



PRODUÇÃO CIENTÍFICA EM CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS

Danyelle Andrade Mota
Clécio Danilo Dias da Silva
(Organizadores)

**Atena**
Editora
Ano 2022



PRODUÇÃO CIENTÍFICA EM CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS

Danyelle Andrade Mota
Clécio Danilo Dias da Silva
(Organizadores)


Atena
Editora
Ano 2022

Editora chefe

Profª Drª Antonella Carvalho de Oliveira

Editora executiva

Natalia Oliveira

Assistente editorial

Flávia Roberta Barão

Bibliotecária

Janaina Ramos

Projeto gráfico

Camila Alves de Cremo

Daphynny Pamplona

Gabriel Motomu Teshima

Luiza Alves Batista

Natália Sandrini de Azevedo

Imagens da capa

iStock

Edição de arte

Luiza Alves Batista

2022 by Atena Editora

Copyright © Atena Editora

Copyright do texto © 2022 Os autores

Copyright da edição © 2022 Atena Editora

Direitos para esta edição cedidos à Atena Editora pelos autores.

Open access publication by Atena Editora



Todo o conteúdo deste livro está licenciado sob uma Licença de Atribuição Creative Commons. Atribuição-Não-Comercial-NãoDerivativos 4.0 Internacional (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

O conteúdo dos artigos e seus dados em sua forma, correção e confiabilidade são de responsabilidade exclusiva dos autores, inclusive não representam necessariamente a posição oficial da Atena Editora. Permitido o *download* da obra e o compartilhamento desde que sejam atribuídos créditos aos autores, mas sem a possibilidade de alterá-la de nenhuma forma ou utilizá-la para fins comerciais.

Todos os manuscritos foram previamente submetidos à avaliação cega pelos pares, membros do Conselho Editorial desta Editora, tendo sido aprovados para a publicação com base em critérios de neutralidade e imparcialidade acadêmica.

A Atena Editora é comprometida em garantir a integridade editorial em todas as etapas do processo de publicação, evitando plágio, dados ou resultados fraudulentos e impedindo que interesses financeiros comprometam os padrões éticos da publicação. Situações suspeitas de má conduta científica serão investigadas sob o mais alto padrão de rigor acadêmico e ético.

Conselho Editorial**Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde**

Profª Drª Aline Silva da Fonte Santa Rosa de Oliveira – Hospital Federal de Bonsucesso

Profª Drª Ana Beatriz Duarte Vieira – Universidade de Brasília

Profª Drª Ana Paula Peron – Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná

Prof. Dr. André Ribeiro da Silva – Universidade de Brasília

Profª Drª Anelise Levay Murari – Universidade Federal de Pelotas

Prof. Dr. Benedito Rodrigues da Silva Neto – Universidade Federal de Goiás



Prof. Dr. Cirênio de Almeida Barbosa – Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto
Prof^o Dr^a Daniela Reis Joaquim de Freitas – Universidade Federal do Piauí
Prof^o Dr^a Débora Luana Ribeiro Pessoa – Universidade Federal do Maranhão
Prof. Dr. Douglas Siqueira de Almeida Chaves – Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro
Prof. Dr. Edson da Silva – Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri
Prof^o Dr^a Elizabeth Cordeiro Fernandes – Faculdade Integrada Medicina
Prof^o Dr^a Eleuza Rodrigues Machado – Faculdade Anhanguera de Brasília
Prof^o Dr^a Elane Schwinden Prudêncio – Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
Prof^o Dr^a Eysler Gonçalves Maia Brasil – Universidade da Integração Internacional da Lusofonia Afro-Brasileira
Prof. Dr. Ferlando Lima Santos – Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia
Prof^o Dr^a Fernanda Miguel de Andrade – Universidade Federal de Pernambuco
Prof. Dr. Fernando Mendes – Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra – Escola Superior de Saúde de Coimbra
Prof^o Dr^a Gabriela Vieira do Amaral – Universidade de Vassouras
Prof. Dr. Gianfábio Pimentel Franco – Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Prof. Dr. Helio Franklin Rodrigues de Almeida – Universidade Federal de Rondônia
Prof^o Dr^a Iara Lúcia Tescarollo – Universidade São Francisco
Prof. Dr. Igor Luiz Vieira de Lima Santos – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Prof. Dr. Jefferson Thiago Souza – Universidade Estadual do Ceará
Prof. Dr. Jesus Rodrigues Lemos – Universidade Federal do Piauí
Prof. Dr. Jônatas de França Barros – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte
Prof. Dr. José Aderval Aragão – Universidade Federal de Sergipe
Prof. Dr. José Max Barbosa de Oliveira Junior – Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará
Prof^o Dr^a Juliana Santana de Curcio – Universidade Federal de Goiás
Prof^o Dr^a Lívia do Carmo Silva – Universidade Federal de Goiás
Prof. Dr. Luís Paulo Souza e Souza – Universidade Federal do Amazonas
Prof^o Dr^a Magnólia de Araújo Campos – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Prof. Dr. Marcus Fernando da Silva Praxedes – Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia
Prof^o Dr^a Maria Tatiane Gonçalves Sá – Universidade do Estado do Pará
Prof. Dr. Maurilio Antonio Varavallo – Universidade Federal do Tocantins
Prof^o Dr^a Mylena Andréa Oliveira Torres – Universidade Ceuma
Prof^o Dr^a Natiéli Piovesan – Instituto Federaci do Rio Grande do Norte
Prof. Dr. Paulo Inada – Universidade Estadual de Maringá
Prof. Dr. Rafael Henrique Silva – Hospital Universitário da Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados
Prof^o Dr^a Regiane Luz Carvalho – Centro Universitário das Faculdades Associadas de Ensino
Prof^o Dr^a Renata Mendes de Freitas – Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora
Prof^o Dr^a Sheyla Mara Silva de Oliveira – Universidade do Estado do Pará
Prof^o Dr^a Suely Lopes de Azevedo – Universidade Federal Fluminense
Prof^o Dr^a Vanessa da Fontoura Custódio Monteiro – Universidade do Vale do Sapucaí
Prof^o Dr^a Vanessa Lima Gonçalves – Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa
Prof^o Dr^a Vanessa Bordin Viera – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Prof^o Dr^a Welma Emidio da Silva – Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco



Produção científica em ciências biológicas

Diagramação: Daphynny Pamplona
Correção: Maiara Ferreira
Indexação: Amanda Kelly da Costa Veiga
Revisão: Os autores
Organizadores: Danyelle Andrade Mota
Clécio Danilo Dias da Silva

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP)

P964 Produção científica em ciências biológicas / Organizadores
Danyelle Andrade Mota, Clécio Danilo Dias da Silva. –
Ponta Grossa - PR: Atena, 2022.

Formato: PDF

Requisitos de sistema: Adobe Acrobat Reader

Modo de acesso: World Wide Web

Inclui bibliografia

ISBN 978-65-258-0021-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.219223003>

1. Ciências biológicas. I. Mota, Danyelle Andrade
(Organizadora). II. Silva, Clécio Danilo Dias da (Organizador).
III. Título.

CDD 570

Elaborado por Bibliotecária Janaina Ramos – CRB-8/9166

Atena Editora

Ponta Grossa – Paraná – Brasil

Telefone: +55 (42) 3323-5493

www.atenaeditora.com.br

contato@atenaeditora.com.br



Atena
Editora
Ano 2022

DECLARAÇÃO DOS AUTORES

Os autores desta obra: 1. Atestam não possuir qualquer interesse comercial que constitua um conflito de interesses em relação ao artigo científico publicado; 2. Declaram que participaram ativamente da construção dos respectivos manuscritos, preferencialmente na: a) Concepção do estudo, e/ou aquisição de dados, e/ou análise e interpretação de dados; b) Elaboração do artigo ou revisão com vistas a tornar o material intelectualmente relevante; c) Aprovação final do manuscrito para submissão.; 3. Certificam que os artigos científicos publicados estão completamente isentos de dados e/ou resultados fraudulentos; 4. Confirmam a citação e a referência correta de todos os dados e de interpretações de dados de outras pesquisas; 5. Reconhecem terem informado todas as fontes de financiamento recebidas para a consecução da pesquisa; 6. Autorizam a edição da obra, que incluem os registros de ficha catalográfica, ISBN, DOI e demais indexadores, projeto visual e criação de capa, diagramação de miolo, assim como lançamento e divulgação da mesma conforme critérios da Atena Editora.



DECLARAÇÃO DA EDITORA

A Atena Editora declara, para os devidos fins de direito, que: 1. A presente publicação constitui apenas transferência temporária dos direitos autorais, direito sobre a publicação, inclusive não constitui responsabilidade solidária na criação dos manuscritos publicados, nos termos previstos na Lei sobre direitos autorais (Lei 9610/98), no art. 184 do Código Penal e no art. 927 do Código Civil; 2. Autoriza e incentiva os autores a assinarem contratos com repositórios institucionais, com fins exclusivos de divulgação da obra, desde que com o devido reconhecimento de autoria e edição e sem qualquer finalidade comercial; 3. Todos os e-book são *open access*, *desta forma* não os comercializa em seu site, sites parceiros, plataformas de *e-commerce*, ou qualquer outro meio virtual ou físico, portanto, está isenta de repasses de direitos autorais aos autores; 4. Todos os membros do conselho editorial são doutores e vinculados a instituições de ensino superior públicas, conforme recomendação da CAPES para obtenção do Qualis livro; 5. Não cede, comercializa ou autoriza a utilização dos nomes e e-mails dos autores, bem como nenhum outro dado dos mesmos, para qualquer finalidade que não o escopo da divulgação desta obra.



APRESENTAÇÃO

As Ciências Biológicas, assim como as diversas áreas da Ciência, passam por constantes transformações, as quais são determinantes para o seu avanço científico. A produção científica tem papel essencial na avaliação da ciência, pois sustenta a avaliação qualitativa e quantitativa. A avaliação da produção científica permite inferir sobre os movimentos de institucionalização e desenvolvimento da pesquisa em campos científicos, períodos e contextos específicos. Além de permitir o entendimento dos processos de produção, difusão e uso do conhecimento, também pode orientar o desenvolvimento e a adaptação de políticas científicas, tecnológicas e de inovação.

Nessa perspectiva, o e-book “Produção Científica em Ciências Biológicas”, é uma obra composta de uma série de investigações e contribuições nas diversas áreas de conhecimento que interagem nas Ciências Biológicas, com uma leitura rápida, dinâmica e cheia de possibilidades de aprendizado. Assim, o e-book é para todos os profissionais pertencentes às Ciências Biológicas e suas áreas afins, especialmente, aqueles com atuação no ambiente acadêmico e/ou profissional.

Portanto, o resultado dessa experiência, que se traduz neste e-book, objetiva apresentar ao leitor a diversidade de temáticas inerentes as áreas da Saúde, Meio Ambiente, Biodiversidade, Biotecnologia e Educação, como pilares estruturantes das Ciências Biológicas. Por fim, desejamos que a obra contribua para o enriquecimento da formação universitária e da atuação profissional, com uma visão multidimensional com o enriquecimento de novas atitudes e práticas multiprofissionais nas Ciências Biológicas.

Agradecemos aos autores pelas contribuições que tornaram essa edição possível, e juntos, convidamos os leitores para desfrutarem as publicações.


Danyelle Andrade Mota
Clécio Danilo Dias da Silva

SUMÁRIO

CAPÍTULO 1..... 1

ATIVIDADE ANTIBACTERIANA DE PLANTAS E DERIVADOS SOBRE MICRORGANISMOS PATOGENICOS DE ORIGEM ALIMENTAR: UMA REVISÃO INTEGRATIVA


Dayane de Melo Barros
Marcelino Alberto Diniz
Zenaide Severina do Monte
Danielle Feijó de Moura
Tamiris Alves Rocha
Marllyn Marques da Silva
Talismania da Silva Lira Barbosa
Cléidiane Clemente de Melo
Taciane Paulina da Silva
Diego Ricardo da Silva Leite
Tâmara Thaianne Almeida Siqueira
André Severino da Silva
Cleiton Cavalcanti dos Santos
Andreza Roberta de França Leite
Hélen Maria Lima da Silva
Silvio Assis de Oliveira Ferreira
Fábio Henrique Portella Corrêa de Oliveira
Juliane Suelen Silva dos Santos

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230031>

CAPÍTULO 2..... 9

EFEITO ANTIOXIDANTE E ANTICÂNCER DA QUERCETINA NA PREVENÇÃO E REPARAÇÃO DE CELULAS CANCERIGENAS

Fabricio de Jesus Mendes
Lustarllone Bento de Oliveira
João Marcos Torres do Nascimento Mendes
Águida Maiara de Brito
Gabriel Lipinski de Farias
Anna Heloísa Lemos Barbosa
Paula Lauane Araújo
Thâmara Machado e Silva
Giselle da Paz Cavalcanti
Joselita Brandão de Sant'Anna
Tulio Cesar Ferreira
Alexandre Pereira dos Santos
Melissa Cardoso Deuner


 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230032>

CAPÍTULO 3..... 25

POTENCIAL FARMACOLÓGICO DA PRÓPOLIS E SEU USO

Willams Alves da Silva
Vanessa Gomes Amaral Almeida


Sônia Pereira Leite
Mary Anne Medeiros Bandeira
Janayze Suéllen de Lima Mendes Silva
Renatha Claudia Barros Sobreira
Marlon Claudener dos Santos Dantas
Pedro Victor da Rocha Noé
Juliana de Paula dos Santos Silva
Isabela Malta Maranhão
Larissa Temoteo de Albuquerque
Kristiana Cerqueira Mousinho

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230033>

CAPÍTULO 4..... 35

POTENCIAL FARMACOLÓGICO DO *Croton heliotropiifolius* E SEU USO


Willams Alves da Silva
Vanessa Gomes Amaral Almeida
Sônia Pereira Leite
Mary Anne Medeiros Bandeira
Janayze Suéllen de Lima Mendes Silva
Renatha Claudia Barros Sobreira
Marlon Claudener dos Santos Dantas
Pedro Victor da Rocha Noé
Juliana de Paula dos Santos Silva
Isabela Malta Maranhão
Kayo Costa Alves
Kristiana Cerqueira Mousinho

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230034>

CAPÍTULO 5..... 45

AVALIAÇÃO MICROBIOLÓGICA DE QUEIJO COALHO COMERCIALIZADO NA FEIRA DA MANAUS MODERNA

Gabriel José da Silva Serra
Caroline Sobrinho Barros
Gisele Macedo Souza
Hudson Batista da Costa
Ricardo Felipe de Souza Caramês


 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230035>

CAPÍTULO 6..... 58

AVALIAÇÃO DO CRESCIMENTO BACTERIANO POR CITOMETRIA DE FLUXO E PRODUÇÃO DE ANTÍGENOS SECRETADOS DE DIFERENTES CEPAS DE *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*

Caio Lopes Borges Andrade
Lília Ferreira de Moura Costa
Ramon Mendes dos Santos
Rogério Reis Conceição
Luiz Gustavo Freitas Oliveira


Allan Souza dos Santos
Mariane Melo dos Santos
Alex José Leite Torres
Maria da Conceição Aquino de Sá
Fulvia Soares Campos de Sousa
Marcos Borges Ribeiro
Roberto José Meyer Nascimento
Songeli Menezes Freire

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230036>

CAPÍTULO 7..... 84

REVIEW ON MICROBIAL LEVAN: SOURCES AND POTENCIAL USES


Beatriz Ferreira
Camila Follador Lemos
Fernanda Prehs Izar
Thabata Maria Alvarez

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230037>

CAPÍTULO 8..... 98

**METODOLOGIAS UTILIZADAS PARA O DIAGNÓSTICO DA ESTRUTURA DAS
COMUNIDADES DE MELIPONÍNEOS (APIDAE; MELIPONINI) NA MATA ATLÂNTICA**

Marília Dantas e Silva

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230038>

CAPÍTULO 9..... 107

OCORRÊNCIA DE *Bemisia tabaci* NA CULTURA DA VIDEIRA NO NORDESTE

Vanessa Gomes Amaral Almeida
Nayana Bruschi Infante
Willams Alves da Silva
Marlon Claudener dos Santos Dantas
Pedro Victor da Rocha Noé
Isabela Malta Maranhão
Kayo Costa Alves
Juliana de Paula dos Santos Silva
Janayze Suéllen de Lima Mendes Silva
Mary Anne Medeiros Bandeira
Sônia Pereira Leite
Kristiana Cerqueira Mousinho


 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.2192230039>

CAPÍTULO 10..... 115

**DEMANDA DE CONSULTAS DERMATOLÓGICAS E A OCORRÊNCIA DE SARNA
DEMODÉCICA E SARCÓPTICA DOS CÃES ATENDIDOS EM JARAGUÁ DO SUL, SANTA
CATARINA, BRASIL**

Charlene Ediane Longhi
Daniela Brecht
Carlos Eduardo Nogueira Martins

Marlise Pompeo Claus
Viviane Milczewski

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300310>

CAPÍTULO 11..... 124

CARACTERIZAÇÃO DA MICROBIOTA FÚNGICA NAS CLÍNICAS E CENTRO CIRÚRGICO DA FACULDADE DE ODONTOLOGIA DA UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO AMAZONAS (UFAM)


Eduardo Aroucha Roland
Sônia Maria da Silva Carvalho
Maria Ivone Lopes da Silva

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300311>

CAPÍTULO 12..... 140

OCORRÊNCIA DE ORGANISMOS PATOGÊNICOS PRESENTES NA ÁGUA E NAS FEZES DE CANIS LUPUS FAMILIARIS DA REGIÃO DE CURITIBA-PR, BRASIL


Adriele da Costa Trindade
Isabella Santos Delavy
Jean Carlos Machado da Costa

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300312>

CAPÍTULO 13..... 147

PRINCIPAIS ENTEROPARASIToses EM CRIANÇAS DE IDADE ESCOLAR NO BRASIL


João Augusto Müller Pereira
Karina Rodrigues Irigoyen
Rafaely Piccioni Rosado
Laura Silva de Vasconcellos
Anna Müller Pereira
Débora Liliâne Walcher
Letícia Fiss

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300313>

CAPÍTULO 14..... 152

MODELOS EXPERIMENTAIS DE CICATRIZAÇÃO: ESTUDOS *IN VITRO* E *IN VIVO*

Airton Vicente Pereira
Gisele de Oliveira Krubniki Possa
Rayza Assis de Andrade
Solange Chopek
Wesley Rogerio Negri


 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300314>

CAPÍTULO 15..... 169

A IMPORTÂNCIA DAS RIZOBACTÉRIAS PARA A CONSERVAÇÃO DA *Parkia multijuga* Benth

Ila Nayara Bezerra da Silva
Monyck Jeane dos Santos Lopes
Beatriz Silva Santiago

Ely Simone Cajueiro Gurgel

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300315>

CAPÍTULO 16..... 177

DERIVA NATURAL DE LAS ESPECIES DEL GENERO *Scytalopus* (RHINOCRYPTIDAE: AVES, PASSERIFORMES) EN FUNCIÓN DE SU UMWELT

Alejandro Correa Rueda

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300316>


CAPÍTULO 17..... 188

TEMPO DE DESENVOLVIMENTO PÓS-EMBRIONÁRIO E CARACTERIZAÇÃO MORFOLÓGICA DAS FASES IMATURAS DE *Nasonia vitripennis* (WALKER, 1836) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) EM PUPAS DE *Chrysomya megacephala* (FABRICIUS, 1794) (Diptera: Calliphoridae)

Barbara Proença do Nascimento

Antonia de Castro Ribeiro

Valéria Magalhães Aguiar

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300317>

CAPÍTULO 18..... 199

ESTOQUE DE CARBONO EM FRAGMENTOS DE FLORESTAS ESTACIONAIS DO MS

Rita de Cassia Gonçalves Marques

Ana Beatriz Barros da Silva

Danielly Fernandez Silva

Gabrielli Duarte dos Santos

Isabella Giunco Estigarribia

Karen Rhaiza Schmidt Tavares


Luana Daviny dos Santos Silva

Luciana da Cruz Cortes

Nathalya Alice de Lima

Joab Doria Domingos

Zefa Valdivina Pereira

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300318>

CAPÍTULO 19..... 205

DESAFIOS NA TRILHA: UM JOGO DIDÁTICO SOBRE O PASSADO E O PRESENTE DAS PTERIDÓFITAS

Geneildes Cristina de Jesus Santos

Adriana Pereira da Cruz

Lúcia Silva Correia

Luciara da Silva Aguiar

Silvana Rodrigues Moraes

Claudia Scareli-Santos

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300319>

CAPÍTULO 20..... 219

O USO DO WEBSITE www.geneticafacil.org COMO FERRAMENTA DIGITAL NO ENSINO

E DIVULGAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA DE ASSUNTOS RELACIONADOS À GENÉTICA

Rogério Carlos Novais

Monica Antonia Saad Ferreira

 <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.21922300320>

SOBRE OS ORGANIZADORES	227
ÍNDICE REMISSIVO.....	228

REVIEW ON MICROBIAL LEVAN: SOURCES AND POTENCIAL USES

Data de aceite: 01/02/2022

Data de submissão: 26/01/2022

Beatriz Ferreira

Universidade Positivo, Curso de Engenharia de
Bioprocessos e Biotecnologia
Curitiba, Paraná
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/3471291157442873>

Camila Follador Lemos

Universidade Positivo, Curso de Engenharia de
Bioprocessos e Biotecnologia
Curitiba, Paraná
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/5750522129586287>

Fernanda Prehs Izar

Universidade Positivo, Curso de Engenharia de
Bioprocessos e Biotecnologia
Curitiba, Paraná
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/9631694873941408>

Thabata Maria Alvarez

Universidade Positivo, Curso de Engenharia de
Bioprocessos e Biotecnologia
Curitiba, Paraná
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/5098256501937905>

ABSTRACT: Levan is an extracellular polysaccharide that consists of fructose units bound by glycosidic β -(2,6) bonds, produced by several types of microorganisms from substrates containing sucrose. Its molecular structure presents thermal stability and low viscosity, which holds interest to applications in industrial sectors. The challenge, however, is in the large-scale production, since an economic viable method has not yet been consolidated. The purpose of this

work was to explore the application of microbial levan at a large scale context.

KEYWORDS: Polysaccharide, bioprocess, renewable materials

1 | LEVAN

Levan is a non-structural polysaccharide synthesized by different types of microorganisms, which include Archeas, yeast, and a wide range of bacteria, besides also some plant species. It is constituted by fructose units linked by type β -(2,6) glycosidic bonds, building compact nanospheres, which can have many potential applications. Its characteristics result in a considerably low intrinsic viscosity (ARVIDSON; RINEHART; GADALA-MARIA, 2006) and a superior structural stability when compared to homologue linear molecules (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016; SRIKANTH, 2015).

The microbial polymer is synthesized from sucrose-based substrates, usually by bacteria, such as the ones from genera *Acetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Erwinia*, *Gluconobacter*, *Halomonas*, *Microbacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptococcus* e *Zymomonas* or directly from the isolated enzyme levansucrase (JAKOB et al., 2013; RUNYON et al., 2014).

In high sucrose concentration media, levan can represent up to 97% of the total biofilm exopolysaccharide (EPS) produced by *Bacillus*

subtilis (DOGSA et al., 2013; ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016). The presence of levan in these biofilms, together with other EPS, confers higher stability, as it helps to bind the microbial cells (DOGSA et al., 2013). Dogsa et al. (2013) proposed that, biologically, the conversion of sucrose to levan can make the biofilm more resistant and that the mechanism happens due to the need of *B. subtilis* to store carbon in competitive environment.

Because it is an extracellular substance, it is affected by fermentation conditions like pH, oxygen concentration, bioreactor settings and composition of culture media (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016). The microbial source of the levansucrase enzyme is also an important factor that can bring different characteristics to the final product, modifying properties such as molecular weight, branching degree, diameter, intrinsic viscosity, stability and functional aspects like adhesive strength (JAKOB et al., 2013; RUNYON et al., 2014; ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016).

In general terms, levansucrase originated by gram-positive bacteria, such as the one from *B. subtilis*, produce the polymer without accumulating intermediate oligofructans, unlike gram-negative species, which generate high levels of secondary products, therefore, a lower yield of levan (HOMANN et al., 2007; ADAMBERG et al., 2015; CAPUTI et al., 2013).

Fructans derived from bacteria present a higher degree of polymerization when compared to the ones originated by plants. The bacterial levan, for example, can reach higher molecular weights, even more than 500 kDa, and with a number of fructosyl units between 10^3 and 10^4 , while plants produce levan with a range of 10^1 to 10^2 fructosyl units (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016).

The degree of polymerization is due to a progressive mechanism that, after enzymatic cleavage of sucrose, a new fructose unit is added to the growing chain, which is progressively elongated and that is maintained bonded to the enzyme (OZIMEK et al., 2006). Part of the released glucose is metabolized by the microorganism and used for growth, the remaining is simply accumulated in the fermentation media (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016). When the main substrate, sucrose, is extinguished, the synthesized polymer functions as a source of fructosyl units. The levansucrase enzyme breaks the glycosidic β -(2,6) bonds along the levan chain, releasing terminal fructose in a consecutive way, until the reaction stops when it meets a branch point (MÉNDEZ-LORENZO et al., 2015), represented by glycosidic β -(2,1) bonds. The branches protect the chain from hydrolysis from levansucrase itself (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016).

2 | LEVANSUCRASE

Levansucrase is an extracellular enzyme that synthesizes levan from sucrose. It remains bonded to the cell surface until its release to the external environment by an enzymatic secretion route, which varies according to the host specimen. The secretion of

levansucrase in gram-positive bacteria involves cleavage with precursors containing signal-peptide (VAN HIJUM, 2004; WALDHERR; MEISSNER; VOGEL, 2008).

Most of the species have a single gene responsible for the generation of this enzyme. In the *B. subtilis*, for example, the gene that codes for levansucrase is *sacB* (CRUTZ et al., 1990). In some bacteria, like in *Bacillus*, sucrose is indispensable to induct its transcription (CHOI et al., 2004; INTHAVONG et al., 2013).

Bacteria can contain the gene for levansucrase as well as the gene that encodes for levanase, which is responsible for hydrolysis of levan molecules. Levanase production is induced by low concentration of fructose in the reactional media and it is inhibited by glucose, the primary product of sucrose transfructosylation (MENÉNDEZ et al., 2009; ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016). It is known that, in the presence of fructose, levansucrase from *B. subtilis* creates a covalent fructosyl-enzyme complex, responsible for transfructosylation. This reaction occurs when the fructosyl residues is transferred to sucrose elongating the growing chain. Apart from this reaction, studies show that levansucrase itself can exhibit levanase activity as one of its intrinsic properties. It acts by utilizing levan as a substrate and, by means of an enzyme-fructosyl complex, behaves like a hydrolytic or transferase enzyme releasing fructose units (MÉNDEZ-LORENZO et al., 2015).

Whenever sucrose is available, the cells possess a restrict control in order to prioritize levan synthesis, instead of its degradation (VAN HIJUM, 2004; WALDHERR; MEISSNER; VOGEL, 2008). Research involving gene expression and evolutive analysis imply that synthesis and degradation of levan are, at the same time, exclusive and complementary processes, and the behavior depends on the bacterial habitat (MÉNDEZ-LORENZO et al., 2015).

3 | LEVAN APPLICATIONS

Products containing levan in their formulation are limited in the market, mainly as a consequence of the challenges encountered for production in large scale. Despite that, intrinsic properties of levan attract relevant interest of a variety of industrial sectors to the polysaccharide. In cosmological industries, for example, wide used international tests confirm that levan meets the safety requirements to be used in cosmetics, which demonstrate that the biopolymer does not cause irritation or allergy and that it is not toxic (MONTANA POLYSACCHARIDES, 2015).

In addition to the cosmological industry, many other applications are being explored by other market sectors, such as food and health industries. Tables 1 and 2 point some of these applications already used or in study, based on their physical, chemical and biological properties. Table 1 summarizes reports of levan's exploration in scientific articles, while Table 2 reunites some patents conceded and some solicited for some levan applications, found in international databases. These data confirm the relevance of the polymer to diverse

market areas.

Some present examples of levan producers are Natural Polymers Inc., from the United States, which utilizes *B. subtilis* as the producer microorganism, the Chinese company Real Biotech Co., which produces it from *Zymomonas mobilis*, and the Japanese company Advance Co., producing levan from *Streptococcus salivarius*. Only a few companies sell products in which levan is one of the main ingredients. An example of one that does it is the Swiss company Rahn with its levan-based products Proteolea® e o Slimexir® (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016). According to the online catalog of this company, Proteolea® slows down facial cellular aging and Slimexir® ensures reduction of fat accumulation in abdominal adipocytes, decreasing the volume of this body portion corporal (RAHN, 2018).

Industrial segment	Application	Description	Reference
Cosmetics	Moisturizing	The polymer is effective as a moisturizing lotion, it showed similar properties to hyaluronic acid.	Kim et al. 2005
	Hair resistance	Tests demonstrated a decline in lifting of hair cuticles in treatment containing levan.	Öner et al. 2016
Medicine	Healing agent	Showed that levan acts in an important step of healing burned or mechanical damaged tissue.	Sturzoiu et al. 2011
	Healing agent	Showed that levan acts in an important step of healing burned or mechanical damaged tissue.	Sturzoiu et al. 2011
	Bones regeneration	Levan methacrylate spheres combined with glass nanoparticles demonstrated considerable adhesive resistance when applied to bone regeneration.	Leite et al. 2014
	Anti-inflammatory	It has been concluded that levan can act directly in reducing stimulation of leucocyte adhesion to the cell of blood vessels.	Sedgwick et al. 1984
	Weight loss	A levan and ginseng-based diet tested in mice resulted in reduction of white adipose tissue weight, lower blood glucose in fasting, lower resistance to insulin and leptin in mice.	Oh et al. 2014
	Cholesterol reduction	Serum cholesterol was significantly decreased in animals treated with levan.	Yamamoto et al. 1999

Food	Probiotic	Levan was proven to enrich intestinal human microbiota.	Adamberg et al. 2015
	Prebiotic	Benefits to animal's intestinal microbiota were observed in comparison to prophylactic antibiotics.	Li e Kim 2013
	Shelf life	Levan was able to form a microgel with hydrocolloid properties resulting in a softer bread.	Jakob et al. 2012

Table 1. Scientific articles showing levan's potential to different application areas.

Source: Authors (2018).

Segment	Application	Description	Reference
Cosmetics	Bleacher	Japanese patent conceded showing levan could be used to inhibit melanin production through significative lowering of tirosin activity.	Masayo e Takayuki 2007
Medicine	Antioxidant	Worldwide patent requested for the use of a combination of levan with ascorbic acid in order to generate a compound of high oxidative stability.	Kim et al. 2011
Food	Functional yogurt	Patent requested describing levan yogurt as a functional food.	Xiao; Feng; Lu 2014
	Fat substitute	European patent conceded to the application of levan in lactic products in substitution to the fat percentage.	Booten; de Soete; Fripiat 2002
	Healthy candies	British patent approved to produce candies from fructans, including levan with digestive benefits.	Cordero 2010
Adhesive	Binder for pelleting	Worldwide patent that utilizes levan as a binder in the pelleting process of iron ore and agglutination for cement.	Madduri et al. 2017
	Cigarette adhesive	Chinese patent of an adhesive compound of pullulan and levan as a holder to cigarette.	Lei 2016

Table 2. Patents approved and requested for levan applications in different industrial segments.

Source: Authors (2018).

4 | INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

Commercial production of polysaccharides is usually associated with culturing in bioreactors, in which the culture conditions can be standardized, controlled and monitored. As a result of these conditions, the synthesis of polysaccharide by microorganism sources, when compared to the one done by plants, have a lower production time and simpler extraction steps. (FREITAS; TORRES; REIS, 2017; SEVIOUR et al., 2011).

The definition of a bioprocess in industrial scale usually depends on the performance of experiments in smaller scale. The challenge of scaling-up involves mass and energy transfer, which are indispensable to maintain homogeneity of the media, specially associated to the disponibility of nutrients and dissolved oxygen used for cell growth and removal of the heat generated by microbial metabolism. The fermentation efficiency is influenced by a wide

range of factors; from the right strain and components choice to possible alterations in the reactional media caused by accumulation of product (SHULER; KARGI, 2011; SEVIOUR, 2011).

Regarding levan production, according to Franken et al. (2013), the market remains unexplored due to the difficulty in developing a viable production method. Despite the known use as food additive in places like the United States, Europe and Japan, there are still limitations for industrial production and application of levan, with relies on its high cost and the lack of homogeneity in the recovering and purification steps (GUPTA et al., 2011, FREITAS; ALVES; REIS, 2014). Freitas, Alves e Reis (2011) emphasize the lack of market data referring to levan production, which points to the absence of a significative production of this exopolysaccharide in industrial and commercial scale.

The necessary downstream steps for recovery of levan are determined in accordance with the microorganism characteristics, physicochemical properties, desired purity degree and the desirable commercial application (FREITAS; TORRES; REIS, 2017; SEVIOUR et al., 2011).

Considering that levan is an extracellular polysaccharide, the first downstream step consists in biomass removal from the culture media. The standardized unit operations appropriated to cell removal are: filtration, microfiltration and centrifugation, the first two relying on particle size differences and the last one on the density difference from the mix components (ARVIDSON; RINEHART; GADALA-MARIA, 2006; DORAN, 2012). The liquid fraction containing the product of interest proceed to the following purification steps. Biomass can be recycled back to the process or directly disposed (DORAN, 2012).

After biomass separation, the polysaccharide is precipitated by a water miscible solvent in which the product is insoluble (FREITAS; ALVES; REIS, 2011). According to Tomulescu et al. (2016), levan is insoluble in organic solvents such as methanol, ketone, ethanol, n-propanol, methylketone, isopropanol, ethyl lactate and toluene. Currently, precipitation is a challenge to economic viability of the process, because the solvent:levan ratio requires excessive expenses with solvent. The most used solvent for precipitation of levan is ethanol and the necessary amount of this solvent follows a ratio of 3 or 4:1 (ethanol:levan) (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016). Therefore, the possibility of recovering ethanol from the process is being investigated and could lower down the budget of the process.

Ultrafiltration or dialysis are needed for purification of levan. Ultrafiltration allows the separation on nanometric components and, because it is very selective for particle size, it results in a high purity degree for the final product. Contaminants can also be removed by dialysis, in which particles are separated by a diffusion membrane in concentration gradients (TERMIZI, 2006). Although these two methods are presented as efficient for levan purification, when it comes to industrial scale, the challenge relies on the viability of big size equipment's for the processes (ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016; SHIH et al., 2005, 2011)6.

After purification step, levan can go through a drying step. The choice of the drying method requires evaluation of costs and parameters of the process. As showed in Table 3, despite the advantage of utilizing low temperatures, since the process is based on sublimation of the sample in temperatures below 0 and with low pressure, avoiding thermal degradation of the polysaccharide, the energetic demand and the lack of homogeneity in high volumes make the drying process inviable to be applied to normal industrial volumes (PHARMACEUTICAL TECHNOLOGY EUROPE, 2010). Other methods can be studied in order to turn the levan drying step possible, as shown in Table 3.

	Principle	Advantages	Disadvantages
Lyophilization	Freezing and sublimation (from gas to solid) of the product in pressure and temperature lower than the triple point (AGRAWAL et al., 2016).	Minimal degradation of the product (AGRAWAL et al., 2016).	High energetic waste, lack of homogeneity in large volumes (PHARMACEUTICAL TECHNOLOGY EUROPE, 2010).
Spray Drying	Transformation of fluid into powder, by means of atomization by a hot gas flow (SOSNIK, SEREMETA, 2015).	Quick method and good control of the particles size (SOSNIK, SEREMETA, 2015).	High energetic waste, high maintenance cost and need for a carrier compound (EHOW UK, 2017; ÖNER; HERNÁNDEZ; COMBIE, 2016)
Oven	Removal of water by heating (CECCHI, 2003).	Relatively quick method (ANIL, KIM, VENKANTESAN, 2017).	Decomposition of the polysaccharide structure and hardening of its surface (ANIL, KIM, VENKANTESAN, 2017).

Table 3. Characteristics of some drying methods for polysaccharides.

Source: Authors (2018).

5 | ADHESIVES

5.1 Synthetical adhesives

The adhesive and sealants market represented, worldwide, a total of 49,50 billion dollars in 2016 (MARKETS AND MARKETS, 2017). The estimated worldwide consumption of adhesives is close to 14 million tons per year (CERESANA, 2017). These materials can be applied into many types of industry, as example of paper and pulp, building, wood, transportation, health and cosmetical, consumer goods and others (MARKETS AND MARKETS, 2017). According to market analysts, it is a growing sector, with expectations to have a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) close to 4-5% in 2016-2022 period and, according to Grace Matthews director in an interview to Coatings World magazine, the share of adhesives reaches up to 90%, while only 10% represents sealants, which differ from adhesives for being applied to simply seal one surface, while adhesives glue two surfaces

(COATINGS WORLD, 2016; TECHNAVIO, 2016; MARKETS AND MARKETS, 2017).

The employed technology for adhesive synthesis can vary between synthesis with water, with solvent, hot-melted or with reactive substances (MARKETS AND MARKETS, 2017). The water-based one is starting to gain space and replacing the solvent-based technologies, a tendency observed because of the concern of using more eco-friendly raw material and because they emit none or lesser amounts of VOCs (Volatile Organic Compounds) (TECHNAVIO, 2016; HENKEL). Moreover, most of the commercialized adhesive derive from non-renewable raw material, like polyvinyl acetate, which dominates de market, epoxy, polyurethane, formol formaldehyde and urea formaldehyde, all coming from petrochemical sources (PATEL; MATHIAS; MICHAUD, 2013). In this context, the demand for bioadhesives is emerging since products that don't damage the environment are gaining space and people are becoming aware about the adverse effects of some synthetic materials (NASDAQ, 2018; TRANSPARENCY MARKET SHARE, 2018).

5.2 Bioadhesives

Biopolymers can have properties useful for the developing of bioadhesives, because some have a high adhesion strength. Also, these materials meet the market tendencies, in a way that they not only reduce the use of VOCs and toxic compounds and of petrochemical sources, but also that their products cause less environmental impact (SMITH; CALLOW, 2006). For these reasons, a growth in the use of these substances is expected in the bioadhesives market, with a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) close to 13%, considering the period of 2017-2022. A value close to 3 billion American dollars is expected to represent this segment in 2022 (RESEARCH AND MARKETS, 2017).

The most expressive markets for bioadhesives are paper and packaging, health, construction and personal care industries, highlighting the health sector, which has invested substantially in research and development for the fabrication of these products (GRAND VIEW RESEARCH, 2016).

Nowadays, bioadhesives from plants and animals are utilized, with plants being the most common ones. The main raw material derived from plants are starch, soy and corn, while the main ones derived from animals are bones, skin, hides, leather and other parts rich in collagen (GRAND VIEW RESEARCH, 2018). As an example of vegetable material, starch bioadhesive can be cited, which is a biodegradable, atoxic and of easy access material. Starch is capable of suffering alterations in its structure, such as gelatinization and crosslinking, giving it competitive adhesive properties when compared to synthetical adhesives, like the urea formaldehyde ones, largely utilized in wood products (ZHAO et al., 2018). Another example is the chitosan bioadhesive, material that comes from the exoskeleton of Crustacea. Its adhesive property has been proved, as well as antibacterial and hemostatic properties, being interesting for applications in wounds and burns, replacing the ones currently used for the same purpose, like cyanoacrilates, that present certain

toxicity (HAAG, 2006; LU et al., 2018).

Adhesives are used in medical applications as implants, tissue glue, healing agents of wounds and in the fabrication of materials and equipments (GRAND VIEW RESEARCH, 2017). Through this branch, various requirements must be attended. Biocompatibility, non-toxicity, adhesion to organic and inorganic structures, compatibility with sterilization methods, non-inflammable and antimicrobial characteristics are essential for the success of the products (JEREMY COOLER, 2011).

Usually, conventional adhesives are not efficient to medical applications, especially the ones destined for internal use. This is due to the liberation of toxic products that compose these adhesives (BLOOD WEEKLY, 2013) and to biological incompatibility. Bioadhesives represent an alternative to overcome these types of problems, therefore, their investment among the health industry grows substantially, aiming their application in cirurgical instruments, curatives and equipments that may be in contact with the skin. The replacement of sutures for bioadhesives represents a major potential application, because it can lower the chance of infection and contamination, it is easier to handle when comparing to the synthetical threads and there is no need to remove the bioadhesive after closing the woung, as the material is biodegradable (HAAG, 2006). Additionally, some biopolymers, as is the case with levan, also present healing, anti-inflammatory and antibacterial properties (SEDGWICK et al., 1984; STURZOIU et al., 2011; GOMES et al., 2018; GRAND VIEW RESEARCH, 2018; XU et al., 2018).

When it comes to replacement of sutures, sulfated levan showed itself to be capable of elevate the resistance of chitosan and alginate films, besides elevating their adhesion strength (STURZOIU et al., 2011). *In vitro* studies of the proliferation of muscle cells adhered to the chitosan-alginate-levan film showed that the cells keep multiplying while bound to the film, proving its non-toxicity and good adhesion. This application shows one potential for utilization of biopolymers, such as levan, while also point a solution against the limitation of the resources currently applied in the medical environment (GOMES et al., 2018).

6 | CONCLUSION AND UPCOMING PERSPECTIVES

Levan presents promising biochemical characteristics for application in a wide range of industrial sectors. However, only a few researches and applications present this substance as a main component of their formula or study object. Despite that some scientific workpapers point to levan's anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties, these properties still need to be proved through clinical tests before the wide exploration of the biopolymer in medical applications. A challenge is also the dissemination of a process capable of overcoming the difficulties currently encountered in the large-scale production of levan.

REFERENCES

- ADAMBERG, K. et al. Levan enhances associated growth of *Bacteroides*, *Escherichia*, *Streptococcus* and *Faecalibacterium* in fecal microbiota. **PLoS ONE**, v. 10, n. 12, p. 1–18, 2015.
- AGRAWAL, M. et al. (Ed.). Lyophilization Pushing the Limits for Pharmaceutical Biotechnology Products. **Vacuum Technology & Coating**, v. 17, p. 27-29, 2016
- ANIL, S.; KIM, S.; VENKANTESAN, J. (Ed.). **Seaweed Polysaccharides: Isolation, Biological and Biomedical Applications**. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2017.
- ARVIDSON, S. A.; RINEHART, B. T.; GADALA-MARIA, F. Concentration regimes of solutions of levan polysaccharide from *Bacillus* sp. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 65, n. 2, p. 144–149, 2006.
- BLOOD WEEKLY. Patent Issued for Oxidized Cationic Polysaccharide-Based Polymer Tissue Adhesive for Medical Use. **Academic OneFile**, 2013. Disponível em: <<http://link.galegroup.com/apps/doc/A329213834/AONE?u=capes&sid=AONE&xid=2cba0d7d>>. Acesso em: 17 mar. 2018.
- BOOTEN, K.; DE SOETE J.; FRIPPIAT, A. **Fructan- and/or polydextrose-containing dairy powders, process for preparing same and their use**. EP n. 0821885A1, 31 jul. 1996, 4 fev.1998.
- CAPUTI, L. et al. Biomolecular characterization of the levansucrase of *Erwinia amylovora*, a promising biocatalyst for the synthesis of fructooligosaccharides. **Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry**, v. 61, n. 50, p. 12265–12273, 2013.
- CECCHI, M. H. Fundamentos teóricos e práticos em análise de alimentos. 2 ed. São Paulo: UNICAMP, 2003.
- CERESANA. **Market Study: Adhesives - World**. 3rd ed. 2017. Disponível em: <<https://www.ceresana.com/en/market-studies/industry/adhesives-world/ceresana-market-study-adhesives-world.html>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2018.
- CHOI, H. J. et al. Lactosucrose bioconversion from lactose and sucrose by whole cells of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* harboring levansucrase activity. **Biotechnology Progress**, v. 20, n. 6, p. 1876–1879, 2004.
- CORDERO, M.L.B. **Confectionery composition**. GB n. 2430344B, 6 ago. 2005, 13 out. 2010.
- COOLER, J. Advanced Adhesives for Medical Applications. **Medical Design Technology**, 2011. Disponível em: <<https://www.mdtnmag.com/article/2011/08/advanced-adhesives-medical-applications>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2018.
- CRUTZ, A. M. et al. Induction of levansucrase in *Bacillus subtilis*: An antitermination mechanism negatively controlled by the phosphotransferase system. **Journal of Bacteriology**, v. 172, n. 2, p. 1043–1050, 1990.
- DOGSA, I. et al. Exopolymer Diversity and the Role of Levan in *Bacillus subtilis* Biofilms. **PLoS ONE**, v. 8, n. 4, p. 2–11, 2013.
- DORAN, P. M. **Bioprocess engineering principles**, 2. ed. New York: Academic Press, 2012. 450 p.
- EHOW UK. Advantages & Disadvantages of Spray Drying, 2017. Disponível em: <http://www.ehow.co.uk/info_8666922_advantages-disadvantages-spray-drying.html>. Acesso em: 17 jun. 2018.

FRANKEN, J. et al. Biosynthesis of Levan, a Bacterial Extracellular Polysaccharide, in the Yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **PLoS ONE**, v. 8, n. 10, p. 1–14, 2013.

FREITAS, F.; ALVES, V. D.; REIS, M. A. M. Advances in bacterial exopolysaccharides: From production to biotechnological applications. **Trends in Biotechnology**, v. 29, n. 8, p. 388–398, 2011.

FREITAS, F.; ALVES, V. D.; REIS, M. A. M., Bacterial Polysaccharides: Production and Applications in Cosmetic Industry. **Polysaccharides**, [s.l.], p.1-24, 2014.

FREITAS, F.; TORRES, C. A. V.; REIS, M. A. M. Engineering aspects of microbial exopolysaccharide production. **Bioresource Technology**, v. 245, p. 1674–1683, 2017.

GRAND VIEW RESEARCH. Bioadhesives Market Analysis by Source (Plant Based & Animal based), By Application (Packaging & Paper, Construction, Wood, Personal Care, Medical) And Segment Forecasts To 2022. **Market Research Report**, 2016. Disponível em: <<https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/bioadhesives-market>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2018.

GOMES, T. D. et al. Acta Biomaterialia Adhesive free-standing multilayer films containing sulfated levan for biomedical applications. **Acta Biomaterialia**, p. 1–13, 2018.

GU, Y. et al. Improvement of levan production in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* through metabolic optimization of regulatory elements. **Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology**, v. 101, n. 10, p. 4163–4174, 2017.

GUPTA, S. K. et al. Microbial levan, an ideal prebiotic and immunonutrient in aquaculture. **World Aquaculture**, n. January, p. 61–64, 2011.

HAAG, A.P.; SMITH, M. A. (Ed.); CALLOW, J. A. (Ed.). Mechanical Properties of Bacterial Exopolymeric Adhesives and their Commercial Development. **Biological Adhesives**. 2006.

HAN, Y. W.; WATSON, M. A. Production of microbial levan from sucrose, sugarcane juice and beet molasses. **Journal of Industrial Microbiology**, v. 9, n. 3–4, p. 257–260, 1992.

HENKEL ADHESIVE MALAYASIA. **Water Based Adhesives**. Disponível em: <<http://www.loctite.sg/water-based-adhesives-3367.htm>>. Acesso em: 20 fev. 2018.

HOMANN, A.; BIEDENDIECK, R.; GÖTZE, S.; JAHN, D.; SEIBEL, J.; Insights into polymer versus oligosaccharide synthesis: mutagenesis and mechanistic studies of a novel levansucrase from *Bacillus megaterium*. **Biochemical Journal**, v. 407, p. 189–198, 2007.

INTHANA VONG, L. et al. Properties of *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* levansucrase as potential biocatalyst for the synthesis of levan and fructooligosaccharides. **Biotechnology Progress**, v. 29, n. 6, p. 1405–1415, 2013.

JAKOB, F. et al. Structural analysis of fructans produced by acetic acid bacteria reveals a relation to hydrocolloid function. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 92, n. 2, p. 1234–1242, 2013.

KIM, C.H., et al. Cosmeceutical properties of levan produced by *Zymomonas mobilis*. **Journal of Cosmetic Science**, v. 56, p. 395–406, 2005.

KIM, et al. Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology. **Beta-fructosyl-L-ascorbic acid and the preparation method thereof**. WO n. 2005026183A1, 24 mar. 2005, 26 abr. 2011.

KUMAR, S.; SHAH, A. K. Characterization of an adhesive molecule from *Bacillus megaterium* ADE-0-1. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 117, p. 543–548, 2015.

LEI, Z. **Cigarette holder adhesive and preparation method thereof**. CN n. 104059560A. 6 nov. 2014, 25 mai. 2016.

- LEITE, A.J., et al. Bioadhesive and bioactive methacrylated nanocomposite levan-based beads for bone tissue applications. In: 2nd International Conference on Biological and Biomimetic Adhesives, 2014, Istanbul. **Congress Book**. Istanbul: Marmara University, 2014. p. 85
- LI, J.; KIM, I. H. Effects of levan-type fructan supplementation on growth performance, digestibility, blood profile, fecal microbiota, and immune responses after lipopolysaccharide challenge in growing pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v. 91, n. 11, p. 5336–5343, 2013.
- LI, Y.; TRICCAS, J. A.; FERENCI, T. A novel levansucrase – levanase gene cluster in *Bacillus*. p. 203–208, 2006.
- LU, M. et al. Fabrication of photo-crosslinkable glycol chitosan hydrogel as a tissue adhesive. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 181, n. November 2017, p. 668–674, 2018.
- MADDURI, A. et al. **Enhanced binders for iron ore pelleting and cement adhesive materials**. WO n. 2017223082A1. 20 jul. 2016, 28 dez. 2017.
- MARKETS AND MARKETS. Adhesives & Sealants Market by Technology (Water-based, Solvent-based, Hot-Melt, Reactive), Chemistry Type (PAE, PVA, VAE, EVA, SBS, Synthetic Rubber, Polyamide, Polyurethane, Epoxy, Cyanoacrylate), Application, and Region - Global Forecasts to 2021. 2017. Disponível em: <<https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/adhesive-sealants-market-421.html>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2018.
- MASAYO, F., TAKAYUKI, T. **Beautifully whitening agent**. JP n. 2006052146A, 28 fev. 2006, 5 set. 2007.
- MÉNDEZ-LORENZO, L., et al. Intrinsic levanase activity of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 levansucrase (SacB). **PLoS ONE**, v. 10, n. 11, p. 1–15, 2015.
- MENÉNDEZ, C. et al. Transcriptional regulation and signal-peptide-dependent secretion of exolevanase (LsdB) in the endophyte *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, v. 75, n. 6, p. 1782–1785, 2009.
- MONTANA POLYSACCHARIDES CORP. **Your source of levan**. Disponível em: <http://www.polysaccharides.us/aboutlevan_safety.php>. Acesso em: 19 mar. 2018.
- OH, J.S. et. al. The anti-obesity effects of the dietary combination of fermented red ginseng with levan in high fat diet mouse model. **Phytotherapy Research**. v. 28, p. 617–622, 2014.
- ÖNER, E. T.; HERNÁNDEZ, L.; COMBIE, J. Review of Levan polysaccharide: From a century of past experiences to future prospects. **Biotechnology Advances**, v. 34, n. 5, p. 827–844, 2016.
- OZIMEK, L. K. et al. The levansucrase and inulosucrase enzymes of *Lactobacillus reuteri* 121 catalyze processive and non-processive transglycosylation reactions. **Microbiology**, v. 152, n. 4, p. 1187–1196, 2006.
- PATEL, A. K.; MATHIAS, J.-D.; MICHAUD, P. Polysaccharides as Adhesives. **Reviews of Adhesion and Adhesives**, v. 1, n. 3, p. 312–345, 2013.
- PHARMACEUTICAL TECHNOLOGY EUROPE. Designing an effective PAT-Driven scale-up of lyophilization processes. Disponível em: <<http://www.pharmtech.com/designing-effective-pat-driven-scale-lyophilization-processes>>. Acesso em: 18 jun. 2018.
- PIANOFORTE, K (Ed.). Adhesives and Sealants Market. **Andrew Hinz**: entrevista [set. 2016]. Entrevista concedida à **Coatings World**, 2016. Disponível em: <https://www.coatingsworld.com/issues/2016-11-01/view_features/adhesives-and-sealants-market>. Acesso em: 18/03/2018.

RAHN. **Cosmetics: Proteolea**[®]. Disponível em: <<https://www.rahn-group.com/en/cosmetics/product/17/>>. Acesso em: 17 abr. 2018.

RAHN. **Cosmetics: Slimexil**[®]. Disponível em: <<https://www.rahn-group.com/en/cosmetics/product/21/>>. Acesso em: 17 abr. 2018.

RESEARCH AND MARKETS. Bioadhesive Market: Global Industry Trends, Share, Size, Growth, Opportunity and Forecast 2017-2022. 2017. Disponível em: <<https://www.researchandmarkets.com/research/35kvr/bioadhesive>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2018.

RUNYON, J. R. et al. Characterizing changes in levan physicochemical properties in different pH environments using asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation. **Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry**, v. 406, n. 6, p. 1597–1605, 2014.

SEDGWICK, A. D. et al. The effects of levan on the acute inflammatory response. v. 65, n. 2, p. 215–222, 1984.

SEVIOUR, R. J. et al. Operating bioreactors for microbial exopolysaccharide production. **Critical Reviews in Biotechnology**, v. 31, n. 2, p. 170–185, 2011.

SHIH, I. L. et al. Selective production and characterization of levan by *Bacillus subtilis* (Natto) Takahashi. **Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry**, v. 53, n. 21, p. 8211–8215, 2005.

SHIH, I. L. et al. Sequential production of two biopolymers-levan and poly- ϵ -lysine by microbial fermentation. **Bioresource Technology**, v. 102, n. 4, p. 3966–3969, 2011.

SHULER, M. L.; KARGI, F. **Bioprocess Engineering Basic Concepts**. 2nd Ed. New York, 2001.

SOSNIK, A.; SEREMETA, K.P. Advantages and challenges of the spray-drying technology for the production of pure drug particles and drug-loaded polymeric carriers. **Advances in Colloid and Interface Sciences**, v. 223, p. 40–54, 2015.

SRIKANTH, R. et al. Review on production, characterization and applications of microbial levan. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 120, p. 102–114, 2015.

STURZIOU, C. et al. *Zymomonas mobilis* Levan is Involved in Metalloproteinases Activation in Healing of Wounded and Burned Tissues. **Scientific Papers: Animal Science and Biotechnologies**, v. 44, n. 1, p. 453–458, 2011.

TECHNAVIO. Global Adhesives and Sealants Market 2017-2021. 2017. Disponível em: <<https://www.technavio.com/report/global-adhesives-and-sealants-market>>. Acesso em: 20 mar. 2018.

TERMIZI, M. F. A. The effect of cleaning ultrafiltration membrane using different concentration of NaOH. Faculty of Chemical & Natural Resources Engineering, University Malaysia Pahang, 2006.

TRANSPARENCY MARKET RESEARCH. Bioadhesive Market (Source - Plant-based and Animal-based; End User - Paper and Packaging, Construction, Wood Works and Furniture, Medical, and Personal Care) - Global Industry Analysis, Size, Share, Growth, Trends, and Forecast 2016-2024. 2016. Disponível em: <<https://www.transparencymarketresearch.com/bioadhesives-market.html>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2018.

TOMULESCU, C. et al. Levan - a Mini Review. **Scientific Bulletin. Series F. Biotechnologies**, v. XX, p. 309–320, 2016.

VAN HIJUM, S. A. F. T. Biochemical and molecular characterization of a levansucrase from *Lactobacillus reuteri*. **Microbiology**, v. 150, n. 3, p. 621–630, 2004.

WALDHERR, F. W.; MEISSNER, D.; VOGEL, R. F. Genetic and functional characterization of

Lactobacillus panis levansucrase. **Archives of Microbiology**, v. 190, n. 4, p. 497–505, 2008.

WU, F. C.; CHOU, S. Z.; SHIH, I. L. Factors affecting the production and molecular weight of levan of *Bacillus subtilis* natto in batch and fed-batch culture in fermenter. **Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**, v. 44, n. 6, p. 846–853, 2013.

XIAO, M., FENG, F., LU, L. **Preparation method of levan-contained yogurt**. CN n. 103190478B. 9 abr. 2013, 23 jul. 2014.

YAMAMOTO, Y. et al. In vitro digestibility and fermentability of levan and its hypocholesterolemic effects in rats. **Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry**, v. 10, n. 1, p. 13–18, 1999.

XU, Y. et al. Chitin nanocrystal enhanced wet adhesion performance of mussel-inspired citrate-based soft-tissue adhesive. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 190, n. December 2017, p. 324–330, 2018.

ZHAO, X. et al. Environment-friendly urea-oxidized starch adhesive with zero. **Carbohydrate Polymers**, v. 181, n. November 2017, p. 1112–1118, 2018.

ÍNDICE REMISSIVO

A

Analfabetismo botânico 206

Animais domésticos 125, 140, 141

Antioxidante 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 22, 29, 31, 32, 34, 42, 43

Apoptose 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 153, 164

Atividade farmacológica 26

Atividade pecuária 61

Autofagia 10, 15, 19

B

Bactérias 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 26, 31, 33, 41, 46, 47, 51, 52, 54, 63, 64, 68, 69, 74, 75, 116, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 170, 172, 174

Biodiversidade 28, 104, 105, 169, 170, 174, 176, 202, 217, 227

C

Câncer 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 32, 33

Células cancerígenas 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24

Citometria 58, 61, 63, 66, 74, 81, 159

Conservação de alimentos 2, 3, 7

Covid-19 127, 138, 219, 220, 223, 224, 225

Cropoparasitologia 140

Cultura de células 152

D

Demodicose canina 115, 117, 123

Deriva natural 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 185

Dermatologia veterinária 115

Divulgação científica 219, 222, 223, 225

E

Eletroforese 65, 70, 72, 73, 76

Endoparasitas 141

Ensaio animal 152

Ensino de biologia 226

Ensino remoto 219, 224

Enteroparasitoses 147, 148, 149, 150

Escabiose canina 115, 118, 122

F

Farmacologia 36, 38

Faveira 169, 170, 171

Fibroblastos 152, 153, 156, 157, 162, 164

Fitoterapia 36, 38, 152

Florestas naturais 170, 171

Florestas plantadas 170, 171

FORAGEIO 98, 102, 103

Fungos 12, 26, 31, 33, 47, 48, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138

G

Genética 45, 57, 116, 117, 145, 152, 171, 217, 219, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225

H

Helmintos 141, 146, 147, 149, 151

I

Indústria alimentícia 2

J

Jogo didático 205, 207, 208, 209, 214, 216, 217, 218

M

Meliponíneos 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 105

Mercado consumidor 2

Micélio 124, 125, 131, 133

Micoses 127

Microbiologia 45, 55, 58, 59, 63, 82, 139, 144, 145, 227

Microrganismos 1, 2, 3, 26, 27, 29, 32, 47, 55, 61, 127, 130, 139, 169, 170, 172, 173, 174

N

Necroptose 10, 21, 22, 24

Nidificação 98, 100, 101, 102, 103, 105, 106

P

Passeriformes 177, 178, 180, 182, 184

Produtos naturais 26, 27, 31

Própolis 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 102

Proteínas 10, 15, 19, 22, 32, 60, 64, 65, 70, 75, 76, 144, 161, 163

Protozoários 31, 141, 142, 147, 149

Q

Qualidade microbiológica 45, 46, 55, 56, 57

Quercetina 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27

S

Saúde pública 2, 10, 46, 78, 139, 147, 148, 150, 189

Segurança alimentar 45

Sequestro de carbono 200




Serviços ambientais 200, 201, 203

Z

Zoonose 115, 117






PRODUÇÃO CIENTÍFICA EM CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS

-  www.atenaeditora.com.br
-  contato@atenaeditora.com.br
-  [@atenaeditora](https://www.instagram.com/atenaeditora)
-  www.facebook.com/atenaeditora.com.br



PRODUÇÃO CIENTÍFICA EM CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS

-  www.atenaeditora.com.br
-  contato@atenaeditora.com.br
-  [@atenaeditora](https://www.instagram.com/atenaeditora)
-  www.facebook.com/atenaeditora.com.br