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## INTRODUCTION

Thorium-based fuels are a more sustainable nuclear fuel for electricity generation. Exist analysis of composite fuel (Th-U)O $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ used in many types of nuclear reactors. In this study, the physical properties and models of advanced fuel systems formed $\mathrm{ThO}_{2} 75$ $\mathrm{wt} . \%$ and $\mathrm{UO}_{2} 25 \mathrm{wt} . \%$, which worked with $19.5 \%$ enrichment of $\mathrm{U}^{235}$. It analyzed the physical properties of mixed fuels using the composition of mixtures, such as the lattice parameters, thermal conductivity, specific heat, mechanical strength, and fission gas release. The codes FRAPCON-4.0 and FRAPTRAN-2.0 adapted can calculate the composite fuel response compared with uranium dioxide fuel used for light water reactors. In addition, the increased diffusion coefficient produced lower fuel swelling compared with $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$.

Thorium fuels had included an extensive range of applications, such as pressure-tube heavy water reactors (HWRs), light water reactors (LWRs), and thorium molten salt reactors. Advanced reactors, such as sodiumcooled fast reactors, can support the thorium mixed oxide fuel [1]. Early the Shippingport reactor, in Pennsylvania, USA, was a light water breeding reactor that operated with thorium as fuel during 1977-1982 [2]. Thorium is at least three times more abundant than uranium [3]. The natural isotopic distribution of thorium is $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{Th}^{232}$ and is not fissile, but it is a fertile material, like $\mathrm{U}^{238}$. Thorium requires fissile materials, such as $\mathrm{U}^{235}$ and $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$, to begin the reaction. Today, exist a few mixed fuel cycles based on thorium use $\left(\mathrm{Th}^{232}+\mathrm{Pu}^{239}\right),\left(\mathrm{Th}^{232}+\mathrm{U}^{233}\right),\left(\mathrm{Th}^{232}+\mathrm{U}^{235}\right)$, and other formulations, including dopant additions [4]. On the other hand, it researches innovative fuels, such as uranium nitride (UN) and uranium carbide (UC), which have several advantages over $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$, such as increased burnup capabilities and higher
thermal conductivities [5]. Therefore, The UN and UC have restrictions on underwater environments. The physical properties of ceramic fuels at 293.15 K and 0.1 MPa are listed in Table I. Thorium fuel stands out for its high thermal conductivity.

This study included a simulation of mixed ceramic oxides using (Th-U) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and (Th$\mathrm{Pu}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ using FRAPCON code. The strategic composition utilized (Th-75 wt\%, U-25 wt\%) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, homogeneously distributed into core reactor, with $\mathrm{U}^{235}$ enrichment of $19.5 \%$. On the other hand, exist (Th-92 wt\%-Pu-8 wt \%) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ that improve Pu destruction rates. Also, any Pu is generated from thorium. ( $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pu}$ ) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has higher thermal conductivity, and reactivity may use burnable poison due to higher reactivity at begin. Therefore, Pu-239 is a result of reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel. Plutonium grades depend on reactor type and burnup cycle. In PWRs plutonium grades achieving $56 \% \mathrm{Pu}^{239}, 23 \% \mathrm{Pu}^{240}$, $12.8 \% \mathrm{Pu}^{241}$ and $5.3 \%$ of $\mathrm{Pu}^{242}$. The weapongrade produced at low burnup by reduction extraction process to separate and show until $93.8 \%$ of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$. Early, the manufacturing route used to mix thorium fuels used the traditional powder route.

Over the years, options arose, such as pellet impregnation, sol-gel microsphere palletization, and vibratory compaction or Vi-pack.

## METHODOLOGY

The FRAPCON fuel code can predict the fuel performance of $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ and $(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pu}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ pellets coated with zirconium alloys (Zircaloys) [6]. Thus, FRAPCON must update to account for the $(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pu}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ physical properties to analyze steady-state irradiation performance in a typical PWR $17 \times 17$.

Composite fuels would show thermal properties as intermediates between the pure contents if the production route produced

| Physical Properties | $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ | UC | UN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Density $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 10.96 | 11.46 | 10.30 | 12.2 | 13.63 | 14.30 |
| Thermal Conductivity $(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{K})$ | 8.68 | 6.3 | 14.07 | 8.08 | 25.3 | 13.0 |
| Thermal Expnsion $(\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m})$ | 9.76 | 7.8 | 9.43 | 15.5 | 10.1 | 7.2 |
| Heat Capacity $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{K})$ | 235 | 240 | 234 | 201 | 200 | 190 |

Table I: Physical properties of traditional fuel materials used for thermal reactors


Figure 1: Thermal conductivity of $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$,(Th75\%-U25\%) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and (Th92\%-Pu8\%) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

| Parameter | AP1000-system |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fuel (planed $\left.\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{O}_{2} /(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pu}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Fuel rod outer diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 9.5 |
| Pellet outer diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 9.83 |
| Pellet length $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.082 |

Table II: Fuel parameters based on AP-1000 reactor design


Figure 2: Comparison of fuel center temperature during the full burn cycle.
a homogeneous system [7]. Predict the physical properties using an empirical rule of mixtures or Vegard's law, which assumes that components have a similar crystal structure. Vegard's law is a practical approach resembling the Koop-Neumann rule (KNR) to compile mixture properties. Thermal and mechanical properties, such as the melting point, linear coefficient of thermal expansion, thermal conductivity, and creep rate, are widely used in fuel performance codes. The library of properties of FRAPCON has subcodes for each physical feature. Composite fuel has physical properties that intermediate between the pure contents, but it must assume the metallurgical route to produce a homogeneous system.

The thermal conductivity of $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ is $10 \%$ higher than that of pure $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ at around 1000 K , coded in the FTHCON subroutine. FTHCON computes burnup effects, porosity, fission products as Xe and Kr [8],[9]. However, the impact of Pu fraction variation is much more substantial for $(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pu}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ than (Th-U) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ because $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ contents become hyper stoichiometric. Therefore, the linear thermal expansion of $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ is slightly lower than $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ described in FTHEXP using an interpolation across a compositional range based on Vegard's law [9]. Volumetric changes affect the pellet-to-cladding gap size, which is a safety parameter. Figure 1 shows the thermal conductivity of mixed fuels.

A few subcodes FCP and FENTHL describe the specific heat capacity and enthalpy of thorium fuels have the same form, based on the Koop Neumann rule. The thorium has lower heat capacity, and enthalpy than $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ and no exist burnup dependence. The subcodes containing elastic properties include new correlations, such as FELMOD calculates Young's Modulus and FPOIR for Poisson ratio.

But Young's modulus of $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ fuels are slightly superior to $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$
moduli achieve about $2.84 \%$ larger than $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$. The Poisson ratio of $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ is 0.316 higher than both $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$, which have the same numerical value of 0.28 .

The radial distribution of power adopted in the FRAPCON code is the TRANSURANUS Burn-up (TUBRNP) model used for $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ to calculate the local concentration of actinides, the primary fission products [10]. The substitute for TUBRNP is the Thoria Urania Power Shapes (THUPS) model. THUPS includes a distinct set of isotopes and an appropriate shape function.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To simulate the performance of the (Th-U) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and ( $\left.\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pu}\right) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ fuels, using the parameters of the PWR AP1000 reactor, a $17 \times 17$ configuration type, capable of generating 1117 MWe. Table II shows fuel parameters used for simulation.

Fuel centerline temperatures can reduce by $2-8 \%$ for $(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ mixed fuel and result in enhanced thermal conductivity of $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ compared with $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$. During irradiation, produce gaseous fission products, such as Xe , $\mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{I}$, and He . (Th- Pu$) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ shows a similar temperature to $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$. Figure 2 shows fuel centerline temperature for 1200 days.

## CONCLUSIONS

Both options used (Th-75 wt.\%-U-25 $\mathrm{wt} . \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and (Th-92 wt\%-Pu-wt. \%) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ showed beneficial for reducing plutonium risk. Therefore, constraints exist related to the plutonium grades used and configuration based on the homogenous distribution of fuel. The fuel centerline temperature is compatible with $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ for $(\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pu}) \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The most key features of pure $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ for the safety analysis are the enhanced thermal conductivity, higher melting point, and lower thermal expansion. These beneficial features permit a longer burn cycle and operation at a higher power level,
reducing the FGR compared with $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$. In addition, isotopes $\mathrm{U}^{233}$ and $\mathrm{Th}^{232}$ have detailed responses in terms of core reactivity. Reactivity control depends on which fission isotope is predominant, $\mathrm{U}^{235}, \mathrm{U}^{233}$, or $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$, and the time response of $\mathrm{U}^{233}$ makes dynamic fission control possible with little difficulty. Thorium has the advantage of lower radiotoxicity but produces a reduced amount of $\mathrm{U}^{232}$, an emitter of gamma rays. $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ spent fuel has the benefit of chemical inertness. In the past decade, there has been a growing risk of nuclear proliferation. Plutonium is a fissile isotope
eliminated after a chain reaction, which minimizes the risk of making weapons with it. Using thorium has economic advantages because of lower and stable international prices and substantial natural reserves spread worldwide.
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