

# Journal of Engineering Research

## ISSUES INVOLVED IN ABSORBED DOSE IN ELECTRON TREATMENTS

---

*Luciana Tourinho Campos*



All content in this magazine is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License. Attribution-Non-Commercial-No-Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

**Abstract:** When a beam of radiation falls on a patient (or simulator), the absorbed dose varies with depth, characterizing a dose distribution in human tissue. This variation depends on several parameters: beam energy, depth, medium composition, field size, source distance and beam collimation system. In the case of radiotherapy treatments with electron beams, the biggest advantage lies in the shape of the dose distribution curve in depth on the central axis, especially in the range of 6 to 15 MeV. This dose distribution curve is characterized by an almost uniform dose region followed by a rapid drop in dose. This feature is a clinical advantage over other conventional megavoltage photon treatment modalities. Because of differences in electron beam generation and collimation, the depth dose distribution and surface dose may be different for different clinical treatment accelerators. In clinical practice, however, it is not sufficient to specify only the beam energy, but several parameters that characterize the dose variation in depth along the central axis of the electron beam. This step is fundamental in the procedure for calculating the dose administered to the patient. This section defines some parameters that allow characterizing the dose distribution in the human body.

**Keywords:** Electrons, radiotherapy, absorbed dose.

## DOSE ABSORBED

The absorbed dose  $D$  is a fundamental dosimetric quantity defined by equation 1.

$$D = \frac{d\bar{E}}{dm} \quad (1)$$

Where  $d\bar{E}$  is the average energy imparted by ionizing radiation to matter in an elementary volume, and “dm” is the mass in that elementary volume. In the International System, the unit of absorbed dose is the joule

per kilogram (J/kg), whose name is the gray (Gy).

## DOSE DISTRIBUTION

When a beam of radiation falls on a patient (or simulator), the absorbed dose varies with depth, characterizing a dose distribution in human tissue. This variation depends on several parameters: beam energy, depth, medium composition, field size, source distance and beam collimation system.

In the case of radiotherapy treatments with electron beams, the biggest advantage lies in the shape of the dose distribution curve in depth on the central axis, especially in the range of 6 to 15 MeV. This dose distribution curve is characterized by an almost uniform dose region followed by a rapid drop in dose. This feature is a clinical advantage over other conventional megavoltage photon treatment modalities.

Because of differences in electron beam generation and collimation, the depth dose distribution and surface dose may be different for different clinical treatment accelerators. In clinical practice, however, it is not sufficient to specify only the beam energy, but several parameters that characterize the dose variation in depth along the central axis of the electron beam. This step is fundamental in the procedure for calculating the dose administered to the patient. This section defines some parameters that allow characterizing the dose distribution in the human body.

## DEPTH DOSE PERCENTAGE

The percent depth dose (PDP) curve is the ratio of the absorbed dose at a given depth to the absorbed dose at a reference depth, usually the maximum dose depth, for example: the electronic equilibrium depth, as described in equation 2. The region where the absorbed dose increases until it reaches the maximum value is called the region of *build-up*. The PDP

depends on energy, depth, field size, scattering and source-to-surface distance.

$$PDP_d(d,f,C,hv) = \frac{D_x}{D_{Max}} \cdot 100 \quad (2)$$

Where  $D_x$  is the dose at the depth in question,  $D_{max}$  is the dose at the maximum dose depth and  $PDP_d$  is the percentage of depth dose at depth  $d$ . The PDP depends on the depth  $d$ , of the type of font  $f$ , of the field size  $C$  and the beam energy  $hv$ .

Typical PDP in water curves for clinical electron beams for field size  $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$  on the central axis are illustrated in figure 1.




Figure 1: Typical PDP curves for clinical electron beams for field size  $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$  on the central axis in water [1].

Typically, the depth dose curve on the central axis of an electron beam exhibits a high surface dose compared to the depth dose curve for a photon beam illustrated in figure 2. In the case of electrons, the dose curve in depth remains more or less uniform and drops rapidly with depth. These features offer a clinical advantage over clinical photon beams in the treatment of superficial tumors.




Figure 2: Depth dose curves for a photon beam from a clinical linear accelerator for field size  $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$  for water [1].

The depth dose for a specific energy will be essentially independent of the field size when the distance between the central axis and the field edge is greater than the lateral range of the scattered electrons. In this case, lateral electronic equilibrium exists. As the field size decreases, there is no electronic equilibrium on the central axis and the depth dose will be very sensitive to the field size, as can be seen in Figure 3 for an electron beam of 20 MeV and field sizes smaller than  $25 \times 25 \text{ cm}^2$ .




Figure 3: Comparison of depth dose profiles in water of central axis electron beams for 20 MeV energy and various field sizes [1].




Figure 4: PDP curves in water for various electron beam incidences. a) 9 MeV and b) 15 MeV. The normal incidence is represented by  $\alpha=0^\circ$ . In the detail of the figure, the maximum dose depth is highlighted ( $D_{Max}$ ) for various angles [1].

The distributions illustrated in Figures 2 and 3 are given for the perpendicular incidence of the electron beam on the patient or simulator. For oblique views with arbitrary angles  $\alpha$  between the central axis and the patient or simulator, there are significant changes in the characteristics of the PDP curves for the electron beam. For small angles of incidence  $\alpha$ , the slope of the PDP decreases and the practical range is essentially invariant with respect to the normal incidence. When the angle of incidence exceeds  $60^\circ$ , the PDP loses its characteristic shape and definition of practical range. Therefore, this PDP cannot be applied. Figure 4 shows the effect of the angle of incidence  $\alpha$  on the absorbed dose distribution [1]. Oblique views are used in certain clinical situations where it is necessary for the electron beam to cross curved regions.

From the depth dose percentage curve, it is possible to determine other parameters necessary for the characterization of the energy of the clinical electron beam. The five definitions of the different range parameters for a central axis depth dose distribution are illustrated in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Typical PDP curve illustrating the parameters  $R_q$ ,  $R_{90}$ ,  $R_{50}$ ,  $R_p$ ,  $R_{max}$ .

Three of these parameters are range ( $R_{90}$ ,  $R_{50}$ ,  $R_p$ ), and two constitute measurements at the entry dose levels ( $D_s$ ) and outgoing ( $D_x$ ) in the irradiated volume.

### CLINICAL PARAMETERS OF THE ELECTRON BEAM

Due to the complexity of the spectrum, there is no single energy that completely characterizes an electron beam. Various parameters are used to describe a beam such as

the probable energy ( $E_{p,0}$ ), the average energy on the surface of the geometric simulator or simulator, ( $\bar{E}_0$ ) it's the  $R_{50}$ .

### Practical reach

The practical reach ( $R_p$ ) is defined as the depth where the tangent at the steepest point of the PDP curve (inflection point) intercepts the background radiation ( $D_x$ ). This value,  $R_p$ , it is obtained by making a linear fit of the data in the descending region of the dose curve in depth between 70% and 20%. The photon background, which is defined as the extrapolation from the end of the dose distribution in depth to the practical range, is also obtained by making a linear fit on the values obtained up to the last point of the dose distribution curve [2].

Due to the complexity of the electron spectrum generated by the linear accelerator, the energy calculated from the practical range is more directly related to the most likely energy of the electron beam when it reaches the surface of the geometric simulator. Equation 3 empirically relates the most likely energy of the electron beam to the practical range [1].

$$E_{p,0} = 0,22 + 1,09R_p + 0,0025 R_p^2 \quad (3)$$

### Reach at half depth

The mid-depth range ( $R_{50}$ ) is the depth at which the absorbed dose reaches 50% of its maximum value. In electron dosimetry, the clinical beam energy is characterized by the  $R_{50}$ , also called electron beam quality index, as specified in TRS 398 [4]. This index denotes the average energy of the electron beam, since the beam originating from the accelerator has a complex spectrum. As the half depth is located in the region reached by approximately half of the primary electrons, it is reasonable to assume that this depth is more related to the average energy than to

the most probable energy of the incident electrons. The average energy of electrons,, on the surface of the geometric simulator or the patient is related to  $R_{50}$  as shown in relation (4):

$$\bar{E}_0 = CR_{50} \quad (4)$$

where  $C = 2.33 \text{ MeV/cm}$  for water.

In Figure 6, the variation of  $R_{50}$  with the average energy on the surface of the simulator is presented, [2]




Figure 6:  $R_{50}$  variation with the average energy of various research accelerators [2].

### Therapeutic Reach

The therapeutic range ( $R_{90}$ ) is the depth at which the absorbed dose reaches 90% of its maximum value. This, if possible, must coincide with the distal margin of the treatment. For a given energy, the length of this interval depends on the desired uniformity of the dose distribution in depth in the target region. A dose difference of less than or greater than 10% can cause a considerable change in the ratio between the fraction of cured patients and those who will experience complications, a fact that makes the effort to obtain a small variation within the target volume desirable [3].

## Superficial dose

The entry dose in a volume irradiated by a clinical electron beam is of great interest because of its importance for the degree of spared skin obtained. The depth of the radiation-sensitive layers below the epidermis is generally on the order of 0.5 mm, a depth that is accessible for accurate dose measurements with multiple detectors. The superficial dose ( $D_s$ ) is defined as the ratio of the absorbed dose at a depth of 0.5 mm in relation to the maximum dose value.

## Photon background radiation or X-ray contamination

Photon background radiation or X-ray contamination in the electron beam ( $D_x$ ) is defined as the extrapolation from the tail of the dose distribution in depth to the practical range. Photons are present as contamination of the incident electron beam or are generated in the irradiated medium itself.

## FIELD PROFILE

Dose distributions along the central axis provide only part of the information required for an accurate dose description within the patient. Two-dimensional dose distributions are determined with central axis information in conjunction with field dose profiles.

Field profiles are obtained by measuring the dose perpendicular to the central axis at an arbitrary depth along the measurement geometric simulator. The usual depths of measurement are and 10 cm in order to check compliance with the machine's specifications. Other depths are normally required to configure the planning system. An example of a field profile measured at the maximum dose depth is shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7: Example of a field profile for an electron beam obtained from a clinical accelerator of 12 MeV energy, maximum dose depth and 25x25 field size cm<sup>2</sup>.

The field profile is obtained through normalization with the dose on the central axis according to equation 5.

$$D_R(D_x, f, C, hv) = \frac{D_i}{D_z} \cdot 100 \quad (5)$$

Where  $D_R$  is the dose relative to the central axis,  $D_Z$  is the dose at a given depth on the central axis, for example: at 0 on the X axis.  $D_i$  is the dose on the X axis. The Y axis can also be used to obtain a field profile.

## ISODOSE CURVES

The central axis dose is not always sufficient for patient treatment planning and information on the complete isodose curve is often required.

Isodose curves are lines passing through points of the same dose. These curves are obtained at regular absorbed dose intervals and are expressed as the percentage dose in relation to a reference point, normally the maximum dose point on the central axis.

As a beam of electrons penetrates a medium, it rapidly expands below the surface due to scattering. However, the individual

scattering of isodose curves varies with isodose level, beam energy, field size and beam collimation [3].

Isodose curves for a 20 MeV electron beam for field size 10x10 cm<sup>2</sup> and SSD of 100 cm are illustrated in Figure 8.




Figure 8: Isodose curves for 20 MeV energy and 10x10 cm<sup>2</sup> field size and 100 cm SSD.

## REFERENCES

1. PODGOSARK, E. B., *Radiation Oncology Physics: A Handbook for Teachers and Students*, Educational Report Series, IAEA, Viena, Austria, 2004.
2. DE ARAÚJO, A. M. C. et al., *O elétron na Radioterapia: curso a distancia*, Fundação Oswaldo cruz, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca. Programa de Educação a Distancia, Rio de Janeiro, INCA, 2005.
3. KHAN, F. M., *The Physics of Radiation Therapy*, 3<sup>th</sup> Ed., Philadelphia, USA, Lippincot Williams e Wilkins, 2003.
4. Technical Report Series N°398, *Absorbed Dose Determination in External Beam Radiotherapy: An International Code of Practice for Dosimetry Based on Standards of Absorbed Dose to Water*. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency, IAEA, 2000.