

Américo Junior Nunes da Silva
André Ricardo Luca Vieira
(Organizadores)



Incompletudes e Contradições para os Avanços da Pesquisa em Matemática 3

Américo Junior Nunes da Silva
André Ricardo Luca Vieira
(Organizadores)



Incompletudes e Contradições para os Avanços da Pesquisa em Matemática 3

Editora Chefe

Profª Drª Antonella Carvalho de Oliveira

Assistentes Editoriais

Natalia Oliveira

Bruno Oliveira

Flávia Roberta Barão

Bibliotecária

Janaina Ramos

Projeto Gráfico e Diagramação

Natália Sandrini de Azevedo

Camila Alves de Cremona

Luiza Alves Batista

Maria Alice Pinheiro

Imagens da Capa

Shutterstock

Edição de Arte

Luiza Alves Batista

Revisão

Os Autores

2021 by Atena Editora

Copyright © Atena Editora

Copyright do Texto © 2021 Os autores

Copyright da Edição © 2021 Atena Editora

Direitos para esta edição cedidos à Atena Editora pelos autores.



Todo o conteúdo deste livro está licenciado sob uma Licença de Atribuição *Creative Commons*. Atribuição-Não-Comercial-NãoDerivativos 4.0 Internacional (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

O conteúdo dos artigos e seus dados em sua forma, correção e confiabilidade são de responsabilidade exclusiva dos autores, inclusive não representam necessariamente a posição oficial da Atena Editora. Permitido o *download* da obra e o compartilhamento desde que sejam atribuídos créditos aos autores, mas sem a possibilidade de alterá-la de nenhuma forma ou utilizá-la para fins comerciais.

Todos os manuscritos foram previamente submetidos à avaliação cega pelos pares, membros do Conselho Editorial desta Editora, tendo sido aprovados para a publicação com base em critérios de neutralidade e imparcialidade acadêmica.

A Atena Editora é comprometida em garantir a integridade editorial em todas as etapas do processo de publicação, evitando plágio, dados ou resultados fraudulentos e impedindo que interesses financeiros comprometam os padrões éticos da publicação. Situações suspeitas de má conduta científica serão investigadas sob o mais alto padrão de rigor acadêmico e ético.

Conselho Editorial

Ciências Humanas e Sociais Aplicadas

Prof. Dr. Alexandre Jose Schumacher – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Paraná

Prof. Dr. Américo Junior Nunes da Silva – Universidade do Estado da Bahia

Prof. Dr. Antonio Carlos Frasson – Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná

Prof. Dr. Antonio Gasparetto Júnior – Instituto Federal do Sudeste de Minas Gerais
Prof. Dr. Antonio Isidro-Filho – Universidade de Brasília
Prof. Dr. Carlos Antonio de Souza Moraes – Universidade Federal Fluminense
Prof. Dr. Crisóstomo Lima do Nascimento – Universidade Federal Fluminense
Prof^ª Dr^ª Cristina Gaio – Universidade de Lisboa
Prof. Dr. Daniel Richard Sant’Ana – Universidade de Brasília
Prof. Dr. Deyvison de Lima Oliveira – Universidade Federal de Rondônia
Prof^ª Dr^ª Dilma Antunes Silva – Universidade Federal de São Paulo
Prof. Dr. Edvaldo Antunes de Farias – Universidade Estácio de Sá
Prof. Dr. Elson Ferreira Costa – Universidade do Estado do Pará
Prof. Dr. Eloi Martins Senhora – Universidade Federal de Roraima
Prof. Dr. Gustavo Henrique Cepolini Ferreira – Universidade Estadual de Montes Claros
Prof^ª Dr^ª Ivone Goulart Lopes – Instituto Internazionele delle Figlie de Maria Ausiliatrice
Prof. Dr. Jadson Correia de Oliveira – Universidade Católica do Salvador
Prof. Dr. Julio Candido de Meirelles Junior – Universidade Federal Fluminense
Prof^ª Dr^ª Lina Maria Gonçalves – Universidade Federal do Tocantins
Prof. Dr. Luis Ricardo Fernandes da Costa – Universidade Estadual de Montes Claros
Prof^ª Dr^ª Natiéli Piovesan – Instituto Federal do Rio Grande do Norte
Prof. Dr. Marcelo Pereira da Silva – Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas
Prof^ª Dr^ª Maria Luzia da Silva Santana – Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul
Prof^ª Dr^ª Paola Andressa Scortegagna – Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa
Prof^ª Dr^ª Rita de Cássia da Silva Oliveira – Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa
Prof. Dr. Rui Maia Diamantino – Universidade Salvador
Prof. Dr. Urandi João Rodrigues Junior – Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará
Prof^ª Dr^ª Vanessa Bordin Viera – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Prof. Dr. William Cleber Domingues Silva – Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro
Prof. Dr. Willian Douglas Guilherme – Universidade Federal do Tocantins

Ciências Agrárias e Multidisciplinar

Prof. Dr. Alexandre Igor Azevedo Pereira – Instituto Federal Goiano
Prof^ª Dr^ª Carla Cristina Bauermann Brasil – Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Prof. Dr. Antonio Pasqualetto – Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Goiás
Prof. Dr. Cleberton Correia Santos – Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados
Prof^ª Dr^ª Daiane Garabeli Trojan – Universidade Norte do Paraná
Prof^ª Dr^ª Diocléa Almeida Seabra Silva – Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia
Prof. Dr. Écio Souza Diniz – Universidade Federal de Viçosa
Prof. Dr. Fábio Steiner – Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul
Prof. Dr. Fágner Cavalcante Patrocínio dos Santos – Universidade Federal do Ceará
Prof^ª Dr^ª Girlene Santos de Souza – Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia
Prof. Dr. Jael Soares Batista – Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido
Prof. Dr. Júlio César Ribeiro – Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro
Prof^ª Dr^ª Lina Raquel Santos Araújo – Universidade Estadual do Ceará
Prof. Dr. Pedro Manuel Villa – Universidade Federal de Viçosa
Prof^ª Dr^ª Raissa Rachel Salustriano da Silva Matos – Universidade Federal do Maranhão
Prof. Dr. Ronilson Freitas de Souza – Universidade do Estado do Pará
Prof^ª Dr^ª Talita de Santos Matos – Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro
Prof. Dr. Tiago da Silva Teófilo – Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido

Prof. Dr. Valdemar Antonio Paffaro Junior – Universidade Federal de Alfenas

Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde

Prof. Dr. André Ribeiro da Silva – Universidade de Brasília

Prof^ª Dr^ª Anelise Levay Murari – Universidade Federal de Pelotas

Prof. Dr. Benedito Rodrigues da Silva Neto – Universidade Federal de Goiás

Prof^ª Dr^ª Débora Luana Ribeiro Pessoa – Universidade Federal do Maranhão

Prof. Dr. Douglas Siqueira de Almeida Chaves – Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro

Prof. Dr. Edson da Silva – Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri

Prof^ª Dr^ª Elizabeth Cordeiro Fernandes – Faculdade Integrada Medicina

Prof^ª Dr^ª Eleuza Rodrigues Machado – Faculdade Anhanguera de Brasília

Prof^ª Dr^ª Elane Schwinden Prudêncio – Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina

Prof^ª Dr^ª Eysler Gonçalves Maia Brasil – Universidade da Integração Internacional da Lusofonia Afro-Brasileira

Prof. Dr. Ferlando Lima Santos – Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia

Prof. Dr. Fernando Mendes – Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra – Escola Superior de Saúde de Coimbra

Prof^ª Dr^ª Gabriela Vieira do Amaral – Universidade de Vassouras

Prof. Dr. Gianfábio Pimentel Franco – Universidade Federal de Santa Maria

Prof. Dr. Helio Franklin Rodrigues de Almeida – Universidade Federal de Rondônia

Prof^ª Dr^ª Iara Lúcia Tescarollo – Universidade São Francisco

Prof. Dr. Igor Luiz Vieira de Lima Santos – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande

Prof. Dr. Jefferson Thiago Souza – Universidade Estadual do Ceará

Prof. Dr. Jesus Rodrigues Lemos – Universidade Federal do Piauí

Prof. Dr. Jônatas de França Barros – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte

Prof. Dr. José Max Barbosa de Oliveira Junior – Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará

Prof. Dr. Luís Paulo Souza e Souza – Universidade Federal do Amazonas

Prof^ª Dr^ª Magnólia de Araújo Campos – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande

Prof. Dr. Marcus Fernando da Silva Praxedes – Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia

Prof^ª Dr^ª Maria Tatiane Gonçalves Sá – Universidade do Estado do Pará

Prof^ª Dr^ª Mylena Andréa Oliveira Torres – Universidade Ceuma

Prof^ª Dr^ª Natiéli Piovesan – Instituto Federaci do Rio Grande do Norte

Prof. Dr. Paulo Inada – Universidade Estadual de Maringá

Prof. Dr. Rafael Henrique Silva – Hospital Universitário da Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados

Prof^ª Dr^ª Regiane Luz Carvalho – Centro Universitário das Faculdades Associadas de Ensino

Prof^ª Dr^ª Renata Mendes de Freitas – Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora

Prof^ª Dr^ª Vanessa Lima Gonçalves – Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa

Prof^ª Dr^ª Vanessa Bordin Viera – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande

Ciências Exatas e da Terra e Engenharias

Prof. Dr. Adélio Alcino Sampaio Castro Machado – Universidade do Porto

Prof. Dr. Carlos Eduardo Sanches de Andrade – Universidade Federal de Goiás

Prof^ª Dr^ª Carmen Lúcia Voigt – Universidade Norte do Paraná

Prof. Dr. Cleiseano Emanuel da Silva Paniagua – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de Goiás

Prof. Dr. Douglas Gonçalves da Silva – Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia

Prof. Dr. Eloi Rufato Junior – Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná
Prof^ª Dr^ª Érica de Melo Azevedo – Instituto Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Prof. Dr. Fabrício Menezes Ramos – Instituto Federal do Pará
Prof^ª Dra. Jéssica Verger Nardeli – Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho
Prof. Dr. Juliano Carlo Rufino de Freitas – Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Prof^ª Dr^ª Luciana do Nascimento Mendes – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Rio Grande do Norte
Prof. Dr. Marcelo Marques – Universidade Estadual de Maringá
Prof. Dr. Marco Aurélio Kistemann Junior – Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora
Prof^ª Dr^ª Neiva Maria de Almeida – Universidade Federal da Paraíba
Prof^ª Dr^ª Natiéli Piovesan – Instituto Federal do Rio Grande do Norte
Prof^ª Dr^ª Priscila Tessmer Scaglioni – Universidade Federal de Pelotas
Prof. Dr. Takeshy Tachizawa – Faculdade de Campo Limpo Paulista

Linguística, Letras e Artes

Prof^ª Dr^ª Adriana Demite Stephani – Universidade Federal do Tocantins
Prof^ª Dr^ª Angeli Rose do Nascimento – Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro
Prof^ª Dr^ª Carolina Fernandes da Silva Mandaji – Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná
Prof^ª Dr^ª Denise Rocha – Universidade Federal do Ceará
Prof. Dr. Fabiano Tadeu Grazioli – Universidade Regional Integrada do Alto Uruguai e das Missões
Prof. Dr. Gilmei Fleck – Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná
Prof^ª Dr^ª Keyla Christina Almeida Portela – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Paraná
Prof^ª Dr^ª Miranilde Oliveira Neves – Instituto de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Pará
Prof^ª Dr^ª Sandra Regina Gardacho Pietrobon – Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste
Prof^ª Dr^ª Sheila Marta Carregosa Rocha – Universidade do Estado da Bahia

Conselho Técnico Científico

Prof. Me. Abrãao Carvalho Nogueira – Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo
Prof. Me. Adalberto Zorzo – Centro Estadual de Educação Tecnológica Paula Souza
Prof. Dr. Adaylson Wagner Sousa de Vasconcelos – Ordem dos Advogados do Brasil/Seccional Paraíba
Prof. Dr. Adilson Tadeu Basquerote Silva – Universidade para o Desenvolvimento do Alto Vale do Itajaí
Prof. Dr. Alex Luis dos Santos – Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Prof. Me. Aleksandro Teixeira Ribeiro – Centro Universitário Internacional
Prof^ª Ma. Aline Ferreira Antunes – Universidade Federal de Goiás
Prof. Me. André Flávio Gonçalves Silva – Universidade Federal do Maranhão
Prof^ª Ma. Andréa Cristina Marques de Araújo – Universidade Fernando Pessoa
Prof^ª Dr^ª Andreza Lopes – Instituto de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Acadêmico
Prof^ª Dr^ª Andrezza Miguel da Silva – Faculdade da Amazônia
Prof^ª Ma. Anelisa Mota Gregoleti – Universidade Estadual de Maringá
Prof^ª Ma. Anne Karynne da Silva Barbosa – Universidade Federal do Maranhão
Prof. Dr. Antonio Hot Pereira de Faria – Polícia Militar de Minas Gerais
Prof. Me. Armando Dias Duarte – Universidade Federal de Pernambuco
Prof^ª Ma. Bianca Camargo Martins – UniCesumar

Profª Ma. Carolina Shimomura Nanya – Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Prof. Me. Carlos Antônio dos Santos – Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro
Prof. Me. Christopher Smith Bignardi Neves – Universidade Federal do Paraná
Prof. Ma. Cláudia de Araújo Marques – Faculdade de Música do Espírito Santo
Profª Drª Cláudia Taís Siqueira Cagliari – Centro Universitário Dinâmica das Cataratas
Prof. Me. Clécio Danilo Dias da Silva – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte
Prof. Me. Daniel da Silva Miranda – Universidade Federal do Pará
Profª Ma. Daniela da Silva Rodrigues – Universidade de Brasília
Profª Ma. Daniela Remião de Macedo – Universidade de Lisboa
Profª Ma. Dayane de Melo Barros – Universidade Federal de Pernambuco
Prof. Me. Douglas Santos Mezacas – Universidade Estadual de Goiás
Prof. Me. Edevaldo de Castro Monteiro – Embrapa Agrobiologia
Prof. Me. Eduardo Gomes de Oliveira – Faculdades Unificadas Doctum de Cataguases
Prof. Me. Eduardo Henrique Ferreira – Faculdade Pitágoras de Londrina
Prof. Dr. Edwaldo Costa – Marinha do Brasil
Prof. Me. Eliel Constantino da Silva – Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita
Prof. Me. Ernane Rosa Martins – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de Goiás
Prof. Me. Euvaldo de Sousa Costa Junior – Prefeitura Municipal de São João do Piauí
Prof. Dr. Everaldo dos Santos Mendes – Instituto Edith Theresa Hedwing Stein
Prof. Me. Ezequiel Martins Ferreira – Universidade Federal de Goiás
Profª Ma. Fabiana Coelho Couto Rocha Corrêa – Centro Universitário Estácio Juiz de Fora
Prof. Me. Fabiano Eloy Atilio Batista – Universidade Federal de Viçosa
Prof. Me. Felipe da Costa Negrão – Universidade Federal do Amazonas
Prof. Me. Francisco Odécio Sales – Instituto Federal do Ceará
Profª Drª Germana Ponce de Leon Ramírez – Centro Universitário Adventista de São Paulo
Prof. Me. Gevair Campos – Instituto Mineiro de Agropecuária
Prof. Me. Givanildo de Oliveira Santos – Secretaria da Educação de Goiás
Prof. Dr. Guilherme Renato Gomes – Universidade Norte do Paraná
Prof. Me. Gustavo Krahl – Universidade do Oeste de Santa Catarina
Prof. Me. Helton Rangel Coutinho Junior – Tribunal de Justiça do Estado do Rio de Janeiro
Profª Ma. Isabelle Cerqueira Sousa – Universidade de Fortaleza
Profª Ma. Jaqueline Oliveira Rezende – Universidade Federal de Uberlândia
Prof. Me. Javier Antonio Albornoz – University of Miami and Miami Dade College
Prof. Me. Jhonatan da Silva Lima – Universidade Federal do Pará
Prof. Dr. José Carlos da Silva Mendes – Instituto de Psicologia Cognitiva, Desenvolvimento Humano e Social
Prof. Me. Jose Elyton Batista dos Santos – Universidade Federal de Sergipe
Prof. Me. José Luiz Leonardo de Araujo Pimenta – Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria Uruguay
Prof. Me. José Messias Ribeiro Júnior – Instituto Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Pernambuco
Profª Drª Juliana Santana de Curcio – Universidade Federal de Goiás
Profª Ma. Juliana Thaisa Rodrigues Pacheco – Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa
Profª Drª Kamilly Souza do Vale – Núcleo de Pesquisas Fenomenológicas/UFPA
Prof. Dr. Kárpio Márcio de Siqueira – Universidade do Estado da Bahia
Profª Drª Karina de Araújo Dias – Prefeitura Municipal de Florianópolis
Prof. Dr. Lázaro Castro Silva Nascimento – Laboratório de Fenomenologia & Subjetividade/UFPR

Prof. Me. Leonardo Tullio – Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa
Prof^ª Ma. Lillian Coelho de Freitas – Instituto Federal do Pará
Prof^ª Ma. Liliani Aparecida Sereno Fontes de Medeiros – Consórcio CEDERJ
Prof^ª Dr^ª Livia do Carmo Silva – Universidade Federal de Goiás
Prof. Dr. Lucio Marques Vieira Souza – Secretaria de Estado da Educação, do Esporte e da Cultura de Sergipe
Prof. Dr. Luan Vinicius Bernardelli – Universidade Estadual do Paraná
Prof^ª Ma. Luana Ferreira dos Santos – Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz
Prof^ª Ma. Luana Vieira Toledo – Universidade Federal de Viçosa
Prof. Me. Luis Henrique Almeida Castro – Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados
Prof^ª Ma. Luma Sarai de Oliveira – Universidade Estadual de Campinas
Prof. Dr. Michel da Costa – Universidade Metropolitana de Santos
Prof. Me. Marcelo da Fonseca Ferreira da Silva – Governo do Estado do Espírito Santo
Prof. Dr. Marcelo Máximo Purificação – Fundação Integrada Municipal de Ensino Superior
Prof. Me. Marcos Aurelio Alves e Silva – Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de São Paulo
Prof^ª Ma. Maria Elanny Damasceno Silva – Universidade Federal do Ceará
Prof^ª Ma. Marileila Marques Toledo – Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri
Prof. Me. Pedro Panhoca da Silva – Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie
Prof^ª Dr^ª Poliana Arruda Fajardo – Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Prof. Me. Ricardo Sérgio da Silva – Universidade Federal de Pernambuco
Prof. Me. Renato Faria da Gama – Instituto Gama – Medicina Personalizada e Integrativa
Prof^ª Ma. Renata Luciane Polsaque Young Blood – UniSecal
Prof. Me. Robson Lucas Soares da Silva – Universidade Federal da Paraíba
Prof. Me. Sebastião André Barbosa Junior – Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco
Prof^ª Ma. Silene Ribeiro Miranda Barbosa – Consultoria Brasileira de Ensino, Pesquisa e Extensão
Prof^ª Ma. Solange Aparecida de Souza Monteiro – Instituto Federal de São Paulo
Prof^ª Ma. Taiane Aparecida Ribeiro Nepomoceno – Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná
Prof. Me. Tallys Newton Fernandes de Matos – Faculdade Regional Jaguaribana
Prof^ª Ma. Thatianny Jasmine Castro Martins de Carvalho – Universidade Federal do Piauí
Prof. Me. Tiago Silvio Dedoné – Colégio ECEL Positivo
Prof. Dr. Welleson Feitosa Gazel – Universidade Paulista

Incompletudes e contradições para os avanços da pesquisa em matemática 3

Editora Chefe: Profª Drª Antonella Carvalho de Oliveira
Bibliotecária: Janaina Ramos
Diagramação: Luiza Alves Batista
Correção: Kimberlly Elisandra Gonçalves Carneiro
Edição de Arte: Luiza Alves Batista
Revisão: Os Autores
Organizadores: Américo Junior Nunes da Silva
André Ricardo Luca Vieira

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP)

I37 Incompletudes e contradições para os avanços da pesquisa em matemática 3 / Organizadores Américo Junior Nunes da Silva, André Ricardo Luca Vieira. – Ponta Grossa - PR: Atena, 2021.

Formato: PDF

Requisitos de sistema: Adobe Acrobat Reader

Modo de acesso: World Wide Web

Inclui bibliografia

ISBN 978-65-5706-855-7

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.557211003

1. Matemática. I. Silva, Américo Junior Nunes da (Organizador). II. Vieira, André Ricardo Luca (Organizador). III. Título.

CDD 510

Elaborado por Bibliotecária Janaina Ramos – CRB-8/9166

Atena Editora

Ponta Grossa – Paraná – Brasil

Telefone: +55 (42) 3323-5493

www.atenaeditora.com.br

contato@atenaeditora.com.br

DECLARAÇÃO DOS AUTORES

Os autores desta obra: 1. Atestam não possuir qualquer interesse comercial que constitua um conflito de interesses em relação ao artigo científico publicado; 2. Declaram que participaram ativamente da construção dos respectivos manuscritos, preferencialmente na: a) Concepção do estudo, e/ou aquisição de dados, e/ou análise e interpretação de dados; b) Elaboração do artigo ou revisão com vistas a tornar o material intelectualmente relevante; c) Aprovação final do manuscrito para submissão.; 3. Certificam que os artigos científicos publicados estão completamente isentos de dados e/ou resultados fraudulentos; 4. Confirmam a citação e a referência correta de todos os dados e de interpretações de dados de outras pesquisas; 5. Reconhecem terem informado todas as fontes de financiamento recebidas para a consecução da pesquisa.

APRESENTAÇÃO

A Pandemia do novo coronavírus pegou todos de surpresa. De repente, ainda no início de 2020, tivemos que mudar as nossas rotinas de vida e profissional e nos adaptar a um “novo normal”, onde o distanciamento social foi posto enquanto a principal medida para barrar o contágio da doença. As escolas e universidades, por exemplo, na mão do que era posto pelas autoridades de saúde, precisaram repensar as suas atividades.

Da lida diária, no que tange as questões educacionais, e das dificuldades de inclusão de todos nesse “novo normal”, o contexto pandêmico começa a escancarar um cenário de destrato que já existia antes mesmo da pandemia. Como destacou Silva (2021), esse período pandêmico só desvelou, por exemplo, o quanto a educação no Brasil é uma reprodutora de Desigualdades.

E é nesse cenário de pandemia, movimentados por todas essas provocações que são postas, que os autores que participam dessa obra reúnem-se para organizar este livro. Apontar esse momento histórico vivido por todos é importante para destacar que temos demarcado elementos que podem implicar diretamente nos objetos de discussão dos textos e nos movimentos de escrita. Entender esse contexto é importante para o leitor.

O contexto social, político e cultural tem demandado questões muito particulares para a escola e, sobretudo, para a formação, trabalho e prática docente. Isso, de certa forma, tem levado os gestores educacionais a olharem para os cursos de licenciatura e para a Educação Básica com outros olhos. A sociedade mudou, nesse contexto de inclusão, tecnologia e de um “novo normal”; com isso, é importante olhar mais atentamente para os espaços formativos, em um movimento dialógico e pendular de (re)pensar as diversas formas de se fazer ciências no país. A pesquisa, nesse interim, tem se constituído como um importante lugar de ampliar o olhar acerca das inúmeras problemáticas, sobretudo no que tange ao conhecimento matemático.

É nessa sociedade complexa e plural que a Matemática subsidia as bases do raciocínio e as ferramentas para se trabalhar em outras áreas; é percebida enquanto parte de um movimento de construção humana e histórica e constitui-se importante e auxiliar na compreensão das diversas situações que nos cerca e das inúmeras problemáticas que se desencadeiam diuturnamente. É importante refletir sobre tudo isso e entender como acontece o ensino desta ciência e o movimento humanístico possibilitado pelo seu trabalho.

Ensinar Matemática vai muito além de aplicar fórmulas e regras. Existe uma dinâmica em sua construção que precisa ser percebida. Importante, nos processos de ensino e aprendizagem da Matemática, priorizar e não perder de vista o prazer da descoberta, algo peculiar e importante no processo de matematizar. Isso, a que nos referimos anteriormente, configura-se como um dos principais desafios do educador matemático e sobre isso, de uma forma muito particular, abordaremos nesta obra.

É neste sentido, que o livro “***Incompletudes e Contradições para os Avanços da Pesquisa em Matemática***”, nasceu, como forma de permitir que as diferentes experiências do professor pesquisador que ensina Matemática sejam apresentadas e constituam-se enquanto canal de formação para professores da Educação Básica e outros sujeitos. Reunimos aqui trabalhos de pesquisa e relatos de experiências de diferentes práticas que surgiram no interior da universidade e escola, por estudantes e professores pesquisadores de diferentes instituições do país.

Esperamos que esta obra, da forma como a organizamos, desperte nos leitores provocações, inquietações, reflexões e o (re)pensar da própria prática docente, para quem já é docente, e das trajetórias de suas formações iniciais para quem encontra-se matriculado em algum curso de licenciatura. Que, após esta leitura, possamos olhar para a sala de aula e para o ensino de Matemática com outros olhos, contribuindo de forma mais significativa com todo o processo educativo. Desejamos, portanto, uma ótima leitura a todos e a todas.

Américo Junior Nunes da Silva

André Ricardo Lucas Vieira

REFERÊNCIAS

SILVA, A. J. N. da. Professores de Matemática em início de carreira e os desafios (im)postos pelo contexto pandêmico: um estudo de caso com professores do semiárido baiano: doi.org/10.29327/217514.7.1-5. **Revista Ibero-Americana de Humanidades, Ciências e Educação**, [S. l.], v. 7, n. 1, p. 17, 2021. Disponível em: <http://periodicorease.pro.br/rease/article/view/430>. Acesso em: 10 fev. 2021.

SUMÁRIO

CAPÍTULO 1..... 1

DIFICULDADES EVIDENCIADAS NA PRÁTICA PEDAGÓGICA DE PROFESSORES INICIANTE EM MATEMÁTICA

Emerson Batista Ferreira Mota

José Cirqueira Martins Júnior

Dario Fiorentini

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110031

CAPÍTULO 2..... 16

A AVALIAÇÃO NO MOVIMENTO EM REDE FEIRAS DE MATEMÁTICA: UMA PROPOSTA DE FORMAÇÃO

Paula Andrea Grawieski Civiero

Alayde Ferreira dos Santos

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110032

CAPÍTULO 3..... 29

UMA CONSTRUÇÃO HISTÓRICA DAS TÉCNICAS DA TRANSFORMADA INTEGRAL CLÁSSICA (CITT) E GENERALIZADA (GITT): ASPECTOS INICIAIS

Reynaldo D'Alessandro Neto

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110033

CAPÍTULO 4..... 40

A FORMAÇÃO DA PROFESSORA DE MATEMÁTICA E O ESTÁGIO DE OBSERVAÇÃO: DESAFIOS E POSSIBILIDADES

Fernanda Pereira Magalhães

Américo Junior Nunes da Silva

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110034

CAPÍTULO 5..... 50

UMA VISÃO HELLERIANA DA INSERÇÃO SOCIAL NA EAD: ANÁLISE DO COTIDIANO E DA COTIDIANIDADE NA FORMAÇÃO DE PROFESSORES DE MATEMÁTICA EM REDE NACIONAL (PROFMAT)

Débora Gaspar Soares

Márcio Ruino Silva

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110035

CAPÍTULO 6..... 61

USANDO TEORIA DE CONJUNTOS PARA VISUALIZAR A MODELAGEM ORIENTADA A OBJETOS COM CONCEITOS CONCRETOS, ABSTRATOS E IMAGINÁRIOS

Ana Emilia de Meo Queiroz

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110036

CAPÍTULO 7..... 69

GEOGEBRA: MATEMÁTICA NA PALMA DA MÃO

Paulo Ricardo Rocha Lima

Joycilene Lopes de Brito

Ricardo de Oliveira Mendes
Francisco Vitor Vieira de Araujo
Dalila Sara Silva Gomes
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110037

CAPÍTULO 8..... 75

APRENDIZAGEM DE CONCEITOS MATEMÁTICOS BÁSICOS: ELEMENTOS ESTRUTURANTES DESSE PROCESSO

Maria Lídia Paula Ledoux
Ana Claudia Oliveira Sales

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110038

CAPÍTULO 9..... 89

SIMULAÇÃO DE SISTEMAS DE FILAS M/M/1 E M/M/c

Nilson Luiz Castelucio Brito
Rosivaldo Antonio Gonçalves
Graziella Nuzzi Ribeiro D'Angelo

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.5572110039

CAPÍTULO 10..... 101

MÉTODO DE DECOMPOSIÇÃO LU/LDU BASEADO NO ALGORITMO DE SADOSKY

Vinícius Guimarães de Oliveira
Wellington José Corrêa
Fernando César Gonçalves Manso

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100310

CAPÍTULO 11..... 109

A ARTE DE RESOLVER PROBLEMAS: UMA EXPERIÊNCIA VIVENCIADA COM ESTUDANTES DO ENSINO MÉDIO

Malcus Cassiano Kuhn

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100311

CAPÍTULO 12..... 118

ANÁLISE DINÂMICA DE UMA VIGA DE EULER-BERNOULLI SUBMETIDA A IMPACTO NO CENTRO APÓS QUEDA LIVRE ATRAVÉS DO MÉTODO DE DIFERENÇAS FINITAS

Bruno Conti Franco
Wang Chong

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100312

CAPÍTULO 13..... 126

COMMENTS ON THE PERCEPTION OF THE STUDENTS AND TEACHER IN A MATHEMATICAL MODELING DISCIPLINE IN AN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES GRADUATION – A REMOTE EDUCATION EXPERIENCE

Tales Alexandre Aversi Ferreira

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100313

CAPÍTULO 14.....	144
A MATEMÁTICA FINANCEIRA COMO FERRAMENTA PARA O CONSUMO CONSCIENTE	
Aleff Hermínio da Silva	
Claudilene Gomes da Costa	
Agnes Liliane Lima Soares de Santana	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100314	
CAPÍTULO 15.....	152
UM ESTUDO DAS POSIÇÕES RELATIVAS DO HIPERPLANO E DA (n-1) -ESFERA NO ESPAÇO EUCLIDIANO	
Joselito de Oliveira	
Wender Ferreira Lamounier	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100315	
CAPÍTULO 16.....	170
CRIVO PARA NÚMEROS PRIMOS E TESTE DE PRIMALIDADE BASEADOS EM UMA MATRIZ DE OITO COLUNAS	
Gabriel Pastori Figueira	
Fernando César Gonçalves Manso	
Wellington José Corrêa	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100316	
CAPÍTULO 17.....	177
AS CONTRIBUIÇÕES DA MATEMÁTICA CHINESA PARA O ENSINO: UMA SEQUÊNCIA DIDÁTICA SOBRE MULTIPLICAÇÃO	
Iago Alves dos Santos	
Danilo Furtado Veras	
Wirlania Cristina Santos Nunes	
Rayane de Jesus Santos Melo	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100317	
CAPÍTULO 18.....	190
UM ESTUDO SOBRE A APLICAÇÃO DE MATERIAIS DIDÁTICOS NAS AULAS DE MATEMÁTICA NA EDUCAÇÃO BÁSICA	
José Roberto Costa	
Marcia Samile Bon im	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100318	
CAPÍTULO 19.....	202
AVALIAÇÃO COM MEDIAÇÃO EM RESOLUÇÃO E ELABORAÇÃO DE PROBLEMAS	
Bernadete Verônica Schaeffer Hoffman	
Vânia Santos Maria Pereira dos Santos –Wagner	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100319	
CAPÍTULO 20.....	219
A CONSTRUÇÃO DO CONHECIMENTO DE ANÁLISE COMBINATÓRIA ATRAVÉS DE	

JOGOS

Luzia da Costa Tonon Martarelli

Brendow Pena de Mattos Souto

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100320

CAPÍTULO 21.....228

MATEMÁTICA EPISTOLAR

Maria Aparecida Roseane Ramos

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100321

CAPÍTULO 22.....241

EQUAÇÃO POLINOMIAL DE GRAU DOIS: UMA NOVA ABORDAGEM

Fernando César Gonçalves Manso

Flávia Aparecida Reitz Cardoso

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100322

CAPÍTULO 23.....260

TEORIA DOS CAMPOS CONCEITUAIS: ANÁLISE DE ESQUEMAS ELABORADOS DURANTE ATIVIDADE MATEMÁTICA INTERATIVA

Ivana de Oliveira Freitas

Ângela Maria Hartmann

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100323

CAPÍTULO 24.....272

V TORNEIO DE JOGOS MATEMÁTICOS COMO FERRAMENTA DE INCLUSÃO ESCOLAR

Vinícius Vieira da Silva Dutra

Ana Carolina da Silva Manoel

Anna Júlia Martins Melo

Marcos Victor Magalhães da Silva

Vinícius Silva Lima

Westher Manricky Bernardes Fortunato

Eliane Fonseca Campos Mota

Ricardo Gomes Assunção

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100324

CAPÍTULO 25.....287

ATRIBUINDO “SENTIDO” AO ALGORITMO DA DIVISÃO EM SALA DE AULA: PROPOSITURA DE ABORDAGEM METODOLÓGICA SEMIÓTICA FUNDAMENTADA NO PENSAMENTO SOBRE COMPLEMENTARIDADE OTTEANO

Jacqueline Borges de Paula

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100325

CAPÍTULO 26.....301

A UTILIZAÇÃO DE JOGOS E MATERIAIS CONCRETOS NO ENSINO E APRENDIZAGEM DE MATEMÁTICA

Jheniffer Munslinger Schroer

Lucieli Martins Gonçalves Descovi

DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100326

CAPÍTULO 27.....	308
SALA DE AULA INVERTIDA: UMA ANÁLISE SOBRE A RECEPTIVIDADE DOS ESTUDANTES PARTICIPANTES DE AULAS INVERTIDAS NO PROJETO GAMA	
Gustavo Weirich Corrêa	
Cícero Nachtigall	
DOI 10.22533/at.ed.55721100327	
SOBRE OS ORGANIZADORES	316
ÍNDICE REMISSIVO.....	317

COMMENTS ON THE PERCEPTION OF THE STUDENTS AND TEACHER IN A MATHEMATICAL MODELING DISCIPLINE IN AN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES GRADUATION – A REMOTE EDUCATION EXPERIENCE

Data de aceite: 01/03/2021

Tales Alexandre Aversi Ferreira

Laboratory of Biomathematics, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, UNIFAL (Universidade Federal de Alfenas), Alfenas, MG, Brasil

ABSTRACT: The teaching of mathematics with quality, generating learning, is a challenge for modern pedagogy. The new teaching technologies are hardly finding space within a structure that is rooted in the old processes proven insufficient to teach properly. The problem in learning mathematics comes from the base and seems to continue in the student's life without a continuity solution until appearing in graduate school, where the need to apply mathematical reasoning is imperative for the production of knowledge in the many fields and more specifically in research that needs of a logical basis. Mathematical modeling seems to be a more efficient proposal to enable the undergraduate and graduate students to meet the need for the practical application of mathematics in research and real cases. At this time, when the student is forced to learn for himself due to social isolation, there is a great opportunity for researchers of the pedagogy to verify the benefits of active methodologies in which mathematical modeling and problem solving are part. In this chapter, we begin with comments on mathematical education and continue to associate it with mathematical modeling with an emphasis on undergraduate

and graduate studies, as examples in show the need of the student's situation in relation to mathematical reasoning. In this sense, we analyze a real case and make general comments as an example of learning problems, data that are corroborated by other studies at various levels of education.

KEYWORDS: Mathematics teaching, Mathematical Modeling, Problems Solving, Academic Education, Active Methodologies.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The difficulties to teaching mathematics adequately, i.e., to make easier for teaching students is a challenge not yet overcome by teachers. That is a capital subject the involves educators, teachers directly and the society indirectly. The new purposes for teaching were not completely implanted and must be tested and tried in many levels of students live. Probably, the more significative problem to teaching using the new technologies for teaching is in the academy and graduation because very usually the pupils arrive without enough knowledge about the mathematical basis. This situation is worst for no exacts courses in order. In a tentative to close the mathematical basis reasoning for graduation students a discipline of mathematical model was purposed for an Environmental Sciences Graduation.

The goal was to give to students a material for help them use the mathematical

models for dissertations/thesis. Some students come from biological courses, others from biotechnology, environmental engineer, therefore, they presented different mathematical knowledge and a challenge for the teacher.

An unexpected fact was the necessity of the stop the presential discipline and after to continue in a remote education system, then the experience become more difficult for a side, however, more thought-provoking on the other.

The purpose of this work, was to analysis the use of the Mathematical Modeling methodology in a discipline of the Mathematical Modeling Applied for Environmental and Biological Sciences, offered in an Environmental Sciences graduation (MSc and PhD) course in a tentative to development cognitive abilities, favoring the reflection and questioning into the Mathematical Modeling, a critical reasoning (Lupinacci and Botin, 2004) and provide to students to obtain their own knowledge (Ferreira, Silva, Nunes, 2015), which are useful skills for future scientists.

In this experience relate, students and teacher told your perceptions about the discipline of Mathematical Model with partial use of the active methodology and remote learning with intention to contribute for mathematical education experience from both view from teacher and students

2 I SOME COMMENTS ABOUT THE MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION

Educational methods of teaching have been studied by mathematicians for a long time (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019) and used by others exact and no exact fields, sometimes because the absence or scarce studies in didactic purposes in those areas.

The concerning about the mathematical education led the pedagogic researchers to search for the elderly philosophy conceptions as the Plato, Aristoteles, Kant and/or Descartes (Machado, 1987; Piaget and Beth, 1980), as bases for the use of new technologies, problems solving, math modeling, teaching of history of mathematics (D'Ambrósio, 2008), since the Middle Age, that were and hodiern are tested in the practical teaching (D'Ambrósio, 1998; Biembengut, 2014).

A study of the classic works could generate an understanding of the causes of the modern methods of education (Nosella, 2004).

Specifically, both, Solving Problems and Mathematical Modeling are intimately linked, because the solution of a problem must use a creation of a modeling for math language (Faccin, 2015).

For instance, one of the purposes for improve the mathematical teaching, as Solving Problems, is innate from human nature (Caon and Cardona, 2015; Rodrigues and Magalhaes, 2012) as demonstrated by history of Chinese, Egyptians, Greeks (Stanic and Kilpatrick; 1989) as observed in the Hind Papyrus, Moscow Papyrus (Disperati, 2015), trigonometric problems in the clay tablet known as Plimpton 322 confectioned by Babylonians (Mansfield and Widberg, 2017).

From the Greeks we obtained the heritage of the heuristics steps for the problems solving as 1) task, 2) indication, 3) thesis, 4) construction, 5) demonstration and 6) conclusion, that is a still used method (Groenwald et al., 2004).

Following the reasoning, the mathematics became the base for exact sciences, collaborating, inter alia, with the language, descriptive analysis, modeling and simulation, providing the tools for more trustworthy projects (Onuchic, 2012).

However, it is important separate the epistemology of the Mathematics Sciences and Mathematical Education, because the last one is not an exact science, it is empiric and multidisciplinary and the objective is more social, pedagogical, i.e., the student learning (Onuchic, 2012).

Into the studies of the Mathematical Education, a proposal for perform the mathematics teaching is the use of modern methodologies, in a tentative to do the mathematics pedagogy as a tool to generate appropriated knowledge for different students (Onuchic, 2012).

Historic approach indicates the long time that educational researchers have studied changes for the mathematics teaching, although the conservatism education has prevailed, putatively because the difficulty in changing ingrained behaviors (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019).

Indeed, a common and hodiern problem is that despite of research and reflections about mathematic teaching, the new methodologies of the Mathematical Education are not yet applied in classes frequently and satisfactorily, where the traditional principles still remain, at least, in Brazil (Rodrigues and Magalhaes, 2012; Groenwald et al., 2004).

In fact, the new methodologies are used scarcely in the teaching (Groenwald et al., 2004); in many countries this situation have occurred into a same convergence points, but topics to modify this situation has been purposed as the use of the 1) problems resolution, 2) quotidian mathematics and 3) math problems found in other disciplines; these ideas are also cited in Brazil by the National Curricular Parameters in Brazil (Brasil, 1998).

Furthermore, the purpose of the use of the Mathematical Education is, in the context for students, to purpose the use the think critically (Lupinacci and Botin, 2004), with the objectives of: 1) improve the investigation methods, 2) elaboration of the complex thinking process, 3) catenation of ideas using math and logical proceedings; generating, at least the critical thinking, for comprehension of the hypothesis formulation and generalization (Groenwald et al., 2004).

These are purposes for became the students the author of own knowledge that is the base of the Active Methodologies.

In this way, for instance, the new purposes do not match with the use of long lists of exercises (Ferreira, Silva, Nunes, 2015), as preconized by the method of the mental discipline theory (Groenwald et al., 2004), that is usual but based in a conservative teaching far from the modern society needs (Ferraz, 1983).

Many Mathematic Education methodologies are unknown by most of educators (Groenwald et al., 2004), and students; in fact, these methodologies are difficult to be understood efficiently (Stanic and Kilpatrick, 1989) by teachers of the math and correlated fields (Alvces and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019).

It is very knowledge that most of students present difficult in learning mathematics in classroom, because, inter alia, and perhaps, the lack of interest or accommodation of pupils since the fundamental school. This aspect depends, also, on the demotivation of the teachers (Prediger, Berwanger and Mörs; 2009), because the passivity of some ones encouraging the demotivation of others and vice versa (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019).

Into of the perspective of the new methodologies, presented problems to students must be challenging, as possible real, generating interest (into an unknown perspective for generate motivation, not be only application of algorithm) and presenting some difficult level (Dante, 1998), for do not generate demotivation (Rodrigues and Magalhaes, 2012)

The enthusiasm of pupils additionally also dependent on the teacher's and of the teacher/student relationship (Lupinacci and Botin, 2004), to obtain a positive feedback, and this is a complex subject that need deep studies by educators.

According, new methodologies for education play a very important role for new approach in the teaching, generating a new perspective of learning, in general and for mathematics (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019).

In the new mathematical methodology, a very studied purpose is the Solving Problems that indicates to students a new routine and stimulate of thinking, with emphasis on the process, generating situations that requires reasoning to solve problems themselves (Lupinacci and Botin, 2004; Caon and Cardona, 2015). Increasing an elaborate process of thinking (Ferreira, Silva, Nunes, 2015) applying deductive and inductive reasoning, for improve notions of graphic proportions, improving the capabilities for build conjectures and mathematical arguments, following logical arguments and to validate their own construction (Mendes, 2009).

Differently of conservatism teaching, only the formulas are not a true way to be solve problems (Carraher, 1986, Caon and Cardona, 2015); it is important to stimulate students to use procedures and previous knowledge to analyzes formulas and real situations using critical thinking (Lupinacci and Botin, 2004), generating, self-confidence, that is a heuristic not only mechanical process (Caon and Cardona, 2015).

Into those contexts, is of the education interest to verify whether students leave your courses, as well as university in adequate conditions and efficiently prepared to face the market and graduation and whether they attend to continuous formation (Oliveira et al., 2001, Cintra & Oliveira, 2001). Indeed, some professions need of specific disciplines for improve them competences, both in labor and academic work.

As cited above, academic works have indicated which didactic methods to teaching mathematical disciplines fail in the education purpose (Telles *apud* Cintra & Oliveira, 2001).

Studies about as to teach those disciplines are scarce, or is in the incipient period for graduation level, at least in relation and compared to the material dedicated to the same subject for basic and high schools.

Nevertheless, it would be difficult to implement new methodologies for teaching in universities but attempts to use real problems associated studies of scientific papers as example would keep the student's attention by more time (Ferreira, Silva, Nunes, 2015).

All those runs through by a serious teachers' training, but, in general teachers imitate their ancient teachers (Lima and Alves Neto, 2015), mainly the new ones, maybe because the insecurity (Smaniotto and Gentil, 2014). Many teachers in the universities, in the under and graduation, do not present previous didactic preparation for teaching, and it seems a very important problems, specifically, for teaching mathematics and correlated disciplines (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019).

In this perspective, this kind of teacher could keep the continuation of the ancient conservatism and, by deduction, the non-integration of the students' behavior in a very mutant world (Lester and Koehler, 2003; Lesh and Zawojewski, 2007).

It is reasonable to think that those problems it is a heritage from fundamental for under and graduation education because the demotivation of both, students and professors (Massa, 2015).

On the other hand, there are many possibilities to teaching differently from the conservatism that is suggested, today, by the new methodologies, as methods of the Mathematical Modelling, in specific, for non-exacts courses. If a deep care is necessary to teaching math for pupils that have an attraction for exact sciences, teaching for disciplines linked to mathematics for students of non-exact courses must be done seriously into a very good preparation for do not worst the disappointment of the students with math.

For teaching adequately, is necessary a good class preparation, discipline, organization (Ferreira, Silva, Nunes, 2015). For more pedagogic details about that subject, see Onuchi (2009) and Felder and Silverman (1988) for techniques to reach all types of students.

The teaching practices are not easy, require knowledge, abilities to keep good relationship to students and to wait for the unknown reactions (Lima and Alves Neto, 2015), and avoid being just a communicator (Godoy, 1983) according the new purposes to teaching.

In general, the higher education in Brazil shows a technical and mechanical approach in teaching, that is inconsistent with modern society (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019, Moraes and Torres, 2004) and the necessity of multiple abilities for individuals, teamwork, adaptation to changes (Belloni, 2008).

Frequently, for the higher education teaching are required master and doctorate degrees, however, a didactic formation could be also required (Fernandes, 1998) because, not necessarily, the graduations prepared teachers (Garcia, 2013), in the bachelors' courses, or the preparation is insufficient (Onuchic, 2012) in pedagogic courses.

Indeed, many papers versa about mathematical teaching for elementary and secondary education, for Solving Problems, for instance, (Lupinacci and Botin, 2004; Chiréia, 2010; Clement and Terrazan, 2011; Silva, 2012; Caon and Cardona, 2015; Freitas, Goi and Giuliani, 2015; Frizzarini and Cargnin, 2016). However, for higher education, papers about math teaching are scarce, and for graduation much scarcer, as well.

Teachers of math in under and graduation schools could start using modern education methodologies to prepare students to learning to explore better the papers, for example. On the other hand, teachers without educational formation or with ancient formation in pedagogical course, could attend specific courses for teaching for, at least, know the new methodologies.

The use of new educational technologies in the mathematical teaching could indicates a way based on abstract, auditory, verbal, deductive, passive and sequential analysis (Felder and Silverman, 1988) and that could diminish the student's evasion in the exacts courses (Ferreira, Silva, Nunes, 2015) and to evoke them for looking for stimulants' disciplines.

3 | MATHEMATICAL MODELING

The purpose of the use of the Mathematical Modeling in Education is more recent in history, according some authors, it was initiate in 1970's decade in Brazil. However, in other conceptions, it is linked to Mathematica History because the use of modeling is intrinsically associated to diary routine of the ancient people (Biembengut and Hein, 2003; Silveira et al., 2013).

In specific, the Mathematical Modelling methodology begins from the applied mathematics (Frizzarini; Cargnin, 2016) and, posteriorly, different approaches were purposed by Mathematical Education epistemology (Almeida; Silva; Vertuan, 2013).

Some arguments justify the use of the Mathematical Modellings' courses in Superior Education and other teaching levels (Almeida e Silva, 2014), as: 1) to include extracurricular disciplines/knowledge in the course, i.e., multidisciplinary approach; 2) math application and development of mathematical concepts; 3) curricular integration of different disciplines (Frizzarini and Cargnin, 2016), at least.

The Mathematical Modelling method is located between an initial problem situation and the final situation (in the resolution of the problem), i.e., the way is to create proceedings and to obtain information added to search for mathematical knowledge target for a goal (Frizzarini and Cargnin, 2016). In this way, the use of mathematical modelling in the education is inserted in the active methodology if the student searches a solution by own reasoning.

The application of Mathematical Modelling in the education begin in 1960's decade into a utilitarian movement (Biembengut, 2009), in it is begin in the 1970's decade in Brazil

(Silveira et al., 2013). A landmark in Brazil about the teaching of Mathematical Modelling was the creation of the graduation course about the Mathematical Modelling and in 2001 the Brazilian Society of Mathematical Education creates the Work Group of the Mathematical Modelling (GT10) (Frizzarini and Cargnin, 2016).

A book intitle “Mathematical Modeling in the Brazilian Mathematics Education: Researches and Educational Practices” [Modelagem Matemática na Educação Matemática Brasileira: pesquisas e práticas educacionais] was published indicating the follows items of concentration field of Mathematic Modelling Education: 1) Theoretical aspects of Mathematical Modelling; 2) Modeling and practice in the class to test the strategies; 3) Mathematical Modeling and the tendencies of the information and of the communication; 4) Mathematical Modeling and teachers formation (Barbosa; Caldeira; Araújo, 2007).

In 2015, a second book was published about the Mathematical Modeling by the GT10 intitle: “Practices of the Mathematical Modeling: Relates of Experiences and Pedagogical Purposes” [Práticas de Modelagem Matemática: Relatos de Experiencias e Propostas Pedagógicas] (Almeida; Araújo; Bisognin, 2015) including interdisciplinary purpose for biological phenomenon.

The initiation of the use of the Mathematica Modeling in the classroom exist for more than two decades, however, as happens with other Mathematical Education field, it is scarcely explored in teaching, mainly in under and graduation.

Indeed, the studies of new methodologies in higher education is new (D’Ávila, 2008; Pimenta e Anastasiou, 2010; Garcia, 2013) presenting few papers indicating as to use the new technologies in mathematics and correlated disciplines (Barros and Sousa, 2015), as well, for graduation.

4 | METHODOLOGIES

The students answered a structured questionnaire and added spontaneous information about the discipline conducted using an active and traditional teaching, from March to July of 2020.

The questions were:

1. What were the reasons that led you to look for the Mathematical Models course at PPGCA?
2. Has the change of the teaching system from the classroom to the Special System of Remote Studies changed your enthusiasm to follow the discipline? If possible, explain the reasons.
3. Do you have pedagogical training, at undergraduate or postgraduate level?
4. Do you know the teaching method called Active Methodologies? If so, write down the concept you have about him.

5. Do you believe that finding solutions to problems on your own can improve your learning? Please, if possible, justify.
6. Do you prefer traditional classes [teacher explaining with you studying for tests, activities] or looking for information on your own? Justify, please.
7. Do you believe that distance learning has affected your learning in the discipline of mathematical models?
8. Have you improved your knowledge of mathematical models and will you be able to prepare one for your dissertation / thesis?

The relate was used to obtain a free expression of the students about the discipline and its methodology.

About the teacher, he has degrees in Biology [bachelor], Civil Engineer and Mathematics [with pedagogical formation] and some papers published about the under-graduation teaching and education in the embryology, physiology, anatomy and Civil Engineer subjects.

About the discipline preparation, the teaching menu of the “Mathematical Models applied to Environmental Sciences” was “Elementary Mathematics Notions, functions, calculus differential and integral, mathematical modeling definition, steps for mathematical modeling construction, examples of application”; separated into three units with the following topics:

Unit 1: Elementary Mathematics Notions – operations, functions, limits, differential and integral calculus.

Unit 2: Mathematical modeling - definitions, mechanical models, empiric models, semi-empiric models, construction of mathematical modeling – steps

Unit 3: Application of the mathematical modeling – in the sciences in general, in the environmental sciences.

The objectives were “to supply the students an integrated view, theory and practical of the mathematical modeling uses in the research in general and, in specific, for environmental sciences.

The teaching methodology was the “expositive classes with group discussions and active purposes”.

The methodology must be altered because the necessity of remote teaching after just one presential class. Then, the teacher recorded classes with all purposed subjects in the menu available in the YouTube channel and online classes were performed into 15 and 15 days. The suggestion of the university was avoiding the online classes because the difficulties of some students in to access the internet and possible loss of signal during the classes, because the classes were performed in the cited time.

Most of the classes were the students presenting papers about their master or doctorate subjects. In all classes before the presentation there was a time for solve

doubts about the recorded classes or other. The first class was used for explanation of new methodologies and that active methodology shall be used. A WhatsApp group and e-mail address of the teacher were available for pupils solve doubts. Some classes and explanations about the mathematical modeling were performed by the teacher, mainly for populational models.

The evaluation in the discipline was made via presentation of the seminars and resolution of an exercise about the populational models of the Malthus and Verhulst and a comparison between both. On the final, in a meet with all students, with one absence, the students suggested your scores according the dedication for the discipline, and an average of the scores generate the final score.

5 | RESULTS

5.1 From students

The discipline had 11 students, but two don't responded the questionnaire and don't write the relate about the discipline, one Environmental Engineer and one mathematic.

In relation to first question [What were the reasons that led you to look for the Mathematical Models course at PPGCA?], 8 different answer were obtained with more than one for students most time. Three answer that the reason was they teacher request; four to obtain more knowledge about the subject; just one with the goal to obtain autonomy in mathematical model; one because have mathematics difficulty; four to write the dissertation/thesis according the purposed project; one because no other discipline in the graduation program have mathematical subject; one for complete credits; and one because the similarity of the purpose with a discipline in under graduation.

The second question [Has the change of the teaching system from the classroom to the Special System of Remote Studies changed your enthusiasm to follow the discipline? If possible, explain the reasons], shows 6 responses linked to diminish of enthusiasm, 3 increase one and one responded as no change. Interesting, two pupils responded that increase for a side and diminish for other. The main reasons for the enthusiasm diminish were difficult for solve doubts, 5 of them; lack of academic environment [3]; problems of connection [2]; absence of human relation [2]; many distractions in home [2]; change of experiences with colleagues [1]; problems in follow the classes chronogram [1], increase of anxiety. The increase of stimulation was the distance between of university and home (around 100km) [1], use of recorded classes for revision [1]. For one student, there was no effect the change of teaching for remote teaching.

Just one student responded to had pedagogic formation according the question [Do you have pedagogical training, at undergraduate or postgraduate level?], one is attending for complementation; 8 responded have not pedagogic formation and one of them is a teacher in a university.

In the question four [Do you know the teaching method called Active Methodologies? If so, write down the concept you have about him], six students responded NO, two responded YES and one said to know barely the Active Methodology. Just the student that attended pedagogical course of Biology and the teacher in a University gave the correct concept about this methodology that was the active action of the student in your learning.

The number five question [Do you believe that finding solutions to problems on your own can improve your learning? Please, if possible, justify], two of them said to have difficult to learning alone, five, said that to learn your selves seems better, and two answered both in accord the circumstances. Those that responded NO indicated lazy, necessity of the teacher, preference for group studies and long time to learning as reasons of their choice; for the answer YES, the better criticism about the subjects and necessity to more study to learn. Those that responded BOTH, the vantage cited were the preparation for the future work, however, one of them cited difficulties to learning counts alone and general difficult to learning alone.

For question six [Do you prefer traditional classes [teacher explaining with you studying for tests, activities] or looking for information on your own? Justify, please], three students prefer traditional classes because the facilities for solve doubts, for better understanding of subjects in participating of the classes; just one choose the active learning considering as a challenge; five of them choose BOTH, traditional and active methodologies to be used together, however, one cited that for math the traditional could better, but for other disciplines the active methodologies is better; other cited that depends of the quality of the didactic of the teacher, for a “good” teacher the preference is by traditional teaching.

Eight students considered negative for their learning in responding the question seven [Do you believe that distance learning has affected your learning in the discipline of mathematical models?] and just one considered positive the distance teaching. The problems cited were fear for contact the teacher, difficulties for solve doubts, other compromises disturbing the studies, procrastination to study the discipline, however, just one said that have problems, but not so much.

The last question, number 8 [Have you improved your knowledge of mathematical models and will you be able to prepare one for your dissertation / thesis?], shows all responses as YES for improve the knowledge, but four of the students said be incapable to generate a mathematical model for your scientific work, three said that they are capable, and one does not response about that capability.

Form the free texts the main complaint was about the pandemic that generates the remote teaching into five citations, suggestion for list of exercises and weekly tasks were five citations also, one ask for more online meetings. They cited good actions as the teaching of the logic of the calculus not only counts [2], importance of the basic classes of mathematics as review [2], the opportunity of reflection of the subjects in the active methodology [2], discussion of the articles about the each field of the students [3], study of a case for

mathematics modeling [2]; just one citations as good actions versa about a WhatsApp group for discussion, system of self-evaluation, the understanding of the populational models, the understanding of the types of models, the understanding about the growth of the pandemic via populational models and the recorded classes.

5.2 From teacher

The purposed subjects of the discipline were performed in the recorded classes and the presentation of seminars were made in the online classes with most of students present. Some lost of signal indeed occurred with some students housed far from the urban centers. Spontaneous group of studies were made by the students for study the recorded classes and for resolution of the exercises about the populational exercises.

The menu of disciplines was constructed to generate basis for the understanding of the populational models with exercises of preparation in the classes, however, many problems for understanding the way for resolution. For resolution of exercises was necessary looking for data from a real population and to organize to use a formula, and this was the main difficulty. Most students show a good attention with the mathematical explanation of the exponential and logarithmic functions linked to real examples.

One of the objectives was to demonstrate in detail the origin of the equations for the Malthus and Verhulst models, however, the difficulties showed for students generate a partial demonstration. Indeed, most of students were biologists, three were environmental engineer, one economist, one mathematician, one biotechnologist. All of them show some difficulties in mathematical thinking, indeed, non-one obtained a correct resolution of the purposed exercise, that was a trivial one.

The seminars were well presented by the students with some difficulties about the mathematics subjects. In the self-evaluation, with just one absence, all students cited about the delay in following the classes and difficulties in understanding the resolution of the exercise purposed.

6 | DISCUSSION

According the results data, the most of students shown unsatisfaction with the change from the presential for remote classes. In general, they prefer the presence of the teacher in the normal classes and, interestingly they wrote that felt shame to call the teacher in the social medias, WhatsApp and e-mail.

According the responses, three students attended to discipline because the request of the advisor and/or to complete credits, that is not a good reason to attend a discipline and could be difficult to implant new methodologies. Other cited as reason to learn about the Mathematical Model and mathematics most for use in the papers and dissertations/thesis, in general, and it is an interesting situation in the search for Mathematical models, indicating the importance this a subject for modern science, however, the teacher observed that most of them had no complete idea about this discipline.

Most of students cited demotivation in change from the normal to virtual classes because many reasons, but it is a strong indication that the new methodologies present some difficulties to be developed (Onuchi et al., 2014), at least for this class.

Just one student had educational formation and less than half of them known about the active methodologies and could been a motive of the cited difficult in to implant Mathematical Modeling in this class.

Indeed, all students shown unsatisfaction with active methodologies and anxiety to solve problems derivate from the theory, probably because the behavior learning in the long time under usual and traditional teaching (Alves and Ferreira, 2019). Problems were shown in the recorded classes and solved by the teacher, but list of exercises was not presented, just the indications for studies in books, because have not enough time to teach complete disciplines from the menu. According the teacher thinking, master and doctorate students must be showing some facility to obtain knowledge form the texts, since that the previous studies about those disciplines were studied before in the under graduation. Indeed, in general, biological courses present mathematics in their menu. However, it was not observed for most of students, including some environment engineer.

All of them understood about the need to study and to solve problems by own effort, but the teacher felt that the absence of a structured algorithm and model that indicate a similar response was the main cause of difficult, i.e., solved examples to direct the solutions for other problems, in that case, the teacher solving problems, providing an exercises list with problems similar to solved exercises. This case there is an incoherence between the necessity and behavior, the thinking indicates the best way to learning, however, the longtime of traditional practices seems overcome the reason.

In general terms, this kind of situation comes from the mistaken interpretation of the teaching methods and philosophy from the philosophers and heritage from the Germany method (Theory of Mental Discipline) based on the long list of problems as training to solve problems emphasizing the final results and not the process (Onuchi et al., 2014), until now used in Brazil (Belhot and Oliveira Neto, 2006).

On the other hand, some students cited the difficulty to learn alone, i.e., in the absence or far from the teacher. That could be explained by the theory of the social-historical-cultural psychology that preconizes the environmental influence on the mind formation and cognitive process (Vygotsky, 1991; Luria, 1937).

An unexpected situation was that all students complain verbally about the time to dedicate to disciplines because the excess of tasks, probably because the implantation of remote studies and the teachers sent so many tasks, and interesting, they do not complain about that in the free text. That is cited problem to implant the Mathematical Modeling and Problems Solving in the teaching, according Ferreira, Silva and Nunes (2005).

According the perception of students and teacher about the discipline of Mathematical Modelling, there were problems for students to accept the remote studies and to absorb the active teaching methodologies, case cited by Beholt and Oliveira Neto (2006).

The teacher observed the difficulties in mathematical bases, a common problem in Brazil (Alves and Aversi-Ferreira, 2019) that could have generated the dissatisfaction with the resolution of problems because of the comfort with the traditional teaching and the very strong necessity of the use the identical model of problems for application of algorithms, then decreasing the effort to solve other problems.

7 | CONCLUSIONS

Teacher and students believe that there is a need of detailed explanation about the new methodologies for students in the first class to try obtaining the adherence for an active methodology of teaching. The implantation of the Mathematical Modeling occurred but not totally so succeed because, mainly:

1. The drastic change from the normal to virtual classes.
2. No experience from the students with Mathematical Modeling and Solving Problems.
3. Preference of students of the presence of the teacher in the normal classes.
4. Necessity of the students in training on the solved exercises and a similar list of the exercises to solve problems.
5. Difficult of the students in being auto taught.
6. Difficult of the teacher in the perception of the student's difficulties because of the virtual classes.

The problems cited by other authors as few time and engrained culture in traditional teaching were observed in this work, indicating the need in to introduce the Mathematical modeling and Solving problems in the initial phases of the mathematics teaching.

REFERENCES

BARROS, R. M. A.; SOUSA, C. N. S. Estratégias de resolução de problemas de matemática em estudantes do ensino superior. *Ciências & Cognição*, 20(1): 123-132, 2015.

BAZZO, W. A. Qualidade de ensino e sistemas de avaliação. *Texto básico da teleconferência: Engenheiro 2001*, 1996.

BELHOT, R. V.; OLIVEIRA NETO, J. D. A solução de problemas no ensino de engenharia. In: XIII SIMPEP, Bauru, 2006.

BELLONI, M. L. *Educação à Distância*. 5 ed. Campinas: Autores Associados, 2008.

BERNARDINIS, M. A. P.; FREITAS, M. S.; COSTA, R. A.; ARAUJO, W. B. L. Construção de baralho interativo como ferramenta para aprendizado na disciplina Sistemas de Transportes do Curso de Engenharia Civil da Universidade Federal do Paraná. In: Congresso Nacional de Ambientes Hipermídia para Aprendizagem, 2015, São Luiz. 7º CONAHPA, 2015a.

BERNARDINIS, M. A. P.; FREITAS, M. S.; COSTA, R. A.; ARAUJO, W. B. L. Construction of Interactive Card Games as Appliance for the learning in discipline Transport's Systems in Civil Engineering Course of Universidade Federal do Parana. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, v. 3, p. 01-09, 2015b.

BERNARDINIS, M. A. P.; FERREIRA, K. S. M.; WIN, G. Grupo de estudos como alternativa inovadora para o aprimoramento no processo de ensino no curso de Engenharia Civil da UFPR. In: Congresso Brasileiro de Educação em Engenharia, 2016, Natal. COBENGE, 2016.

BIEMBENGUT, M. S. Mathematics Modeling & Problem Solving, Projects, and EthnoMathematics: merging points. *Revista de Educação em Ciência e Tecnologia*, v.7, n.2, p.197-219, 2014.

BORREGO, M.; BERNHARD, J. The emergence of engineering education research as an internationally connected field of inquiry. *Journal of Engineering Education*. 100 (1): 14–47, 2011.

BRASIL. Secretaria de Educacao Fundamental. Parametros Curriculares Nacionais: Matematica. 3º e 4º ciclos. Brasilia: MEC/SEF, 1998.

CAON, A. P.; CARDONA, T. S. A resolução de problemas no ensino da matemática: análise da compreensão do conceito de MDC (Máximo Divisor Comum). In: VII Encontro Mineiro de Educação Matemática, 2015.

CARAÇA, B. J. Conceitos fundamentais da matemática. 9ª ed. Lisboa: Sá de Costa Editores, 1989.

CARRAHER, T. N. Aprender Pensando. Petrópolis: Vozes, 1986.

CATTANI, A. Recursos informáticos e telemáticos como suporte para formação e qualificação de trabalhadores da construção civil. Porto Alegre, 249 p., 2001. Tese (Doutorado em Informática na Educação) - Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.

CHIRÉIA, J. V. Trabalhando com a Resolução de Problemas na Educação Básica. 2010. Disponível em: <<http://www.diaadiaeducacao.pr.gov.br/portals/pde/arquivos/74-4.pdf>>, Acesso em: 08/02/2018.

CLEMENT, Luiz; TERRAZZAN, Eduardo Adolfo. Atividades Didáticas de Resolução de Problemas e o Ensino de Conteúdos Procedimentais. *Rev. electrón. investig. educ. cienc.*, Tandil, v. 6, n. 1, p. 87-101, jul. 2011. Disponível em <http://www.scielo.org.ar/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1850-66662011000100008&lng=es&nrm=iso>. Acesso em: 08 feb. 2018.

CORDEIRO, J. S.; ALMEIDA, N. N.; BORGES, M. N.; DUTRA, S. C.; VALINOTE, O. L.; D'AMBROSIO, B. A. The Modern Mathematics Reform Movement in Brazil and Its Consequences for Brazilian Mathematics Education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 22: 69-85, 1991.

CORDEIRO, J. S.; ALMEIDA, N. N.; BORGES, M. N.; DUTRA, S. C.; VALINOTE, O. L.; PRAVIA, Z. M. C. Um futuro para a educação em engenharia no Brasil: Desafios e oportunidades. *Revista de Ensino de Engenharia*, 27(3): 69-82, 2008.

CORDEIRO, D. S. B.; BONATTO, I.; ZORZAN, L. G.; PINTO, M. S. N.; SONEGO, M. F.; NETO, E. G.; DE MEDEIROS, M. H. F.; BERNARDINIS, M. A. P. Aplicação de Problem Based Learning na disciplina de Sistemas de Transportes do curso de Engenharia Civil da UFPR. In: IV Congresso Nacional dos Grupos PET de Engenharia Civil, 2017, Fortaleza, 2017.

D'AMBROSIO, U. Etnomatemática: Arte ou Técnica de Explicar e Conhecer. 3a ed. São Paulo: Editora Ática, 1998.

D'AMBRÓSIO, Ubiratan. Educação Matemática: da Teoria à Prática. 16ª ed. Campinas, Papirus. 2008. 121p.

DANTAS, S. H. G. Ensino ou educação em Engenharia? A formação didático-pedagógica dos engenheiros professores. Revista Tecnologia, xx(xx): 63-69, 1992.

DANTE, L. R. Didática da Resolução de Problemas de Matemática. 2ª ed. São Paulo: Ática, 1998.

D'ÁVILA, C. M. (2008) Formação Docente na Contemporaneidade: Limite e Desafios. Revista da FAEEBA: Educação e Contemporaneidade, Salvador, v 17, n.30, jul/dez 2008.

ENGLISH, L.; LESH, R.; FENNEWALD, T. Future directions and perspectives for problem solving research and curriculum development. In: Conferência apresentada no 11o Congresso Internacional de Educação Matemática - ICME 11. Monterrey, México, 2008.

FERNANDES, C. M. B. (1998) Formação do Professor Universitário: tarefa de quem? In: MASETTO, M. (Org.) Docência Universitária. Campinas: Papirus. p.95-112.

FERREIRA, L. L.; SILVA, L. B.; NUNES, C. B. O ensino da matemática através da resolução de problemas no curso de engenharia civil. VII Encontro Mineiro de Educação Matemática, 2015.

FREITAS, J. Q. P.; M. E. J.; O. F. Resolução de problemas no ensino da matemática: Uma Introdução à Geometria Fractal no Ensino Fundamental. Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso – Universidade Federal do Pampa, 2015.

GARCIA, C. M. (2013) Formação de professores: para uma mudança educativa. Porto: Porto Editora, 2013.

GODOY, A. S. Professor universitário da área de agronomia: o problema na formação pedagógica. Dissertação (mestrado em educação) – Faculdade de Educação, Universidade de São Paulo, 1983.

GROENWALD, C. L.; SILVA, C. K.; MORA, C. D. Perspectivas em educação matemática. Acta Scientiae. 6(1): 37-55. 2004.

JESIEK, B.K., L.K. NEWSWANDER, AND M. BORREGO. Engineering education research: Field, community, or discipline? Journal of Engineering Education 98 (1): 39–52, 2009.

JESIEK, B. K., BORREGO, M., & BEDDOES, K. Advancing global capacity for engineering education research (AGCEER): relating research to practice, policy, and industry. Journal of Engineering Education. 99 (2): 107-119, 2010.

JONCICH, G. M. The sane positivist: a biography of Edward L. Thorndike. Middletown: Connecticut: Wesleyan University Press, 1968.

FERRAZ, H. A formação do engenheiro: um questionamento humanístico. São Paulo: Ática, 1983.

Frizzarini, S. T.; Cargnin, C. Prática de Ensino – Modelagem Matemática e Resolução de Problemas. Maringá: UniCEsumar, 2016.

LARIOS, M. R. B.; PASETO, R. C. Ensino por projetos: A engenharia civil empregando as metodologias ativas de ensino-aprendizagem. Congresso Técnico Científico da Engenharia e da Agronomia. Foz do Iguaçu, 2016.

LAUDARES, J. B.; PAIXAO, E. L.; VIGGIANO, A. R. O ensino de engenharia e a formação do engenheiro: contribuição do programa de mestrado em tecnologia do CEFET-MG - Educação Tecnológica. Educ. Technol., 14(1): 60-67, 2009)

LESH, R.; ZAWOJEWSKI, J.S. (2007) Problem Solving and Modeling. In: Lester, F., Ed., Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning, Information Age Publishing, Greenwich, CT, 763-802.

LESTER, J.; KOEHLER JR, W. C. Fundamentals of Information Studies: Understanding Information and Its Environment. New York: Neal-Schuman, 2003. Pp. xvii+306

LIMA, S. S.; ALVES NETO, F. R. Desafios na prática pedagógica do docente iniciante em instituições de ensino superior. Revista Saberes da FAMETA. 2: xx-xx, 2015.

LOPES, A. P.; MARTINS, D. B. PBL como estratégia de ensino-aprendizagem em uma disciplina de integração e gerenciamento de projetos multidisciplinares de edificações na engenharia civil. **Gestão & Tecnologia de Projetos**, São Carlos. v.12 n.1 p53-67 Jan/Abr 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11606/gtp.v12i1.98255>.

LOPES, L. F., FARIA, A. A. O que e o Quem da EAD: historia e fundamentos. Curitiba: Intersaberes, 2013.

LURIA, A. R. (1937). *The working brain: Na introduction to neuropsychology*. New York: Basic Books.

MACEDO, G. M.; SAPUNARU, R. A. Uma breve história da engenharia no Brasil e no mundo: foco Minas Gerais. REUCP, 10(1):39-52, 2016.

MACHADO, L. J. Matemática e realidade: análise dos pressupostos filosóficos que fundamentam o ensino de matemática. São Paulo: Cortez, 1987

MANN, C. R. A Study of Engineering Education. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching. New York. 1918.

MANSFIELD, D.F.; WILDBERGER, N. J. Plimpton 322 is Babylonian exact sexagesimal trigonometry. *Historia Mathematica*. 44: 395–419, 2017.

MASSA, M. S. A formação didático-pedagógica do docente da área de computação: um estudo de caso em uma Universidade Brasileira. In: Anais do 35º Congresso Brasileiro de Computação, Porto Alegre, 2015.

MENDES, I. A. Matemática e investigação em sala de aula: tecendo redes cognitivas na aprendizagem. São Paulo: Editora Livraria da Física, 2009.

MORAES, M. C.; TORRE, S. (2004) Sentirpensar: fundamentos e estratégias para reencantar a educação. Petrópolis: Vozes.

NOSELLA P. Trabalho e educação. Do *tripalium* da escravatura ao labor da burguesia à *poiésis* socialista. In: GOMEZ, C. M. Trabalho e conhecimento: dilemas na educação do trabalhador. São Paulo: Cortez, 2004.

OLIVEIRA, V. F. Teoria, Prática e Contexto. Índices de Resumos Apresentados Ao VI Encontro De Educação Em Engenharia. 2000.

ONUCHIC, L. R.; ALLEVATO, N. S. G.; NOGUTI, F. C. H.; JUSTULIN, A. M. (Orgs.). Resolução de Problemas: Teoria e Prática. São Paulo: Jundiá, Paco Editorial, 2014.

ONUCHIC, L. R. A resolução de problemas na educação matemática: onde estamos e para onde iremos? In: IV Jornada Nacional de Educação Matemática, 2012.

ONUCHIC, L. R. e ALLEVATO, N.S. G. Formação de professores- Mudanças urgentes na licenciatura em Matemática. In: FROTA, Maria Clara Rezende e NASSER, Lilian. Educação Matemática no Ensino Superior: pesquisa e Debates. Recife: SBEM, 2009, pg. 169-187.

PARCHEN, M. F. R.; SCHEER, S.; PARCHEN, C. F. A. Contextualização do ensino-aprendizagem na disciplina de construção civil articulada em ambiente virtual de aprendizagem colaborativo. da Vinci, v. 4, n. 1, p. 169-190, 2007.

PIAGET, J.; BETH, E.W. Epistemologia Matemática e psicologia. Barcelona, Espanha: Crítica S.A., 1980.

PIMENTA, S., ANASTASIOU, L. (2010) Docência no ensino superior. 3. ed. São Paulo: Cortez.

PREDIGER, J.; BERWANGER, L.; MÖRS, M. F. Relação entre alunos e matemática: reflexões sobre o desinteresse dos estudantes pela aprendizagem desta disciplina. Revista Destaque Acadêmicos, 1(4): 23-32, 2009.

PONTE, J. P. (2005). Gestão curricular em matemática. In: GTI (Ed.), O professor e o desenvolvimento curricular (pp.11-34). Lisboa: APM. Disponível em <http://hdl.handle.net/10451/3008>. Acesso em: 09 fev. 2018.

RESNICK, L.; FORD, W. W. The Psychology of Mathematics for Instruction, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publ., New Jersey. 1981.

RODRIGUES, A.; MAGALHAES, S. C. A resolução de problemas nas aulas de matemática: diagnosticando a prática pedagógica. Secretaria da Educação do Estado do Paraná, 2012. Accessed in: http://www.educadores.diaadia.pr.gov.br/arquivos/File/setembro2012/matematica_artigos/artigo_rodrigues_magalhaes.pdf

SANTOS, I. B. O ensino de matemática nos Estados Unidos nas primeiras décadas do século XX: investigação sobre uma alteração do padrão disciplinar. Cadernos de História da Educação, v.15, n.1, p. 141-165, jan.-abr. 2016

SESOKO, V. M.; MATTASOGLIO NETO, O. O uso de estratégias ativas no curso de graduação de engenharia civil da escola de engenharia de Mauá. In: Anais do VII Seminário Mauá de Iniciação Científica. 2015.

SILVA, L. A. Ensino-aprendizagem da matemática através da resolução de problemas no ensino fundamental II. Rios Eletrônica- Revista Científica da FASETE, 6(6): 49-55, 2012.

SMANIOTTO, C. L. D.; GENTIL, V. K. O campo complex da iniciação na docencia. In: Anais do IV Congresso Internacional sobre Professorado Princiicante e Inserção Profissional a Docencia, 2014.

STANIC, G. M. A.; KILPATRICK, J. Historical Perspectives on Problem Solving in the Mathematics Curriculum. In: CHARLES, R. I.; SILVER, E. A. (Ed.) The Teaching and Assessing of Mathematical Problem Solving. Reston: NCTM, p. 1-22, 1989.

THORNDIKE, E. L. The Thorndike Arithmetics. Book one. Chicago: Rand McNally & Company. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1917

VYGOSTSK L. S. (1991). *A Formação social da mente: o desenvolvimento dos processos psicológicos superiores*. São Paulo: Martins Fontes.

ÍNDICE REMISSIVO

A

Adaptações 2, 5, 272, 273, 275, 276, 277, 278, 280, 281, 282, 283, 285

Adição 153, 179, 202, 203, 205, 206, 207, 208, 220, 237, 244

Alunos com Necessidades Educacionais Especiais 273

Análise Dinâmica 118, 125

ANSYS - LS 118

Aprendizagem Matemática 1, 14, 46, 48, 146, 190, 199, 204, 218, 270

Aprendizagem Significativa 45, 109, 110, 111, 116, 117, 146, 151, 192, 276

Aula Invertida 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315

Avaliação 5, 9, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 45, 46, 48, 112, 114, 138, 193, 202, 203, 205, 207, 218, 261, 265, 288

B

Bhaskara/ Φ 241, 242, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259

C

Campos Conceituais 207, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271

Complementaridade 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 294, 298

Conceitos Básicos 75, 78, 153, 271

Conhecimentos 4, 6, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 24, 31, 41, 42, 43, 52, 57, 63, 76, 77, 80, 84, 85, 86, 110, 113, 114, 116, 144, 146, 190, 194, 197, 198, 199, 203, 204, 205, 211, 217, 228, 229, 239, 240, 260, 262, 263, 265, 267, 269, 290, 291, 293, 294, 299, 311, 312

Consumo 55, 69, 111, 144, 145, 146, 148, 150, 151

Cotidiano 50, 51, 52, 53, 55, 77, 81, 83, 84, 113, 146, 149, 150, 151, 198, 270

Crivo 170, 171, 175, 176

D

Decomposição lu 101

Desinteresse dos Alunos 1, 9, 10, 13

Dificuldades de Aprendizagem 74, 75, 79, 88

Divisão 47, 54, 66, 170, 171, 234, 261, 266, 267, 268, 271, 287, 288, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298

E

Educação a Distância 50

Educação Matemática 6, 14, 18, 20, 26, 27, 29, 39, 48, 49, 74, 87, 108, 109, 132, 139, 140,

142, 151, 177, 189, 190, 191, 200, 202, 203, 218, 271, 286, 289, 298, 300, 316

Elementos Estruturantes 75, 76, 78, 83, 85

Elementos Finitos 32, 118, 119

Ensino de Matemática 11, 56, 70, 71, 77, 141, 142, 144, 149, 150, 200, 219, 271, 302, 307, 316

Ensino Fundamental 1, 2, 3, 25, 40, 41, 43, 48, 140, 143, 151, 189, 193, 195, 198, 200, 201, 203, 218, 219, 220, 221, 260, 267, 287, 288, 292

Ensino Médio 7, 8, 25, 27, 69, 71, 74, 75, 76, 81, 84, 87, 109, 110, 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 144, 146, 147, 149, 151, 219, 221, 227, 241, 271, 276, 302

Epístola 228

Equação Diferencial Parcial - EDP 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 37, 38

Equação Polinomial de Grau Dois 241

Espaço Euclidiano 152, 155, 164, 168

F

Feira de Matemática 16, 18, 20, 197

Filas 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 104, 233

Formação Docente 16, 18, 19, 26, 140

Formação para o Trabalho 50, 58

G

Geogebra 69, 70, 71, 72, 73

H

Hiperesfera 152

Hiperplano 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 158, 160, 161, 163, 164, 167, 168

História 13, 21, 22, 26, 29, 31, 33, 39, 51, 86, 87, 88, 112, 141, 142, 150, 189, 197, 228, 229, 238, 239, 245, 259, 263

História da Matemática 29, 39, 112, 189, 197, 239, 245, 259

I

Interfaces Educacionais 101

J

Jogos Matemáticos 197, 221, 260, 261, 266, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 283, 285, 286, 301, 307

M

Matemática 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27,

28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 69, 70, 71, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 108, 109, 110, 112, 116, 117, 119, 120, 132, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 177, 178, 179, 184, 186, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 207, 211, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 234, 235, 237, 239, 240, 243, 244, 245, 246, 259, 260, 261, 262, 266, 268, 270, 271, 272, 274, 275, 276, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 310, 316

Matemática Financeira 144, 145, 146, 147, 150, 151, 316

Materiais Didáticos 47, 190, 191, 192, 193, 196, 197, 199, 200, 201, 276, 307

Material Concreto 198, 200, 201, 301, 303

Mediação 202, 207, 209, 211, 212, 215, 267, 290

Método de Diferenças Finitas 118

Método de Resolução 241

Metodologias Inovadoras de Ensino 190, 195, 199

Modelagem Matemática 61, 119, 132, 141

N

Números Primos 170, 171, 172, 175, 176, 234, 235, 236, 237

O

Operação Matemática 177, 178, 184, 294

P

Prática Docente 4, 11, 50, 51, 193, 219, 226

Professor Iniciante 1, 2, 3, 8

Programação Orientada a Objeto 61

Projeto GAMA 308, 309, 310, 311, 314

Proposta Pedagógica 54, 177, 186

R

Resolução de Problemas 87, 109, 110, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 177, 198, 202, 204, 205, 206, 218, 220, 274, 301

Rstudio 95

S

Sadosky 101, 102, 103, 104, 108

Semiótica 287, 288, 289, 290, 292, 294, 298

Sentido 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, 42, 44, 45, 47, 51, 53, 56, 71, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80,

81, 83, 85, 101, 112, 150, 171, 200, 244, 263, 264, 267, 285, 287, 288, 291, 292, 294, 296, 298, 299, 314

Subtração 202, 203, 205, 206, 207, 208, 213, 216, 267

T

Técnica da Transformada Integral Clássica - (CITT) 29, 30, 31, 32, 38

Técnica da Transformada Integral Generalizada - (GITTT) 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38

Tecnologias Digitais 69, 70, 71, 74

Teoria de Conjunto 61, 64

Teoria dos Números 170, 228, 229, 230, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 240

Territórios Virtuais 50, 51, 52

Teste de Primalidade 170, 171, 172, 174, 175

Torneio de Jogos Matemáticos 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 283, 285

Transformada Integral 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38

Trigonometria 69, 71, 72, 245, 301, 302

V

Viga de Euler-Bernoulli 118, 125





www.atenaeditora.com.br 

contato@atenaeditora.com.br 

[@atenaeditora](https://www.instagram.com/atenaeditora) 

www.facebook.com/atenaeditora.com.br 

Incompletudes e Contradições para os Avanços da Pesquisa em Matemática 3

www.atenaeditora.com.br 
contato@atenaeditora.com.br 
[@atenaeditora](https://www.instagram.com/atenaeditora) 
www.facebook.com/atenaeditora.com.br 

Incompletudes e Contradições para os Avanços da Pesquisa em Matemática 3