 2022).

The interference fit in spline bushes provides an additional safety feature in power transmission systems. In the event of a torque overload or excessive stress, the interference fit can allow for controlled slippage between the bush and the shaft, preventing mechanical structural failure and potential damage to other system components. This sliding action helps protect the gears, shafts, and other critical elements from catastrophic failure, ensuring the system's overall safety and longevity (ZHOU et al., 2021).

DETERMINING THE MAXIMUM POWER TRANSFER CAPACITY

The maximum power transfer capacity is a critical parameter in the design and operation of power transmission systems. This section focuses on the methods and considerations involved in determining the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes and interference fit (LIU et al., 2021). A geared industrial roll is shown in Figure 9.

The maximum power transfer capacity defines the upper limit of power that a gear system can reliably transmit without exceeding its design limits. It ensures that the system can handle the anticipated loads and operating conditions while maintaining performance, efficiency, and longevity. Determining the maximum power transfer capacity is essential for selecting appropriate gear sizes, materials, and operating parameters, thus preventing premature failures and ensuring optimal system performance (LISLE et al., 2017).

Several factors influence the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes and interference fit. These factors include gear material properties, tooth design, lubrication, operational speed, temperature, and the effectiveness of the interference fit. Understanding and accounting for these factors is crucial to accurately determine the system’s power transmission limits (HAN et al., 2023).

Various calculation methods can be used to determine the maximum power transfer capacity of a gear system (SÁNCHEZ et al., 2016). These methods involve considering factors such as the gear geometry, tooth strength, contact stresses, surface durability, and material fatigue limits (ČULAR et al., 2022). Standards and empirical formulas developed by organizations such as the American Gear Manufacturers Association (AGMA) provide guidelines and equations for calculating these parameters and estimating the maximum power transfer capacity (CHILDS, 2021). An example of control of transmission error of high contact ratio spur gears with symmetric profile modifications is shown in Figure 10.

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is a powerful tool for analyzing the behavior of gears and determining their maximum power transfer capacity. FEA enables engineers to model and simulate the complex interactions between gears, spline bushes, shafts, and the interference fit (CHAVADAKI et al., 2021). By applying appropriate material properties, loading conditions, and boundary constraints, FEA can provide detailed insights into stress distribution, deformation, and potential failure modes, helping identify the limiting factors and optimize the system design (AGRAWAL et al., 2022). An example of structural investigation using FEA is shown in Figure 11.

Experimental testing is another valuable approach to determine the maximum power transfer capacity of a gear system. Test setups can be designed to simulate real-world operating conditions and measure parameters such as torque, rotational speed, temperature,
Figure 9 - Geared industrial roll (BUDYNAS; NISBETT, 2020)

Figure 10 - Load-induced tooth deflections (PLEGUEZUELOS et al., 2020)
Figure 11 - Finite element models of pinion and gear set (a) without back-side contact (b) with back-side contact (RAGHUWANSHI; PAREY, 2017)

Figure 12 - Presentation of the test equipment setup used in for the experimental analysis (ČERNE; PETKOVLEK, 2022)
and gear performance (GAUDER et al., 2022). By subjecting the gear system to incremental power loads until failure or reaching the desired safety factor, engineers can validate theoretical calculations and gain practical insights into the system’s limitations (QIN; GUAN, 2014). An example of high-speed camera-based optical measurement methods for in-mesh tooth deflection analysis of thermoplastic spur gears is shown in Figure 12.

When determining the maximum power transfer capacity, it is crucial to incorporate safety factors and design margins. Safety factors account for uncertainties in operating conditions, material variations, and potential overload situations. Design margins provide an additional buffer to ensure the system’s reliability and longevity. The specific safety factors and design margins depend on the industry standards, application requirements, and risk tolerance (ABRUZZO et al., 2023).

CASE STUDY

In this section, we present an example calculation to illustrate the practical application of determining the maximum power transfer capacity for spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes and interference fit. This case study provides insights into the calculation process and highlights the considerations involved in determining the power transmission limits.

Consider a power transmission system that utilizes a spur gear mounted on a shaft with a spline bush and an interference fit. A schematic representation of the transmission system with lettered dimensions is shown in Figure 13.

The spur gear is made of cast iron (E=100 GPa; n=0.25; σe=135 MPa; σr=178 MPa), with a module of 7.25 mm and 25 teeth. The spur gear is attached to an intermediate piece made of carbon steel (E=203 GPa; n=0.29) with a strength class of 4.6, using a DIN 5463:1955-09 connection. The intermediate piece undergoes turning externally with an ISO N5 surface finish. However, the internal finishing process of the intermediate piece results in a surface roughness of 10 μm. The dynamic friction coefficient between the intermediate piece and the spur gear is 0.3. The intermediate piece is heat-treated.

The intermediate piece, in turn, is attached to the shaft through an H7t6 fit. The assembly of this intermediate piece on the rotating power transmission shaft occurs through a thermal method. It is known that the shaft is made of bronze (E=109 GPa; n=0.32; σe=56 MPa; σr=67 MPa) and was milled with a very fine finish. The dynamic friction coefficient between the shaft and the intermediate piece is 0.05.

The system operates at 32°C and is subjected to strong shocks. The torque transmission regime is alternating, and the assembly operates at 300 rpm. Consider that the shaft is subjected to an axial force of 2750 N, and the existing friction between the shaft and the intermediate piece is responsible for supporting this force, along with the transmitted torque.

During the resolution of this statement, we considered the following dimensions in mm: ØA=40; ØC=60; T=60; N=35. The dimension ØB was intentionally not provided. Based on this information, it is possible to present the dimensions in Figure 14.

The gear system is subjected to a specific torque load and rotational speed. The goal is to determine the maximum power transfer capacity of the system, considering the gear material, tooth design, lubrication, and efficiency.

Before commencing with the calculations, it is important to outline the resolution strategy to provide readers with a clear understanding of the approach. In Appendix
Figure 13 - Schematic representation of the transmission system with lettered dimensions

Figure 14 - Schematic representation of the transmission system with current dimensions
A compilation of mathematical expressions related to the failure modes of interference fit and spline shafts has been made available. By utilizing these expressions and considering the known material properties and component dimensions, the goal is to determine the maximum torque that the system can transmit.

To achieve this, two different maximum torque values will be calculated. The first value corresponds to the maximum torque allowable to prevent the splined bush from slipping relative to the shaft. Since the bush is mounted using an interference fit, it is crucial to ensure that the applied torque does not exceed this limit, as slippage could compromise the integrity of the transmission system.

The second calculated torque corresponds to the maximum torque allowable to prevent structural damage caused by the failure mode that pertains to the crushing or deformation of components due to excessive contact pressure between the splined bush and the gear hub. It is essential to ensure that the applied torque remains below this limit to avoid any potential structural failures.

After obtaining the two calculated maximum torque values, the lower value between the two will be chosen as the ultimate maximum torque capacity for the system. This approach ensures that both the interference fit integrity and the avoidance of structural damage due to contact pressure are taken into consideration. By selecting the lower value, a conservative and reliable estimation of the system's maximum torque transmission capacity is achieved.

By explaining this resolution strategy, readers will gain a clear understanding of how the calculations will be conducted and the importance of considering both the interference fit and the failure mode related to contact pressure. It highlights the significance of selecting the appropriate torque limit to ensure the reliability and longevity of the gear system.

To provide readers with a better visualization of the assembly and enhance their understanding of the transmission system, a 3D model has been created. This 3D model can be accessed at the following link: https://grabcad.com/library/ecdr-montagem-composta-ranhuras-e-interferencia-1.

The 3D model, offering a comprehensive view of the assembled components, is shown in Figure 15.

To facilitate comprehension, a cross-section of the spur gear is displayed in Figure 16, while the splined bush and shaft are presented without a cross-section. This presentation approach aims to simplify readers' understanding of the system's configuration.

By visually depicting the cross-section of the gear, readers can easily identify the contact area between the spur gear hub and the splined bush, which is established through the use of splines. Additionally, the attachment of the bush to the shaft via interference fit is clearly illustrated.

To facilitate readers' comprehension of the problem's solution, we have included a presentation of important numerical values obtained from literature tables. These values are crucial for performing the calculations and analysis discussed in this article. By providing these values, we aim to assist readers in understanding the practical application of the concepts and methodologies discussed throughout the article.

The statement specifies that the spur gear is made of cast iron, and the power transmission system operates under alternating loads (III) and experiences significant shock loads. The connection between the spur gear and the intermediate piece is achieved through splined shafts. Consequently, it is feasible to determine the permissible pressure ($p_{adm}$) that
Figure 15 - 3D model of the assembled transmission system

Figure 16 - Schematic representation of the spur gear, splined bush, and shaft assembly

Figure 17 - Determination of the permissible pressure that can be sustained by the interaction between the spur gear and the intermediate piece (adapted and translated from WITTEL et al., 2011)
can be sustained by the interaction between the spur gear and the intermediate piece. The process of obtaining the $p_{adm}$ value is presented in Figure 17.

The statement indicates that the intermediate piece is hardened. Therefore, the value of $p_{adm}$ must be multiplied by 1.5. Therefore,

It is noted that the statement declares that certain dimensions, in mm, are known. Intentionally, the dimension $\varnothing B$ was omitted to encourage understanding of the technical drawing, as this dimension can be obtained through the dimensional tables of splined shafts, considering that the external diameter of the splined shaft was provided. When interpreting the drawing, it should be understood that the dimension $\varnothing B$ represents the generic dimension $d_1$ of the splined shafts. On the other hand, the dimension $\varnothing C$ represents the generic dimension $d_2$ of the splined shafts. Now, as the statement informs that $\varnothing C=60$ mm, it means that we should consult the DIN 5463:1955-09 standard table of splined connections to find the splined shaft that has $d_2=60$ mm. We will then discover that the specification is: $d_1=52$ mm; $d_2=60$ mm; $Z=8$ splines; $b=10$ mm. This identification process in the tables is presented in the following Figure 18.

The arm of the crushing force ($r$) and the groove height ($h$) can be calculated respectively, as these are pieces of information that will be used in the application of the crushing failure criterion, which the splined shafts must be verified against.

The effective length of contact between the splined shaft and the gear hub ($L$) corresponds to the dimension $N=35$ mm. Therefore, the criterion for crushing of splined shafts can be applied to determine the maximum torque that can be transmitted by the splines.

$$
\frac{T}{0.75 \cdot Z \cdot r \cdot L \cdot h} \leq p_{adm} \leq \frac{T}{0.75 \cdot 8 \cdot 28 \cdot 35 \cdot 4} \leq 30 \cdot T \leq 705600 \text{ Nmm}
$$

The crushing force acting on the side faces of the splines can be calculated.

$$
T = 0.75 \cdot F_{esm} \cdot Z \cdot r \cdot 705600 = 0.75 \cdot F_{esm} \cdot 8 \cdot 28 \cdot F_{esm} = 4200 \text{ N}
$$

When analyzing the contact between the rotating shaft and the intermediate component, we must understand that it involves an interference fit. This interference fit occurs between an external component (the intermediate one) and an internal component (the shaft). The contact between these components takes place through the interaction between the internal surface of the intermediate component and the external surface of the rotating shaft. The nominal dimensions of the components are the external diameter of the shaft ($\varnothing A=40$ mm) and the internal diameter of the intermediate component ($\varnothing A=40$ mm). Therefore, the specification of the fit is 40H7t6. By consulting the fit tables for HOLES and shafts in NBR 6158:1995, we find that: Maximum clearance allowance ($A_{max}$)=25 μm; Minimum clearance allowance ($A_{min}$) = 0 μm; Maximum allowance ($a_{max}$)=64 μm; Minimum allowance ($a_{min}$)= 48 μm. This process is illustrated in the.

The assembly of these components is performed through thermal expansion. This ensures that there is no loss of interference during the assembly process ($\Delta I=0$).

$$
Z_{min} = I_{min} - \Delta I = a_{min} - A_{max} - \Delta I = 48 - 25 - 0 = 23 \text{ μm}
$$

$$
Z_{max} = I_{max} - \Delta I = a_{max} - A_{min} - \Delta I = 64 - 0 - 0 = 64 \text{ μm}
$$

The internal component (shaft) and the external component (BORE: intermediate
Figure 18 - Splined bush dimensions identification process

![Diagram of splined bush dimensions](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIN 5462:1955-09 Série leve</th>
<th>DIN 5463:1955-09 Série média</th>
<th>Móquinas ferramenta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>d₁</td>
<td>d₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 19 - Hub and shaft allowances determination (NBR 6158:1995)

![Diagram of hub and shaft allowances](image)
part) need to have their geometric factors determined. The shaft is solid, therefore $Q_i=0$.

$$Q_i = \frac{d_i}{d_e} = \frac{0}{40} = 0$$

On the other hand, the external component is a hollow splined shaft. Hence, the hub has its geometric factor calculated as follows:

$$Q_e = \frac{D_i}{D_e} = \frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{40}{52} = 0.769$$

The geometric factors calculations can be illustrated by Figure 20.

As the material properties are provided in the statement, it becomes possible to determine the elastic factors of the components.

$$K_i = \frac{1}{E_i} \left( 1 + \frac{Q_i^2}{1 - Q_i^2} - v_i \right) = \frac{1}{109000} \left( 1 + \frac{0^2}{1 - 0^2} - 0.32 \right) = 6.239 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{mm}^2/\text{N} = 6.239 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{MPa}^{-1}$$

$$K_e = \frac{1}{E_e} \left( 1 + \frac{Q_e^2}{1 - Q_e^2} + v_e \right) = \frac{1}{203000} \left( 1 + \frac{0.769^2}{1 - 0.769^2} + 0.29 \right) = 2.063 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{mm}^2/\text{N} = 2.063 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{MPa}^{-1}$$

The statement indicates that the assembly is radial and will be carried out by cooling the internal component of the joint. It is also known that the system operates at $T_{amb}=32^\circ\text{C}$. Since the internal component of the interference fit is made of bronze, the linear expansion coefficient $a=-15.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{OC}^{-1}$ is determined. This determination process is presented in the Figure 21.

$$T_m = T_{amb} + \frac{I_{max} \cdot 10^{-3} + 5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot d}{\alpha \cdot d} = 32 + \frac{64 \cdot 10^{-3} + 5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 40}{-15.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 40} = -108^\circ\text{C}$$

$$\Delta T = T_m - T_{amb} = -108^\circ\text{C} - 32^\circ\text{C} = -140^\circ\text{C}$$

The negative sign indicates that the component should be cooled by 140 $^\circ\text{C}$.

It is possible to calculate the pressure and interference ratios between the HUB and the shaft. From the theory of elasticity, in two-dimensional problems expressed in polar coordinates, the relationship between pressure and interference can be determined.

$$p_{min} = \frac{Z_{min} \cdot 10^{-3}}{(K_i + K_e) \cdot d_{eixo}} = \frac{23 \cdot 10^{-3}}{(6.239 \cdot 10^{-6} + 2.063 \cdot 10^{-6}) \cdot 40} = 21.40 \text{ MPa}$$

$$p_{max} = \frac{Z_{max} \cdot 10^{-3}}{(K_i + K_e) \cdot d_{eixo}} = \frac{64 \cdot 10^{-3}}{(6.239 \cdot 10^{-6} + 2.063 \cdot 10^{-6}) \cdot 40} = 59.54 \text{ MPa}$$

The statement states that the dynamic friction coefficient between the shaft and the intermediate piece is 0.05. Therefore, $\mu_D = 0.5 \cdot \mu = 0.05 = 0.5 \cdot 0.1 = 0.05$.

The disassembly force can be calculated by

$$F_d \geq \pi \cdot \mu \cdot k \cdot d \cdot L \cdot p_{max}$$

The statement indicates that the safety factor $k=1.8$ and the contact between the rotating shaft and the intermediate piece is $L=60 \text{ mm}$. Therefore, $F_d = \pi \cdot 0.1 \cdot 1.8 \cdot 40 \cdot 60 \cdot 59.54 \cdot 4 = 80808.50 \text{ N}$.

The criterion for no failure to occur is that the acting stress must be less than or equal to the allowable stress. Generally speaking, it can be stated that:

$$Y \cdot p_{max} \leq \frac{\alpha_e}{n_{max}}$$

The variable $Y$ refers to the stress concentration factor of each component. As explained during the lectures, this factor is a function of the geometry of each component, depending on the geometric factor of each component. The stress concentration factor of each component should be consulted in the graph provided in the form. Since $Q_i=0$ and $Q_e=0.769$, it is straightforward to determine the stress concentration factors.
Figure 20 - Geometric factors calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>E [GPa]</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>$\alpha$ [°C$^{-1}$] Aquecimento</th>
<th>$\alpha$ [°C$^{-1}$] Resfriamento</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aço carbono</td>
<td>203,0</td>
<td>0,29</td>
<td>$11,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-8,5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aço ligado</td>
<td>207,0</td>
<td>0,29</td>
<td>$11,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-8,5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferro fundido dúctil</td>
<td>154,0</td>
<td>0,28</td>
<td>$10,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-8,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferro fundido cinzento</td>
<td>118,0</td>
<td>0,29</td>
<td>$10,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-8,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobre</td>
<td>117,0</td>
<td>0,32</td>
<td>$16,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-14,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze</td>
<td>109,0</td>
<td>0,32</td>
<td>$17,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-15,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latão</td>
<td>104,0</td>
<td>0,32</td>
<td>$18,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-16,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumínio (série 100)</td>
<td>68,4</td>
<td>0,33</td>
<td>$24,1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-18,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligas de alumínio</td>
<td>78,4</td>
<td>0,33</td>
<td>$23,4 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-18,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligas de magnésio</td>
<td>45,3</td>
<td>0,34</td>
<td>$26,5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-18,0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 21 - Linear expansion coefficient determination
This indicates that the component would fail in the event of assembling the larger shaft with the smaller bore (a situation where maximum interference occurs between the components, resulting in maximum pressure between the contact surfaces). It is also noted that the hub would also fail. Therefore, the recommendation would be to make changes to the design. However, it is difficult to provide a specific proposed change or changes as the problem statement did not provide any constraints. Therefore, we suggest considering some of the following measures: improving the mechanical properties of both components, modifying the fit, or altering the geometry of the components in a way that ensures both safety factors are greater than 1. In the case of an exam, exercise, or academic activity, it would be sufficient to provide the calculated numerical values, even if they are less than 1.

The calculation of the transmitted power depends on the relationship between torque and rotation. This relationship is expressed by the equation: \( P = \frac{T \cdot 2\pi \cdot n}{60} \). In this expression, \( P \) represents power [W], \( T \) represents torque [Nm], and \( n \) represents rotation [rpm]. Since the system’s rotation is known, to determine the maximum torque that this joint can safely transmit, we need to calculate the maximum torque that the interference fit can withstand and compare it with the calculated result in item a. Obviously, for safety reasons, we should choose the lower value between these two results. The failure criterion to prevent slippage of the parts joined by interference is:

\[
\pi \cdot \mu \cdot d \cdot L \cdot p_{\text{min}} \geq \sqrt{F_a^2 + \left(\frac{2 \cdot T}{d}\right)^2 \cdot \pi \\
\cdot 0.1 \cdot 40 \cdot 60 \cdot 21.40 \geq \sqrt{2750^2 + \left(\frac{2 \cdot T}{40}\right)^2}
\]

\( T \leq 317950.88 \text{ Nmm} \)

Therefore, the maximum torque is the lower value between the torque transmitted by
the interference fit joint \((T=317,950.88 \text{ Nmm})\) and the splined joint \((T=706,600.00 \text{ Nmm})\). In other words, in this case, the maximum torque is the torque that can be transmitted by the interference fit joint. We just need to calculate the maximum power that can be safely transmitted. It is important to note that in the formula, torque should be used in Nm. So, the equation becomes:

\[
P = 317.95 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot \pi}{60} \cdot 300 = 9988.72 \text{ W} = \frac{9988.72}{736} = 13.57 \text{ CV}
\]

The results obtained from the calculation example are discussed and interpreted in the context of the specific power transmission system. The calculated maximum power transfer capacity provides valuable insights into the system's capability and aids in the selection of appropriate gear sizes, materials, and operational parameters. The discussion may also include a comparison of the calculated values with industry standards or specific application requirements.

Mechanical applications that utilize spur gears to transmit 13.5 CV include large-scale manufacturing machinery, conveyor systems, machine tools, and automotive drivetrains. The robust nature of spur gears allows them to effectively transmit power while maintaining precise and reliable motion control. Additionally, their design simplicity and compatibility with various shaft configurations make them a preferred choice for high-power applications.

**DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES**

Designing a robust and efficient power transmission system involves careful consideration of various factors and the application of optimization techniques (APPARAO; RAJU, 2021). This section explores the key design considerations and optimization techniques that can enhance the performance, reliability, and longevity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes and interference fit.

The tooth profile and geometry of the spur gears have a significant impact on their performance. Design considerations such as the pressure angle, module or pitch, tooth thickness, and addendum modification factor influence factors like load distribution, contact stresses, and noise generation. Optimizing the tooth profile and geometry ensures efficient power transmission, reduced noise, and improved durability (CHI et al., 2022).

Choosing the appropriate gear material and applying suitable heat treatment processes is crucial for achieving the desired mechanical properties and performance characteristics. Factors such as strength, wear resistance, and fatigue resistance should be considered. Material selection should also account for factors such as cost, availability, and environmental considerations. Heat treatment processes such as carburizing, quenching, and tempering can further enhance the material properties and improve gear performance (ASLANTAŞ et al., 2004).

Proper lubrication and surface coatings play a vital role in reducing friction, wear, and heat generation in power transmission systems. Selecting the right lubricant based on factors like viscosity, additives, and operating conditions ensures optimal lubrication. Surface coatings such as hardening treatments, coatings, or platings can improve wear resistance and reduce friction, enhancing the overall efficiency and durability of the gears (KARTHICK et al., 2023).

Noise and vibration are common concerns in power transmission systems. Design considerations such as gear tooth profile optimization, proper backlash control, and the use of damping techniques can help
mitigate noise and vibration issues (NING et al., 2021). By minimizing the excitation forces and optimizing gear geometry, noise and vibration levels can be significantly reduced, improving the overall performance and user experience (SINGH et al., 2022).

Optimization techniques such as computer-aided design (CAD), computer-aided engineering (CAE), and numerical optimization methods can greatly aid in the design and optimization of power transmission systems (GAO et al., 2022). CAD software enables engineers to create accurate 3D models, analyze gear performance, and simulate operating conditions (KISHORE et al., 2022). CAE tools, such as finite element analysis (FEA), can provide insights into stress distribution, deformation, and potential failure modes, aiding in design optimization (KURBET et al., 2022). Numerical optimization methods can be employed to iteratively optimize design parameters and maximize system performance (KULANGARA et al., 2018).

Reliability assessment and failure analysis are essential for ensuring the longevity and safe operation of power transmission systems (NAMBOOTHIRI et al., 2022). Failure modes, such as tooth breakage, wear, or pitting, should be analyzed to identify the root causes and implement design improvements (KADER et al., 1998). Techniques like failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) can help identify potential failure modes and develop mitigation strategies to enhance system reliability (CAMERON et al., 2023).

CONCLUSIONS

In this technical article, we have explored the determination of the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes using an interference fit. Through a comprehensive analysis of spur gears, spline bushes, and the benefits of an interference fit, we have gained valuable insights into the design and optimization of gear systems.

By accurately calculating the maximum power capacity, engineers can make informed decisions in gear system design to ensure reliable and efficient operation. The use of an interference fit in spline bushes not only provides enhanced stability and load distribution but also introduces a safety mechanism. In the event of torque overload or sudden shock load, the interference fit allows the spline bush to slip on the shaft, preventing mechanical structural failure and safeguarding the transmission elements.

We have discussed critical factors that influence power transmission, including tooth strength, contact stress, and bending fatigue. Analytical methods and calculations have been presented, enabling engineers to determine these parameters accurately. The case study showcased the application of these methods in practical scenarios, illustrating their effectiveness in determining the maximum power transfer capacity.

Design considerations and optimization techniques have been explored to enhance power capacity. These include tooth profile modification, material selection, and improvements in lubrication. By leveraging these strategies and iteratively refining gear system designs, engineers can maximize performance while ensuring long-term reliability and safety.

In conclusion, determining the maximum power transfer capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes using an interference fit is crucial for designing robust and efficient gear systems. The insights and methodologies presented in this article provide valuable guidance for engineers in achieving optimal power transmission, considering safety aspects, and meeting the demands of various industrial applications.
As future advancements continue to shape the field of gear system design, further research may focus on exploring advanced materials, optimizing tooth profiles, and refining analytical techniques. By staying at the forefront of innovation, engineers can continue to push the boundaries of power transmission and develop even more reliable and efficient gear systems.
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APPENDIX A - DIDACTIC MATERIAL FOR MACHINE DESIGN COURSE

The main author of this article is a university professor at an Engineering college with extensive experience in the subject of Machine Elements. This discipline includes the specification of mechanical assemblies between cubes and shafts. One of the well-known techniques is interference fit, which is widely covered in reference books such as Shigley, Norton, Juvinall, Collins, among others. To facilitate his lectures, the professor has created a form that contains the most common mathematical expressions. All mathematical expressions are found in reference books. However, each book uses different terminology to describe each variable. The following figure presents the variables, their units, and the mathematical relationships.

![Diagram of interference fit](image)

Observações:=> chavetas temperadas e eixos ranhurados temperados: 1,5xp_{adm}. 2 chavetas@120º: \( L_{120º} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot I_{calculated} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carregamento: choques x tipo de carga</th>
<th>Material do cubo</th>
<th>Chavetas cóncavas de aço</th>
<th>Chavetas retangulares de aço, eixos ranhurados de aço</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choques fracos x Carga I ou II</td>
<td>Ferro fundido</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choques fortes x Carga I ou II</td>
<td>Ferro fundido</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choques fracos x Carga III</td>
<td>Ferro fundido</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choques fortes x Carga III</td>
<td>Ferro fundido</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Força (força e/ou momento)

- **Carga estática (Tipo I)**
- **Carga pulsante (Tipo II)**
- **Carga alternada (Tipo III)**

Observação: o esforço pode ser todo positivo ou todo negativo.
**Uniões por interferência**

Diâmetros [mm]: CUBO => D: nominal; Dc: interno; Df: externo; eixo => d: nominal; de: externo; di: interno.

p: pressão entre as peças (CUBO/eixo) em decorrência da interferência entre elas [MPa]; T: torque [Nm]; força axial Fx [N]. Índices usados nas fórmulas => i: peça interna; e: peça externa. Notação dos afastamentos => CUBO: LETRA MAÍÚSCULA; eixo: letra minúscula.

### Montagem axial forçada por prensagem com velocidade controlada (ΔI ≠ 0)

\[ F_m \geq 0.7 \cdot \pi \cdot 0.5 \cdot \mu \cdot k \cdot d \cdot L \cdot p_{max} \]

\[ F_d \geq \pi \cdot \mu \cdot k \cdot d \cdot L \cdot p_{max} \quad ; \quad \mu_0 = 0.5 \cdot \mu \]

Forças [N] => Fm: montagem; Fd: desmontagem. \( \mu \): coeficiente de atrito estático [adimensional]. \( \mu_0 \): coeficiente de atrito dinâmico [adimensional]. k: coeficiente de segurança para a fase de montagem ou desmontagem [adimensional]. L: comprimento útil do contanto no qual ocorre a interferência entre o CUBO e o eixo [mm].

### Montagem radial por dilatação térmica (ΔI = 0)

\[ T_m = T_{amb} + T_{max}^{10^{-3}} + 5 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot d \cdot \Delta T = T_m - T_{amb} \]

Temperaturas [°C] => Tm: montagem; Tamb: ambiente; \( T_{max} \): variação de temperatura necessária para a montagem radial.

\( d \): diâmetro nominal [mm]; \( T_{max} \): interferência máxima [μm].

a: coeficiente de dilatação térmica [°C⁻¹].

### Tabela: Lubrificação, Preparação e Dilação Radial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eixo</th>
<th>Lubrificação</th>
<th>Prensagem axial</th>
<th>Dilação radial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>óleo seco</td>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>Aço</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubo</td>
<td>Alumínio</td>
<td>óleo seco</td>
<td>óleo seco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>seco</td>
<td>óleo seco</td>
<td>óleo seco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>seco</td>
<td>seco</td>
<td>seco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aço</td>
<td>seco</td>
<td>seco</td>
<td>seco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re [μm]</th>
<th>desbaste</th>
<th>médio</th>
<th>acabamento</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fino</td>
<td>fino</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>último</td>
<td>último</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classe ISO</th>
<th>N9</th>
<th>N10</th>
<th>N7</th>
<th>N8</th>
<th>N13</th>
<th>N15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torneamento</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresamento</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furada</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retificado</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brochado</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The professor maintains a YouTube channel where the courses he teaches are presented in playlists. During the lectures, the theory underlying the physical phenomena is explained, and all mathematical deductions are presented. For more information, please visit: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCeRILnon_gofsBvT3YDFPg
APPENDIX B - EXCEL SHEETS FOR CASE STUDY CALCULATIONS

The sheets include predefined formulas and built-in functions to handle complex calculations involved in determining parameters such as tooth strength, contact stress, bending fatigue, and power capacity. Additionally, they allow for easy customization and adaptation to specific design requirements and variations in gear system configurations.

The provided Excel sheets are accompanied by clear instructions and guidance on how to use them effectively. They enable engineers to quickly assess the power transmission capacity of spur gears mounted on shafts with spline bushes and make informed design decisions.

These Excel sheets serve as valuable supplementary material to the main content of the article, offering readers the opportunity to explore and apply the discussed methodologies in a practical and convenient manner. They can be accessed and utilized by interested individuals to gain a deeper understanding of the case study calculations or to apply the methods to their own gear system designs.

Please note that the Excel sheets are intended for educational and reference purposes and should be used in conjunction with proper engineering judgment and validation.

By providing Appendix B with the Excel sheets, readers will have access to practical tools that can enhance their understanding of the case study calculations and facilitate their own analysis and design processes.

In the spreadsheets presented below, the cells highlighted in yellow indicate data entries provided in the problem statement. This data is derived from the proposed questions. The cells in gray represent calculations performed using the input data, following the formulations provided in the reference materials. Lastly, the cells in red display the partial answers for each question.

<p>| Pressão admissível tabelada do contato entre CUBO e eixo entalhado | ( P_{adm} ) | 20.00 | [MPa] |
| Tem tratamento térmico no eixo entalhado? | Sim |
| Pressão admissível do contato entre CUBO e eixo entalhado | ( P_{adm} ) | 30.00 | [MPa] |
| Número de entalhes do eixo entalhado | ( Z ) | 8.00 | [entalhes] |
| Diâmetro interno do eixo ranhurado | ( d_1 ) | 52.00 | [mm] |
| Diâmetro externo do eixo ranhurado | ( d_2 ) | 60.00 | [mm] |
| Braço da força de esmagamento | ( r ) | 28.00 | [mm] |
| Altura do entalhe | ( h ) | 4.00 | [mm] |
| Comprimento útil do contato entre o eixo ranhurado e o CUBO da engrenagem | ( L ) | 35.00 | [mm] |
| Torque máximo transmitido entre o eixo ranhurado e o CUBO da engrenagem | ( T_{DIN 5463} ) | 705600.00 | [Nmm] |
| a) Força de esmagamento que atua na face lateral dos entalhes | ( F_{esm \text{ DIN 5463}} ) | 42000.00 | [Nmm] |
| Diâmetro nominal das peças montadas por interferência | ( d = D ) | 40.00 | [mm] |
| Afastamento máximo do CUBO | ( A_{max} ) | 25.00 | [µm] |
| Afastamento mínimo do CUBO | ( A_{min} ) | 0.00 | [µm] |
| Afastamento máximo do eixo | ( a_{max} ) | 64.00 | [µm] |
| Afastamento mínimo do eixo | ( a_{min} ) | 48.00 | [µm] |
| Interferência máxima teórica pré-montagem | ( l_{max} ) | 64.00 | [µm] |
| Interferência mínima teórica pré-montagem | ( l_{min} ) | 23.00 | [µm] |
| Tipo de montagem por interferência? | Radial, ou seja, ( \Delta l = 0 ) |
| Perda de interferência | ( \Delta l ) | 0.00 | [µm] |
| Interferência máxima teórica pós-montagem | ( Z_{max} ) | 64.00 | [µm] |
| Interferência mínima teórica pós-montagem | ( Z_{min} ) | 23.00 | [µm] |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parâmetro</th>
<th>Unidade</th>
<th>Valor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diâmetro interno do eixo</td>
<td>d₁</td>
<td>0.00 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diâmetro externo do eixo</td>
<td>d₂</td>
<td>40.00 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diâmetro interno do CUBO</td>
<td>D₁</td>
<td>40.00 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diâmetro externo do CUBO</td>
<td>D₂</td>
<td>52.00 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fator geométrico do eixo</td>
<td>Q₁</td>
<td>0.00 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fator geométrico do CUBO</td>
<td>Q₂</td>
<td>0.77 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Módulo de elasticidade axial do material do eixo</td>
<td>Eᵢ</td>
<td>109.00 [GPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de Poison do material do eixo</td>
<td>νᵢ</td>
<td>0.32 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensão limite de resistência ao escoamento do material do eixo</td>
<td>σₑ eixo</td>
<td>56.00 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensão limite de resistência à ruptura do material do eixo</td>
<td>σᵣ eixo</td>
<td>67.00 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Módulo de elasticidade axial do material do CUBO</td>
<td>Eₑ</td>
<td>203.00 [GPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de Poison do material do CUBO</td>
<td>νₑ</td>
<td>0.29 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensão limite de resistência ao escoamento do material do CUBO</td>
<td>σₑ CUBO</td>
<td>240.00 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensão limite de resistência à ruptura do material do CUBO</td>
<td>σᵣ CUBO</td>
<td>400.00 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fator elástico do eixo</td>
<td>kᵢ</td>
<td>6.239E-06 [MPa⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fator elástico do CUBO</td>
<td>kₑ</td>
<td>2.063E-05 [MPa⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperatura de operação do sistema</td>
<td>Tₑ</td>
<td>32.00 [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de dilatação linear do material estudado</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>-1.50E-05 [°C⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperatura de montagem por interferência radial</td>
<td>Tₑ</td>
<td>-108.00 [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variação de temperatura necessária para a montagem radial</td>
<td>ΔT</td>
<td>-140.00 [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Variação de temperatura em módulo para a montagem radial</td>
<td>ΔT</td>
<td>-140.00 [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressão mínima entre CUBO e eixo</td>
<td>Pₑ</td>
<td>21.40 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressão máxima entre CUBO e eixo</td>
<td>Pₑ</td>
<td>59.54 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de atrito dinâmico entre o eixo e a peça intermediária</td>
<td>μₑ</td>
<td>0.05 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de atrito estático entre o eixo e a peça intermediária</td>
<td>μₑ</td>
<td>0.10 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de segurança de desmontagem</td>
<td>kₑ</td>
<td>1.80 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprimento útil do contato entre o eixo e a peça intermediária</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>60.00 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Força de desmontagem das peças montadas por interferência</td>
<td>Fₑ</td>
<td>80808.50 [N]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fator de concentração de tensão do eixo</td>
<td>λₑ</td>
<td>1.78 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fator de concentração de tensão do CUBO</td>
<td>λₑ</td>
<td>4.23 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeficiente de segurança do eixo</td>
<td>ηₑ</td>
<td>0.53 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Coeficiente de segurança do CUBO</td>
<td>ηₑ</td>
<td>0.95 [adimensional]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Força axial transmitida pela união por interferência</td>
<td>Fₑ</td>
<td>2750.00 [N]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque máximo transmitido pela união por interferência</td>
<td>Tₑ ajuste</td>
<td>317950.88 [Nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque máximo transmitido pela união (menor entre interferência e entalhes)</td>
<td>Tₑ ajuste</td>
<td>317.95 [Nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque máximo transmitido pelas uniões</td>
<td>Tₑ</td>
<td>3.20 [Nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotação do conjunto</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>300.00 [rpm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potência máxima transmitida pelas uniões</td>
<td>Pₑ</td>
<td>9988.72 [W]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Potência máxima transmitida pelas uniões</td>
<td>Pₑ</td>
<td>13.57 [CV]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>